

Please Send Me Copies
of all four Pages that
I have sent in the Mail
Envelope.

Thanks
and
God Bless You

RECEIVED

OCT 01 2014

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Sworn to and subscribed before me
the 29 day of September 2014

OCT 01 2014

Siouleau
(Notary Public of South Carolina)

Commission Expires 2/24

To whom it may concern,
SC Court of Appeals

I'd like to be afforded a new defence
counsel. Robert M. Pachak was appointed to be my defender of my appeal. Mr. Pachak filed the ANDERS Brief for my appeal and petitioned to be relieved as my counsel, without first hearing the issues which I think should be also raised in my appeal. Mr. Pachak found a important error in my trial but there are three more issues that should be considered by the court. I was carefully reading over the trial transcript and was preparing a letter to send to Mr. Pachak when I received the brief he'd prepared and a notice of him petitioning to be relieved as my counsel. The problem I have is not with which legal arguments I should submit, but with what order should I petition for remedies and how can an oral argument be set without anyone to represent me.

For now I am requesting a copy of all the evidence against me. I am also requesting for a new counsel to be appointed to me.

I have received the notice of the deadline that my pro se brief should reach the court, and I am still working on preparing them.

Thank You, Brian Davis 9-24-14

To whom it may concern;

The Official Court Reporter, Wanda Nelson has provided me with a trial transcript report that is inaccurate and incomplete. Therefore I'd like to object the trial transcript report. I object the trial transcript, it has been altered on two vital parts of it in which I was preparing a pro se brief about. I fear that an irreparable injury will occur before the court of appeals has a chance to review and decide my case. I wrote to the Clerk of Court asking them to provide me an accurate and complete trial transcript report and all of the evidence in my case. I know that the altering of the transcript may possibly violate my rights, so I am giving the Clerk of Court and Wanda Nelson a chance to correct the mistakes made. In the meantime I move for an injunction relief. A preventive and/or quia-timet injunction is more likely what I need. I am sure that an irreparable injury will result unless an injunction relief is granted to me. I need to preserve the status quo pending a resolution of my case on its merits. I need Ms. Nelson to produce a unedited version of the trial transcript

and tape.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on
the 29 day of September, 2014

S. Oulaw

Notary Public of South Carolina

Sincerely, Brian Davis

Brian Davis

9-25-14

My first, fifth, eighth, and fourteenth amendments, constitutional rights have been violated.

The transcript that I have now proves in itself that it has been altered on one very important part. The unedited tape will prove two additional parts have been altered. I am 100% certain!

If possible I'd like to file the 42 USCA 1983 against the court reporter.

S. O'Neil

I am preparing a brief with the ~~Notary Public of South Carolina~~ ^{Notary Public of South Carolina} concerning the chain of custody in my case. On pg 136, line 15-16 of the trial transcript, it is written that the Chemist Ms. Mitchum stated that she received State's Exhibit #21 from Ray Hamilton with Rock Hill P.D., in-person. With all due respect Mrs. Mitchum never said during the trial that she receive the Evidence from Ray Hamilton in-person. Ms. Mitchum did testify to know that Ray Hamilton transported it to her because she recognizes his signature.

In an effort to help the state establish an adequate chain of custody the court reporter made this prejudicial error by obscuring the trial transcript in a place that adversely will affect my review by the Court of Appeals and affect the outcome of the proceedings.

In another position where my defense Attorney was conducting a cross examination with Detective Ryan Thomas, the officer that seized the drugs and processed it, the defense question Thomas about what time did he get back to the department and actually seal the evidence. No where on this trial transcript is that question asked or answered. When my Attorney asked Mr. Thomas, what time did you process and seal the evidence Mr. Thomas testified to "not knowing the time he got back to the department or sealing the evidence. All of this should be on pg. 128, line 12 - pg 130, line 22, but it's not.

Once again in an effort to help the state establish an adequate chain of custody the court reporter made this prejudicial error by deleting a piece of the trial transcript in a place that adversely will affect my review by the Court of Appeals and affect the outcome of the proceedings.

On another occasion the trial court mentioned that the jury specifically wanted to hear Officer Vreeland's testimony again concerning his statements about him having binoculars and also his statement of hearing a bang. The Jury ask to hear the trial tape be played for them after they'd deliberated for about 20 minutes. The trial transcript does not have the trial court specifying what the jury requested to hear before they could continue deliberating.

— This is important because obviously some of the jurors were having doubts about my guilt. The officer, Officer Vreeland mentioned having binoculars but never testified to using them.

Thank You,

Brian Davis



9-25-14

Notary Public of South Carolina
Commission Expires 2/24
S. Oulson
29 day of September 2014


RECEIVED

OCT 01 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Brian Henry Davis, 332518 F2-B117
Evans Correctional Institution
610 Highway 9 west
Bennettsville SC 29512

COLUMBIA SC 29202
30 SEP 2014 PM 3 L



SEP 30 2014

South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

OCT 01 2014

SC Court of Appeals

25211162727

