

(13) Charter Email



Welcome enash77@charter.net | PHONE | ONLINE TOOLS | EMAIL | CONTACTS | Preferences | Help | Log Out

EMAIL | enash77@charter.net | REPLY

To: www.sccourts.org | Show Cc/Bcc

Subject: REPLY

B **U** **I** | Verdana | 11

ATTN V. Claire Allen . Deputy Clerk

I Earl Nash got to bring this to your attention all LAW IN SOUTH CAROLINA STATES THAT HEAR SAY IS NOT ALLOW IN ANY COURT CASE BUT IN THIS APPEAL COURT IT IS THE OK TO BE DONE HERE IN THIS SOUTH CAROLINA COURT APPEALS CASE NO, 2014-000976 BECAUSE IT IS DONE BY AN ATTORNEY JOHN Kirkman MOORHEAD AND BY ALSO A CIRCUIT COURT judge BY THE NAME J J CORD ELL MADDOX JR HAS LIE IN THIS MATTER AND THIS COURT IS ALLOWING FOR THEM TO DO THIS AND THIS COURT HAS ALL THE Paperwork PROVE THIS BUT THIS COURT DOES NOT WANT TO GO BY THE LAW THEY WANT TO MAKE THEIR OWN E LAWS TO go by to suite them not the law that is on the law book here in south Carolina now here is the set up that has been going on here in this case and it is against the law for them to do this also so why is this court do going by the law not what this attorney and this so call Circuit court is doing and this appeal court is letting them to do this now he is the violation that has been committed by this attorney John Kirkman Moorhead and this tenth Judicial circuit judge and this appeal court has refused to except their violation her now why

1. When this paperwork was file by Attorney John Kirkman Moorhead he was suppose to have file a document the court shall required a written authorization from the entity's president a limited certificate before permitting the representation general partner owner or chief executive officer THIS WAS NOT DONE WHY? SO THE MAGISTRATE COURT DID NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO HEAR THIS CASE BUT THEY DID ANY WAY ?
2. WHEN THE COURT HAD THIS FIRST HEARING ON THIS CASE THE JUDGE BY THE NAME J. EUBANKS WAS ASK IF SHE HAD A COPY OF THIS PAPERWORK AND HER STATEMENT THAT SHE DID HAVE A COPY OF THIS PAPERWORK AFTER THE ATTORNEY GOT DONE WITH HIS LIES THEN I ASK THIS ATTORNEY IF HE COULD SHOW ME ANY PAPERWORK WHERE I HAD SIGN AND NOTE FOR THIS AMOUNT AND HIS STATEMENT WAS I DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING LIKE THAT
3. THE JUDGE AT THAT TIME WAS ASK FOR THIS TO BE DISMISS AT THAT TIME BUT SHE REFUSED TO DO THAT WHY WHEN THE ATTORNEY STATE THAT HE HAD NOTHING TO PROVE THAT I OWNE THEM ANY MONEY THIS PAPERWORK HAS IT STATED THAT THIS APEX

Insert Signature | Draft auto-saved at 7:03 PM | Request Return Receipt | Save In Sent folder

Subject: REPLY | Add Contact | Options

Storage 1% of 1GB

Contact Us | Charter.com

© 2011 Charter Communications

1074

RECEIVED
 OCT 13 2014
 SC Court of Appeals



Welcome enash77@charter.net **PHONE** **ONLINE TOOLS** **EMAIL** **CONTACTS** | **Help** | **Log Out**

EMAIL | enash77@charter.net **REPLY**

Send Save As Draft Plain Text Attach Spell Check

To www.sccourts.org Show Cc/Bcc

Subject REPLY

Verdana 11

1. When this paperwork was file by Attorney John Kirkman Moorhead he was suppose to have file document the court shall required a written authorization from the entity' So president a limited certificate before permitting the representation general partner, owner or chief executive officer THIS WAS NOT DONE WHY?SO THE MAGISTRATE COURT DID NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO HEAR THIS CASE BUT THEY DID ANY WAY ?

2. WHEN THE COURT HAD THIS FIRST HEARING ON THIS CASE THE JUDGE BY THE NAME d. EUBANKS WAS ASK IF SHE HAD A COPY OF THIS PAPERWORK AND HER STAT EM THAT SHE DID HAVE A COPY OF THIS PAPERWORK AFTER THE ATTORNEY GOT DONE WITH HIS LIES THEN I ASK THIS ATTORNEY IF HE COULD SHOW ME ANY PAPERWORK WHERE I HAD SIGN AND NOTE FOR THIS AMOUNT AND HIS STATEMENT WAS I DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING LIKE THAT .

3. THE JUDGE AT THAT TIME WAS ASK FOR THIS TO BE DISMISS AT THAT TIME BUT SHE REFUSED TO DO THAT WHY WHEN THE ATTORNEY STATE THAT HE HAD NOTHING TO PROVE THAT I OWNE THEM ANY MONEY THIS PAPERWORK HAS IT STATEN THAT THIS APEX AUTOMOTIVE RECEIVED THIS MONEY CASH ON THEIR PAPERWORK IN THREE DIFFERENCE PLACE THAT THEY HAVE RECEIVED THIS MONEY SO WHY WAS THIS CASE NO DISMISS RIGHT THEN

4. WHEN I ASK THE MAGISTRATE COURT FOR A COPY OF THAT HEARING THEY TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO BRING TO TAPE TO THEM TO GET THIS DONE AND THEN THEY CALL ME AND GIVE ME THE TAPE THAT SAID THAT THEY ONLY NEEDED ONE TAPE AND THEY GIVE ME THE BLANK TAPE BACK SO AT THAT TIME THEIR WAS ONLY ONE TAPE BUT WHEN THIS CURIE COURT JUDGE ASK FOR THESE TAPES THEN THEIR WAS TWO TAPE GIVEN THEN BUT BEFORE THEIR WAS ONLY ONE TAPE GIVE TO ME ON THIS CASE SO WHERE DID THIS OTHER TAPE COME FROM OR DID IT TAKE THIS JUDGE 8 MONTH TO GET THIS OTHER TAPE MADE SO THEY COULD SAY WHAT THEY WANT TO DO ON THIS OTHER TAPE SO SOMETHING IS WRONG HERE ?

5 WHEN THIS CURLICUIT COURT HEARING WAS HEAR IT WAS NOT THIS J CorDell Maddox THAT SET ON THIS CASE AS HE SAID HE DID AND THEN IT TOOK HIM THREE MONTH TO GET THIS OTHER TAPE MADE FROM THE MAGISTRATE COURT AND THEN FOR HIM TO SAY THAT HE HEARD MT CASE HE IS A LIE R HE DID NOT SET ON MY CASE AT NO TIME AND HE WILL NEVER SET ON ANY COURT CASE THAT I HEVER HAVE EITHER BE CEASE HE IS NOT FIT TO BE ON ANY CASE

Insert Signature Draft auto-saved at 7:03 PM Request Return Receipt Save In Sent folder

Subject: REPLY Add Contact Options

Storage 1% of 1GB

Contact Us Charter.com

© 2011 Charter Communications

2 of 4



Welcome enash77@charter.net PHONE ONLINE TOOLS EMAIL CONTACTS Preferences Help Log Out

EMAIL | enash77@charter.net REPLY

To: www.sccourts.org Show Cc/Bcc

Subject: REPLY

Verdana 11

HAD TO BRING TO TAPE TO THEM TO GET THIS DONE AND THEN THEY CALL ME AND GIVE ME THE TAPE THAT SAID THAT THEY ONLY NEEDED ONE TAPE AND THEY GIVE ME THE BLANK TAPE BACK SO AT THAT TIME THEIR WAS ONLY ONE TAPE BUT WHEN THIS CURIE COURT JUDGE ASK FOR THESE TAPE THEN THEIR WAS TWO TAPE GIVEN THEN BUT BEFORE THEIR WAS ONLY ONE TAPE GIVE TO ME ON THIS CASE SO WHERE DID THIS OTHER TAPE COME FROM OR DID IT TAKE THIS JUDGE 8 MONTH TO GET THIS OTHER TAPE MADE SO THEY COULD SAY WHAT THEY WANT TO DO ON THIS OTHER TAPE SO SOMETHING IS WRONG HERE ?

5 WHEN THIS CURLICUIT COURT HEARING WAS HEAR IT WAS NOT THIS J CorDell Maddox THAT SET ON THIS CASE AS HE SAID HE DID AND THEN IT TOOK HIM THREE MONTH TO GET THIS OTHER TAPÉ MADE FROM THE MAGISTRATE COURT AND THEN FOR HIM TO SAY THAT HE HEARD MT CASE HE IS A LIE R HE DID NOT SET ON MY CASE .AT NO TIME AND HE WILL NEVER SET ON ANY COURT CASE THAT I HEVER HAVE EITHER BE CEASE HE IS NOT FIT TO BE ON ANY CASE WHEN HE LIE LIKE THIS .

6. ALL PAPERWORK WAS SENT TO THIS APPEALS COURT HERE AND SOME ONE IT TRYING TO COVER THIS ALL UP FOR THEM HERE NOW BY DOING WHAT IS GOING ON HERE NOW .

7 FIRST THIS COURT TRY TO TELL ME THAT I DID NOT SENT THEM THE MONEY THAT I SENT TO THEM THEY TRY TO TELL ME THAT I ONLY SEND THEM \$25.00 DOLLARS AND I TOLD THEM THAT WAS A LIE SO THEY DEMAND FOR ME TO PROVE TO THEM THAT I SEND THEM \$100.00 DOLLARS WHEN THEY TOLD ME THAT I ASK THEM TO GIVE ME THEIR FAX NUMBER AND THEIR STATE BACK TO ME THAT IT HAD TO BE IN WRITING AND THAT I COULD NOT FAX THIS TO THEM BECAUSE IT HAD TO BE IN WRITING SO I ASK THEM THEN WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE IF I FAX IT TO THEM OR PUT IT INTO THE MAIL TO THEM AND THEIR STATE TO ME THAT IT HAD TO BE IN WRITING BUT THAT WAS DONE JUST TO COST ME MORE MONEY TO GET IT DOWN THEIR THAT Way .

8. on JULY I WAS TOLD BY THIS ATTORNEY THAT ME CASE WAS DISMISSED THAT HE GOT A COPY OF IT SO I ASK THE COURT WHERE WAS MY COPY AND I ASK THEM EVER TO OR THREE DAYS WHERE WAS MY COPY OF THIS COURT ORDER THEN I RECEIVED E LETTER THAT I HAD TO GO LOOK UP THERE LAYS AND GET THAT PAPERWORK BACK TO THEM WITH IN 10 DAYS SO I G

Insert Signature Draft auto-saved at 7:08 PM Request Return Receipt Save In Sent folder

Subject: REPLY Add Contact Options

Storage 1% of 1GB

Contact Us Charter.com

© 2011 Charter Communications

3 of 4



Welcome enash77@charter.net | PHONE | ONLINE TOOLS | EMAIL | CONTACTS | Preferences | Help | Log Out

EMAIL | enash77@charter.net | REPLY

Send | Save As Draft | Print Text | Attach | Spell Check

To: www.sccourts.org | Show Cc/Bcc

Subject: REPLY

Verdana | 11

Way

8. on JULY I WAS TOLD BY THIS ATTORNEY THAT ME CASE WAS DISMISSED THAT HE GOT A COPY OF IT SO I ASK THE COURT WHERE WAS MY COPY AND I ASK THEM EVER TO OR THREE DAYS WHERE WAS MY COPY OF THIS COURT ORDER THEN I RECEIVED E LETTER THAT I HAD TO GO LOOK UP THERE LAYS AND GET THAT PAPERWORK BACK TO THEM WITH IN 10 DAYS SO I G TO AND ATTORNEY AND HE TELL ME WHY THEY WAS AFTER THEN SO I GOT THE ONE LAW WAS FOR ME TO GET A FORM 7 OUR TO THEM THE OTHER LAW WAS FOR ME TO GET A FORM I LETTER OUT TO THE AND THE OTHER ONE WAS FOR FOR ME TO GET A COPY OF THE COURT ORDER FROM THE COURT OF THE HEARING ON DECEMBER 19 FROM THE CLARK HAD TO GO TO THE JUDGE J CORDELL Maddox TO GET THAT BECAUSE IT WAS NOT INTO THE CLERK OFFICE FOR ME TO GET IT AND THAT WAS ALSO SENT BACK TO THEM .

9. WHEN I RECEIVED THIS FAKE COURT ORDER IT WAS NOT SIGN BY NO ONE SO IT WAS FAKE AND I ASK THEM WHY WAS THIS COURT ORG ER WAS NOT SIGN AND THEY COULD NOT ANSWER ME ON THIS HERE NOW SO SOME ONE HAD TOLD THE COURT TO DO THIS TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM THIS .

10 . Hava ask the court to give me a hearing on this matter and make this attorney and this so call circuit judge to prove that they have a right to sute me when they have not prove to do so and this magistrate court did not have the authority to hear this case either so this circuit curt is trying to cover this up also now and I am going to demand for his bond to be show and a copy of his state license now and I don t he has either one of them now . now this courze have a right to demand for them to show a copy of his linces now also.

11 now the rason that I demand for this court to give me this hearing is seance the court will not demand for them to show prove that I owne these people orny money at all and this court will not ask them to prove this here and now and that would end all of this problem and this court would have to find these people for their violation that they have committtee against me here now so if this court can not read and do what the law tell them to do they they will ba made to answar this place along the line so to get this stop here and now then this court can not do nothing but go by the law also now

Insert Signature | Draft auto-saved at 7:03 PM | Request Return Receipt | Save in Sent folder

Subject: REPLY | Add Contact | Options

Storage 1% of 1GB

Contact Us | Charter.com

© 2011 Charter Communications

Earl Nash
 209 CAMSON RD
 ANDERSON SC, 29625

4 of 4