

9

RECEIVED

SEP 29 2014

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

Case No. 2014-001655

MOTION REQUESTING PERMISSION TO ORDER A TRANSCRIPT OUTSIDE OF
THE FILING DEADLINES

43706

BACKGROUND

A. The week following submission of this Appeal Appellant discovered that Judge Strickland of the Masters-in Equity Court sold his Home on August 4, 2014.

1. A representative of the buyer who Appellant believes is Rachel Filer owner of Carolina Real Estate and an Officer of State Street Holdings, LLC, came to Appellant's Home to inform him of the auction.

2. Appellant had recently signed a Lease to rent the second and third floors to two USC students.

3. State Street Holdings, LLC representative subsequently notified Appellant's tenants that they would have to move due to the Home being foreclosed which had the effect of confusion and stress on Appellant and his tenants.

4. Subsequently, Appellant's tenants refused to pay him the rent due August 28, 2014.

Since that represents 70% of Appellant's monthly income with Social Security represented the balance, Appellant had to scramble to find a way to deal with this lost of

income.

5. On or about September 9, 2014 this third party had its name put on Appellant's deed replacing him as owner of the property.

6. On September 10, 2014 Appellant decided to write a letter to The Honorable Casey Manning, Administrative Judge (attached) advising him of Appellant's appeal and requesting that the third party buyer's name be removed from his deed.

7. In response, a Conference Hearing was scheduled for September 26, 2014 in the Masters in Equity Court with the Honorable Judge Strickland presiding and attended by a representative of the third party buyer, a representative of Bank of America and Appellant.

8. The third party representative claimed Appellant needed to have purchased a 'Bond' for his Appeal to the Court of Appeals to which Appellant asserted "he didn't think it applied to him". In his research Appellant has not found a requirement for a 'Bond'.

9. Judge Strickland agreed that Appellant needed a "Bond" and ordered Appellant to leave his residence within ten days from the date of this hearing effectively dismissing his Notice of Appeal.

10. Appellant order a transcript of this hearing (attached) but Judge Strickland's order is not available at this writing.

IN DEFENSE OF APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENTION OF TIME TO
SUBMIT HIS REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS

1. Appellant was distracted and came under enormous emotional stress due to his Home being sold at auction on August 4, 2014.
2. Appellant did his best to follow the Rules and Regulations of this Court but did forget to order his transcripts until he received the Court's letter dated September 19, 2014.
3. Transcript ordered September 22, 2014 (attached along with costs form).

IN CONCLUSION

Appellant therefore pleads with the Court to allow him permission to order the transcripts outside of the filing deadlines.

Kermit G. White, Jr. Appellant pro se

3321 Prentice Ave.
Columbia, SC 29205
803-779-1946

Certificate of Service:

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to The Korn Law Firm, P.A. , 1300 Pickens Street, Columbia, SC 29211 and Bank of Omaha, c/o Cynthis M. Lover, Esquire, 212 North Oak Street Suite 305-D, Myrtle Beach, SC 29577 by regular mail this 29th day of September 2014.

RECEIVED

SEP 29 2014

SC Court of Appeals

**FORM 17
ITEMIZED STATEMENT OF COSTS**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals
[In The Supreme Court]

Bank of America)	
)	
)	Case No. 2014-001655
v.)	
)	
Kermit G. White, Jr. Appellate)	
)	

The Appellate Court is requested to tax the following costs against

Kermit G. White, Jr. Appellant pro se _____

COSTS TAXABLE UNDER RULE 222, SCACR	NO. OF PAGES	RATE	REQUESTED	ALLOWED (For Court Use Only)
Cost of Printing or Copying Final Brief				
Cost of Printing or Copying Final Reply Brief				
Cost of Printing or Copying Record on Appeal				
Filing Fee Paid Under Rule 203(d), SCACR				
Cost of Court Reporter's Transcript	?	\$100 to \$125	September 22, 2014	
Attorney's Fee Provided By Rule 222(b), SCACR				
Other (specify and explain):				

transcript

transcript

Actions

Gil White

1:11 PM

To: Robin Reibold



Thanks. The last Hearing in June or July which I did not attend should not be needed since Judge Strickland had already ruled against my Motion to Dismiss (without a Hearing by the way).

K G White, Jr. pro se

www.gwhitedigitalstudios.com

Giles, e 13 11 11
GWHITEDIGITALSTUDIOS, LLC
3021 10th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30309

813.711.1111

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

This electronic message and any attachments are confidential property of the sender. It is for the intended recipient only. It may contain information that is privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the author by replying to this email immediately and delete the message from your computer. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, distribute, copy, print, or rely on this email. Unless expressly stated to the contrary, this email does not constitute a legal or formal offer or acceptance of any offer.

Actions

Robin Reibold (ReiboldR@rcgov.us)

Add to contacts

12:06 PM

To: Gil White



Mr. White,

My estimate would be \$100, possibly \$125, for your hearings, total. I am under the impression that there are only the two hearings – the February 26th hearing and the one just last week. You haven't provided me with any other dates in front of Judge Strickland.

Robin Reibold

576-1902

Actions

Gil White

11:58 AM

To: Robin Reibold



Ms. Reibold

Just checking to see if you have that transcript cost estimate for the Appeals Court. I need to turn it in today.

Thanks

K G White, Jr.

www.gwhitedigitalstudios.com

Giles: 2011-01-11 11:58 AM
G. White: 2011-01-11 11:58 AM
3311

NOI

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

This electronic message and any attachments are confidential property of the sender. It is for the intended recipient only. It may contain information that is privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the author by replying to this email immediately and delete the message from your computer. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, distribute, copy, print or rely on this e-mail. Unless expressly stated to the contrary, this email does not constitute a legal or formal offer or acceptance of an offer.

Actions

Gil White

9/26/14

To: Justice Reibold



Ms. Reibold

Appears I need a transcript of today's hearing too.

Thanks again,

K G White, Jr.