

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF *Dorchester*

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CIVIL ACTION COVERSHEET

MR. Mark Neil Muzi #241427 Plaintiff(s)

2013-CP-18-1268

vs.

State of South Carolina Dept. of Corrections et. al. Defendant(s)

(Please Print)

SC Bar #:

Submitted *Mark Neil Muzi #241427*

Telephone #:

Address: *200 Northside Park #87*

Fax #:

LEE Correctional Inst

Other:

990 WISACKY HWY

E-mail:

Bishopville, SC 29010

NOTE: The cover sheet and information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of docketing. It must be filled out completely, signed, and dated. A copy of this cover sheet must be served on the defendant(s) along with the Summons and Complaint.

DOCKETING INFORMATION (Check all that apply)

*If Action is Judgment/Settlement do not complete

- JURY TRIAL demanded in complaint. NON-JURY TRIAL demanded in complaint.
- This case is subject to ARBITRATION pursuant to the Court Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules.
- This case is subject to MEDIATION pursuant to the Court Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules.
- This case is exempt from ADR. (Proof of ADR/Exemption Attached)

NATURE OF ACTION (Check One Box Below)

- | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| <p>Contracts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Construction's (100) <input type="checkbox"/> Debt Collection (110) <input type="checkbox"/> Employment (120) <input type="checkbox"/> General (130) <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of Contract (140) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (199) | <p>Torts - Professional Malpractice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Dental Malpractice (200) <input type="checkbox"/> Legal Malpractice (210) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Malpractice (220) Previous Notice of Intent Case #
<i>20</i> -CP- <i>-</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Notice/ File Med Mal (230) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (299) | <p>Torts - Personal Injury</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Assault/Slander/Libel (300) <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion (310) <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle Accident (320) <input type="checkbox"/> Premises Liability (330) <input type="checkbox"/> Products Liability (340) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal Injury (350) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful Death (360) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (399) | <p>Real Property</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Claim & Delivery (400) <input type="checkbox"/> Condemnation (410) <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure (420) <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanic's Lien (430) <input type="checkbox"/> Partition (440) <input type="checkbox"/> Possession (450) <input type="checkbox"/> Building Code Violation (460) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (499) |
| <p>Inmate Petitions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> PCR (500) <input type="checkbox"/> Mandamus (520) <input type="checkbox"/> Habeas Corpus (530) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (599) <p><i>APPEAL NOTICE</i></p> | <p>Judgments/Settlements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Death Settlement (700) <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment (710) <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate's Judgment (720) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor Settlement (730) <input type="checkbox"/> Transcript Judgment (740) <input type="checkbox"/> Lis Pendens (750) <input type="checkbox"/> Transfer of Structured Settlement Payment Rights Application (760) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (799) | <p>Administrative Law/Relief</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstate Driver's License (800) <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial Review (810) <input type="checkbox"/> Relief (820) <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent Injunction (830) <input type="checkbox"/> Forfeiture-Petition (840) <input type="checkbox"/> Forfeiture-Consent Order (850) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (899) | <p>Appeals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Arbitration (900) <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate-Civil (910) <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate-Criminal (920) <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal (930) <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court (940) <input type="checkbox"/> SCDOT (950) <input type="checkbox"/> Worker's Comp (960) <input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Board (970) <input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Law Judge (980) <input type="checkbox"/> Public Service Commission (990) <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Security Comm (991) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (999) |
| <p>Special/Complex/Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental (610) <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile Arb. (610) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical (620) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (699) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Pharmaceuticals (630) <input type="checkbox"/> Unfair Trade Practices (640) <input type="checkbox"/> Out-of State Depositions (650) <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual Predator (510) | | |

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Submitting Party Signature: *Mark Neil Muzi*

Date: *7/31/14*

State of South Carolina
County of Charleston

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
DIN: 2015-CP-13-1268

MR. MARK NEAL MORA # 241427
Plaintiff,

Plaintiff Affidavit of Facts

vs

State of South Carolina Dept. of
Corrections, et al Defendants

To: Hon. Court / SCDC Defendants

This affidavit by the plaintiff is in support of (facts)
why four (4) of SCDC potential witnesses was not IN COURT
on 7/21/14 or subpoenaed.

- 1) Nurse, ERICA Edwards went to Jail and PRISON for bringing
illegal drugs into the prison, at L.C. 2 2010.
- 2) Nurse, Ms. Natcha Gibson was terminated for violating the
non-tribunal Law and inmate Relationship.
- 3) MR. KEVIN MURPHY was terminated for violating SCDC
policy concerning drugs / the use of force on inmates.
- 4) OFFICER MR. WARREN was terminated for violating SCDC
drug policy and inmate relationships / the use of force on
inmates.

The records speaks for it self
why these potential witness was not
IN COURT on 7/21/14 ??

DATE: 7/31/14

Respectfully submitted,

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2014

700 SE
S/Mark Mora # 241427

SC Court of Appeals

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM COMMON PLEAS
REGARDING A CIVIL ACTION IN CASE NUMBER
2013-CP-18-1268

The State of South Carolina appeal from
Dorchester County in the Court of Common Pleas
Judge, Hon: MR. MAITE MURPHY, Circuit Court

Plaintiff, Mark Neil Myers #241427

vs.

Defendants, State of South Carolina, Dept.
of Corrections, et. al.

- NOTICE OF APPEAL -

The Plaintiff Mark Neil Myers, appeal from the order of
The Honorable: Judge MR. MAITE MURPHY, date 7/29/14 2014
which affirmed her order in the Court of Common Pleas the
Plaintiff received written notice of the order on: 7/30/14
July 30, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7/31/14
cc:

Hon: MR. CHRYL GRAM
Clerk of Court Dorchester County
Attorney: DANIEL C. PLYMER

PRO SE
S/Mark Neil Myers #241427
MARK NEIL MYERS #241427
S.M.U. Northside Road #57
LEE Correctional Inst
990 WISACKY HWY
Bishopville, SC 29010

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2014

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF DOCHESTER

IN THE COURTS OF COMMON PLEAS
CIN: 2013-CP-18-1268

MR. MACK NEEL / MYERS #241427
PLAINTIFF,

V.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, DEPT. OF
CORRECTIONS, ET AL, DEFENDANTS,

PLAINTIFF NOTICE OF APPEAL / BRIEF
OF THE DEFENDANTS DIRECT VERDICT
IN THE ABOVE MATTERS, MEMO OF LAW

TO: THE HONORABLE COURTS / DEFENDANTS: FACTS IN THE MATTERS:

NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFF IN THE ABOVE ACTION WILL MOVE THIS
HONORABLE COURT TO REVERSE HON. MR. MURPHY, DIRECT VERDICT MOTION
THAT WAS GRANTED ON JULY 21, 2014 IN FAVOR OF THE DEFENDANTS THIS MOTION
SHOULD BE A REVERSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING ABUSE OF DISCRETION

1.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, "USED ADVOCATE, RACIAL SUPERIORITY" ALL WHITE
JURY, THE PLAINTIFF WAS AFRICAN, WHO IS MENTAL ILL PATIENT, AND LAYMAN,
WITH MENTAL DISABILITIES, HON. MURPHY SHOWED "FAVORITISM" TO THE DEFENDANTS,

2.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY WITNESS THE DEFENDANTS MR. WELLS, AND MR. MAUNEY,
HOOBANKED THEIR TESTIMONY UNDER OATH CONCERNING SCDP POLICY 22-01,
AND 22-14, C/O MR. WARREN, SPRAY THE WHOLE CAN OF GAS UNDER A LOCKER CELL DOOR
FOR NO REASON, AND "DID NOT" REPORT THE AMOUNT OF CHEMICAL AGENT SHE USED,
MR. MAUNEY, NEVER TREATED THE PLAINTIFF. MR. MURPHY AGREED WITH THESE
PERPETRATED ACTS BY THE DEFENDANTS WHICH IS DISCRIMINATION AND PREJUDICE
ACT BY HON. MR. MURPHY, MR. WELLS COMMITTED PERJURY, MR. WARREN, DID NOT HAVE A RIGHT TO SET ASIDE.

3.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY SHOWED "PREJUDICE" BY ALLOWING SOME DEFENDANTS POTENTIAL
WITNESSES, NURSE MS. NA FASHA GIBSON, NURSE, MS. ERICA EDWARDS, SGT. KELVIN ALLEN, JUDGE
VOLONDA WARREN, WAS NOT IN COURT TO GIVE FACTS OF THE INCIDENT. THEY ARE POTENTIAL
WITNESSES SOME OFFICIALS SHOULD OR HAD THESE WITNESSES SUBPOENAED,

4.) HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" TO THE PLAINTIFF BECAUSE SHE KNEW THE
DEFENDANTS NEVER GAVE THE PLAINTIFF AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE DEPOSITION
THE DEFENDANT TOOK OF THE PLAINTIFF. THE PLAINTIFF REQUESTED TO VIEW THE TRAN-
SCRIPT, OF THE DEPOSITION SEE SCDP UNDER RULE, 30 (E)(F)(G),

- 5.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" BY REFUSING THE PLAINTIFF A KNOWN MENTAL ILL INMATE PATIENT, WITH A MENTAL DISABILITIES THE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL WHEN SHE KNEW THE PLAINTIFF WAS AND IS A LAYMAN THE HON. JUDGE MR. MURPHY TOOK ADVANTAGE OF A VULNERABLE ADULT INMATE PATIENT WHEN SHE KNEW HE DID NOT KNOW THE LAW AND FORCE HIM TO ACT AS AN ATTORNEY.
- 6.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" WHEN SHE LET SDC ATTORNEYS ASKED THE WITNESS MR. WELLS, NURSE, MR. MAUNEY, AND INMATE MR. BOOKER, ANY THING, BUT WHEN THE PLAINTIFF TRIED TO CROSS EXAMINE THE WITNESS SHE ALLOWED SDC LAWYERS OBJECT MOST OF THE CROSS EXAMINATIONS.
- 7.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" WHEN SHE WITNESS THE PLAINTIFF OPENING "ARGUMENT" THAT THE DEFENDANTS WAS BEING DECEPTIVE IN OPEN COURT CONCERNING THE TREATMENT NURSE DID NOT GIVE TO THE PLAINTIFF WHO WAS AND STILL IS SUFFERING WITH HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE, DIABETES, THE NURSE MR. MAUNEY AND MAJOR WELLS ADMITTED MR. WARREN, NEVER FILLED OUT THE SDC FORM 19-5, WHICH MUST BE FILLED OUT, AND THERE IS "NO" JUSTIFICATION FOR THIS MISCONDUCT BY MR. WARREN.
- 8.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" WHEN SHE ALLOWED SDC DEFENDANTS TO COVER UP FOR CAUSATION OF PAST CONDUCT WHEN HON. MR. MURPHY WITNESS INMATE BOOKER, STATEMENT IN OPEN COURT WHERE HE ADMITTED C/O MR. WARREN APPROVED THE WHOLE CANISTER UNDER OUR CELL DOOR OF CHEMICAL MUNITION, WITH OUT REPORTING THE AMOUNT OF CHEMICAL MUNITION THAT WAS USED, BY C/O MR. WARREN,
- 9.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" WHEN SHE GRANTED THE DEFENDANTS DIRECT VERDICT WHEN THE DEFENDANTS STATEMENTS WAS INCONSISTENT SDC DID HAVE THEIR THREE (3) POTENTIAL WITNESS CROSS EXAMINED BY THE PLAINTIFF, HON MR. MURPHY, ABUSE HER DISCRETIONS IN ALLOWING THE DEFENDANTS TO DO SUCH, ACTUALLY THE DEFENDANTS HAVE FOUR (4) POTENTIAL WITNESS WAS NOT EXPOSED.
- 10.) THE HON. MR. MURPHY, SHOWED "PREJUDICE" WHEN SHE WITNESS THE INMATE BOOKER, AND THE PLAINTIFF SHOWED THE HON. MR. MURPHY, AND THE COURT "PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE" THAT SDC VIOLATED THEIR POLICY OP-22.01, OP-22.14, AND STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS, THE SUPERVISOR WAS GROSSLY NEGLIGENT IN MANAGING THE PEOPLE HE OR SHE WAS SUPPOSED TO SUPERVISE, SEE: MERIWETHER V. COUGHLIN, 879 A.2d, 1037 (2ND CIR, 1999).

11.) THE HON: MS. MURPHY, SHOWED "PERJUDICE" BY REFUSING TO APPOINT COUNSEL TO A MENTALLY ILL INMATE PATIENT WHO IS A LAYMAN TO THE LAW WHEN SHE STATED THE PLAINTIFF WILL BE HELD WITH THE SAME STANDARD AS AN ATTORNEY SEE: CONLEY V. GIBSON, 355 U.S. 41 45-46 (1957). A PRO SE COMPLAINT SHOULD BE HELD TO LESS STRICT STANDARD THAN A MOTION DRAFTED BY A LAWYER HON: MS. MURPHY WAS PERJUDICE IN THE ABOVE MATTERS. SEE: WRIGHT V. MACK, 2013 WL 3946286 (D.S.C.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12-02232-TMC

12.) THE HON: MS. MURPHY, SHOWED "PERJUDICE" WHEN SHE UNDERSTOOD VERY WELL ("SCDC OFFICIALS HAVE A COMMON PRACTICE") OF USING EXCESSIVE FORCE ON INMATES SCDC'S UNNECESSARY AND EXCESSIVE USE OF "OC SPRAY" ON MENTAL ILL INMATES. THIS IS DONE ROUTINELY BY SCDC OFFICERS. SEE: "JUDGE MICHAEL BAXLEY, ORDER GRANTING JUDGMENT", IN FAVOR OF SCDC INMATES PROTECTION AND ADVOCACY FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES VS. SCDC AGENCY IN CASE "NUMBER # 2005-CP-40-2925" THIS ORDER WAS SIGN BY HONORABLE JUDGE J. MICHAEL BAXLEY, ON JANUARY, 8, 2014

A.) ON 7/21/14 MAJOR MR. WELLS, PERJURY HIS STATEMENT IN OPEN COURT CONCERNING SCDC POLICY REGARDING THE USE OF FORCE, WHEN HE COVERED UP FOR CP MC WARREN'S VIOLATIONS. SCDC POLICY 22-14, SCDC POLICY 22-01. THIS IS AN ONGOING ACT BY SCDC OFFICIALS.

B.) ON 7/21/14 NURSE MS. L. MAUNEY, "PERJURY" HER STATEMENT IN OPEN COURT CONCERNING TREATMENT THAT NEVER WAS "PROVIDED" TO THE PLAINTIFF ON 10-21-10 THERE WAS "NO" SCDC FORM 19-5 FOR MS. MAUNEY FILLED OUT WHEN CP MC WARREN USED A WHOLE CAN OF OC SPRAY UNDER OUR CELL DOOR ON 10/21/10

C.) ON 7/21/14 NURSE MS. L. MAUNEY, "PERJURY" HER STATEMENT IN OPEN COURT WHEN SHE KNOW SHE DID NOT TREAT THE PLAINTIFF FOR BEING GASED. STATE POLICY'S WAS VIOLATED BY THESE DEFENDANTS. SEE: JIM BOOKER MEDICAL ENCOUNTER # 283 WILL SHOW MS. MAUNEY NEVER CHECKED HIS OXYGEN LEVEL, SEE: PLAINTIFF MEDICAL ENCOUNTER WHERE MS. MAUNEY LIED AND GAVE FALSE REPORT... WHEN A MEDICAL NURSE CHECK A INMATE FOR BEING GASED THE SAME "PROCEDURES" MUST BE FOLLOWED SEE: PLAINTIFF MEDICAL ENCOUNTER # 920 AND BOOKER, MEDICAL ENCOUNTER # 283 THESE RECORDS IS "FRAUDULENT" ENTERED BY NURSE MS. MAUNEY.

D.) DEFENDANT'S ACTION IN THIS CASE WERE MOTIVATED BY EVIL MOTIVE INTENT WHEN MR. WARREN, CAUSED THE PLAINTIFF AND HIS ROOMMATE WAS NOT "CAUSING ANY HARM OR THREATS," SMITH V. WIDE, 461 U.S. 30 (1983),

JACKSON V. S.C.D.C., 301 S.C. 125, 390 S.E.2d 467 (1989) SAME SEE ALSO S.C. CODE ANN. § 24-1-130 ("THE [S.C.D.C.] SHALL BE... RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROPER CARE, TREATMENT, FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND MANAGEMENT OF THE PRISONERS CONFINED THERE IN")

Williams v. BENJAMIN, 77 F.3d 756 (4th Cir. 1996) FOOTNOT [8.9] MANKIND HAS HAD DEVISED SOME TORTURES THAT LEAVE NO LASTING PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OF INJURY INDEED. WE HAVE SPECIFICALLY RECOGNIZED THAT THE OBJECTIVE COMPONENTS CAN BE MET BY "THE PAIN ITSELF," EVEN IF AN INMATE HAS NO ENDURING INJURY." NORMAN V. TAYLOR, 25 F.3d 1259, 1263 (4th Cir. 1994).

SER: § 15-78-60 (25), § 15-78-70 (F) § 15-78-70 (B).

WHEREFORE, THE PLAINTIFF MOVES THAT THIS COURT MAKE A JUST JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE ACTION THAT COME AS PRAYER FOR RELIEF IN THIS COMPLAINT. IN THE NAME OF JUSTICE, "NO" ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW

I PRAY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT EXECUTED AT Bishopville, S.C.

DATE: 7/31/14

DATE: 7/31/14
CC:

HON. MR. CHERYL GRAMM,
CLERK OF COURT DORCHESTER,

S.C.D.C. ATTORNEY MR. DANIEL C. PLYLER

Respectfully submitted,

PRO SE
241427
s/ Mark Neil Myers
MARK NEIL MYERS # 241427
C.M.V. NORTHLOBE ROAD # 57
LEO CORRECT IDIOT UNIT
990 WISBECKY HWY
BISHOPVILLE, SC. 29010

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2014

SC Court of Appeals

"MEMO OF LAW / S.C. STATUTES"

1) UNDER THE TORT CLAIMS ACT IF A GOVERNMENT ENTITY OR EMPLOYEE'S DISCRETION IS EXERCISED IN A GROSSLY NEGLIGENT MANNER THEN THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY INVOLVED IS LIABLE PER THE TORT AS IF IT WERE A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL.
DUNCAN V. HAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL DIST. NO. 2 (S.C. APP. 1999) 335 S.C. 535, 517 S.E. 2d 499. REHEARING DENIED. CERTIORARI DENIED.

THE FEDERAL COURTS OF THIS DISTRICT IN SMITH VS. AZMI, 394 F. SUPP. 2d 287 (2005) HAVE HELD THAT A PRISON INMATE COULD SUE STATE EMPLOYEES UNDER SOUTH CAROLINA LAW, IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES RE INTENTIONAL [TORTS] IN CONNECTION WITH INMATES TREATMENT; STATUTE WAIVED IMMUNITY, WHEN THERE WAS INTENT TO HARM OR ACTUAL MALICE.

2) § 15-78-60 (25), RESPONSIBILITY OR DUTY INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO SUPERVISION, PROTECTION, CONTROL, CONFINEMENT, OR CUSTODY OF ANY STUDENT, PATIENT, PRISONER, INMATE, OR CLIENT OF "ANY" GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY, EXCEPT WHEN THE RESPONSIBILITY OR DUTY IS EXERCISED IN A GROSSLY NEGLIGENT MANNER.

§ 15-78-70 LIABILITY FOR ACT OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE; REQUIREMENT THAT AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION BE NAMED PARTY DEFENDANTS; EFFECT OF JUDGMENT OR SETTLEMENT. (B) NOTHING IN THIS CHAPTER MAY BE CONSTRUED TO GIVE AN EMPLOYEE OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY IMMUNITY FROM SUIT AND LIABILITY IF IT IS PROVED THAT THE EMPLOYEE'S CONDUCT WAS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF HIS OFFICIAL DUTIES OR THAT IT CONSTITUTED "ACTUAL FRAUD" "ACTUAL MALICE" "INTENT TO HARM" OR A CRIME INVOLVING MORAL TURPITUDE.

(II SCDC OFFICER, MS. WARREN, "DID NOT" ROLL OUT THE SCDC FORM 19-5 THE REPORT OF THE USE OF FORCE NOR DID MISSO WARREN REPORTED THE AMOUNT OF OC SPRAY SHE USED UNDER OUR DOOR NURSE, MS. MAUNEY, NEVER SIGN THE 19-5 FORM FROM MS. WARREN, THAT MUST BE REPORTED UNDER STATE AND FEDERAL STATUTES, SEE: § 16-23-20, § 24-1-280, § 24-13-30, AND SCDC HEALTH SERVICES PROCEDURES (300-A-10)

DATE: 7/31/14

Respectfully Submitted, S/ Matt N. Myer

state of south carolina
county of dorchester

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
C.N. 2013-07-18-1269

MR. MARK NEIL MYERS, #241427
Plaintiff

v.

Plaintiff move for an order for
appointment of Guardian ad Litem

state of south carolina dept. of
corrections, et al, defendants

Hon. Courts / defendants:

The Plaintiff, MARK NEIL MYERS request that the court appoint Guardian
ad. Litem of mental ill inmate age (32) the Plaintiff in this action
dated: 7/31/14 s/ Mark Neil Myers

"CONSENT OF GUARDIAN"

I _____, consent to my appointment as Guardian ad Litem for
_____ in the above action and agree to serve in that
capacity. Dated: _____ Guardian: _____

ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM

ON CONSIDERATION OF THE ABOVE PLAINTIFF, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
THAT _____ be appointed Guardian ad Litem on behalf of
_____ THE MENTAL HEALTH INMATE IN THIS ACTION.

Date: _____

Hon. Judge:
s/ _____