



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211
1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080
FAX: (803) 734-1499
www.sccourts.org

October 24, 2014

The Honorable David Hamilton
PO Box 649
York SC 29745-0649

REMITTITUR

Re: Ann Adams v. Amisub
Lower Court Case No. 2011CP4603984
Appellate Case No. 2014-000388

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court along with the earlier decision of the South Carolina Court of Appeals is enclosed.



Very truly yours,



CLERK

cc: James W. Boyd, Esquire
William U. Gunn, Esquire
N. Heyward Clarkson, III, Esquire
Amy Miller Snyder, Esquire

THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Supreme Court**

Ann P. Adams, as Personal Representative of the Estate
of Jacob E. Adams, Deceased, Petitioner,

v.

Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Staci L. Versen-Rampey, NP, Individually and as Agent, Servant or Employee of South Carolina Emergency Physicians, LLC, and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Jason Price, Radiologic Technologist, Individually and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; James E. Reinhardt, Jr., M.D., Individually and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Rock Hill Radiology Associates, PA, and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; and Rock Hill Radiology Associates, P.A., South Carolina Emergency Physicians, LLC, Defendants,

of whom, Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Staci L. Versen-Rampey, NP, Individually and as Agent, Servant or Employee of South Carolina Emergency Physicians, LLC, and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Jason Price, Radiologic Technologist, Individually and as Agent, Servant or Employee of

Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System, are Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2014-000388

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal From York County
The Honorable John C. Hayes, III, Circuit Court Judge,

Memorandum Opinion No. 2014-MO-037
Submitted August 26, 2014 – Filed October 8, 2014

REVERSED

James W. Boyd, of Rock Hill, for Petitioner.

N. Heyward Clarkson, III and Amy Miller Snyder, both of Clarkson Walsh Terrell & Coulter, PA, of Greenville, William U. Gunn, of Holcombe Bomar, PA, of Spartanburg, for Respondents.

PER CURIAM: This matter is before the Court by way of a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the Court of Appeals' decision in *Adams v. Amisub of South Carolina, Inc.*, Op. No. 2013-UP-461 (S.C. Ct. App. filed Dec. 11, 2013). Based on our recent decision in *Ranucci v. Crain*, Op. No. 27422 (S.C. Sup. Ct. filed July 23, 2014), we grant the petition for a writ of certiorari, dispense with further briefing, reverse the decision of the Court of Appeals and remand this case to the circuit court to resume proceedings.

REVERSED.

**TOAL, C.J., PLEICONES, BEATTY and KITTREDGE, JJ. concur.
HEARN, J., not participating.**

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

Ann P. Adams, as Personal Representative of the Estate
of Jacob E. Adams, Deceased, Appellant,

v.

Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical
Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Staci L.
Versen-Rampey, NP, Individually and as Agent, Servant
or Employee of South Carolina Emergency Physicians,
LLC, and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Amisub of
South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and
d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Jason Price,
Radiologic Technologist, Individually and as Agent,
Servant or Employee of Amisub of South Carolina, Inc.,
d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont
Healthcare System; James E. Reinhardt, Jr., M.D.,
Individually and as Agent, Servant or Employee of Rock
Hill Radiology Associates, PA, and as Agent, Servant of
Employee of Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a
Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare
System; and Rock Hill Radiology Associates, P.A., South
Carolina Emergency Physicians, LLC, Defendants,

of whom Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont
Medical Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System;
Staci L. Versen-Rampey, NP, Individually and as Agent,
Servant or Employee of South Carolina Emergency
Physicians, LLC, and as Agent, Servant or Employee of
Amisub of South Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical
Center and d/b/a Piedmont Healthcare System; Jason
Price, Radiologic Technologist, Individually and as
Agent, Servant or Employee of Amisub of South

Carolina, Inc., d/b/a Piedmont Medical Center and d/b/a
Piedmont Healthcare System are Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2012-212832

Appeal From York County
John C. Hayes, III, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2013-UP-461
Heard November 13, 2013 – Filed December 11, 2013

AFFIRMED

James W. Boyd, of James W. Boyd, Attorney, of Rock
Hill, for Appellant.

Amy Miller Snyder and N. Heyward Clarkson, III, both
of Clarkson, Walsh, Terrell & Coulter, PA, of Greenville,
for Respondents Staci L. Versen-Rampey and SC
Emergency Physicians, LLC; and William U. Gunn, of
Holcombe Bomar, PA, of Spartanburg, for Respondents
Amisub of South Carolina and Jason Price.

KONDUROS, J.: Ann Adams appeals the circuit court's order finding she failed to comply with section 15-79-125 of the South Carolina Code (Supp. 2012) when she did not file an expert affidavit contemporaneously with her Notice of Intent to File Suit for medical malpractice. We affirm.

On October 28, 2008, Adams's husband died after a week-long stay in the hospital following a fall. On October 20, 2011, Adams, as personal representative of her husband's estate, filed a notice for wrongful death and survival claims against the hospital, nurse practitioner, radiologic technologist, and radiologist and his practice. Section 15-79-125 requires that before a plaintiff initiates a lawsuit for medical malpractice, the party file a notice, contemporaneously with an expert affidavit, and serve them on the defendant. § 15-79-125(A). Adams failed to

contemporaneously file her expert affidavit. Each defendant filed a motion to dismiss, and the circuit court granted all of the motions. Adams appealed, arguing the circuit court erred in its interpretation of section 15-79-125 and section 15-36-100 of the South Carolina Code (Supp. 2012). We disagree.

Both sides concede *Ranucci v. Crain*, 397 S.C. 168, 723 S.E.2d 242 (Ct. App. 2012), *cert. granted*, (Sept. 6, 2013), controls our interpretation of these statutes. In *Ranucci*, this court affirmed the circuit court's dismissal of Ranucci's notice for her failure to comply with the contemporaneous filing requirement of section 15-79-125 because she filed her expert affidavit forty-five days after she filed her notice. 397 S.C. at 178, 723 S.E.2d at 247. The court rejected the same argument Adams makes here—that the affidavit requirements of section 15-36-100 permitted her to file the affidavit late without violating section 15-79-125. *Id.*

As in *Ranucci*, because Adams failed to comply with the mandatory filing requirements of section 15-79-125, the circuit court's order is

AFFIRMED.

PIEPER, J., concurs.

FEW, C.J., concurring: I concur with the majority that *Ranucci* controls our interpretation of the statutes at issue in this appeal. However, as I explained in my concurring opinion in *Ranucci*, I believe our interpretation requires the conclusion that the statute of limitations has expired on any civil action Adams might have brought for malpractice. Therefore, the issues raised in this appeal are moot, and I would dismiss the appeal. *See Ranucci*, 397 S.C. at 179-81, 723 S.E.2d at 248-49 (Few, C.J., concurring). *But cf. Ross v. Waccamaw Cmty. Hosp.*, 404 S.C. 56, 66, 744 S.E.2d 547, 552 (2013) (upholding the circuit court's continued jurisdiction over the notice after the time limits of section 15-79-125 have expired, but not addressing the right of a plaintiff to serve a summons and complaint after the expiration of the statute of limitations).