

Philip H. Woolhiser, Attorney at Law

**112 Trafalgar St. SW
Aiken, SC 29801-3744**

**Phone: (803) 648-9994
FAX: (803) 753-9018**

Email: woolhiser@bellsouth.net

November 6, 2014

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of the South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1629

Subject: Bernard and Sherry Loyer v. S17 Owners Association, Inc. et al.
Case No.: 2014-001742

Dear Ms. Kitchings,

In furtherance of my letter dated November 4, 2014 (attached), I understand that Charles Wilmot Miller is not a Respondent, unless the Appellants agree to that designation. The Appellants have been perplexed by Mr. Miller's position in the entire lower court action; the Appellants do not wish to have Mr. Miller named a Respondent this late date.

The Appellants hope their position adds clarification to their November 4, 2014 request for guidance.

Sincerely yours,



Philip H. Woolhiser

PW/mw

Attachment: Ltr. Dated 11/04/2014

cc: Michael S. Medlock, Esquire
Paul Knapp Simons, Esquire
Marsha M. Banks, Esquire
S-17 Owners Association, Inc.
Robert J. Berning

RECEIVED

NOV 10 2014

SC Court of Appeals

COPY

Philip H. Woolhiser, Attorney at Law

**112 Trafalgar St. SW
Aiken, SC 29801-3744**

**Phone: (803) 648-9994
FAX: (803) 753-9018**

Email: woolhiser@bellsouth.net

November 4, 2014

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of the South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1629

Subject: Bernard and Sherry Loyer v. S17 Owners Association, Inc. et al.
Case No.: 2014-001742

Dear Ms. Kitchings,

This letter seeks your guidance concerning future case milestones. The Appellants' Reply to the Initial Brief of the Respondents, was served yesterday. SCACR Rule 210 indicates that the Appellants are now required to serve the "Record on Appeal" within 30 days.

The Appellants' question is: Who has served a brief?

The Appellants' record does not indicate the Appellant Court's permission for the dismissal of Attorney Medlock has been provided, nor is there permission for Charles Wilmot Miller to join the action as a Respondent. Charles Wilmot Miller filed his Initial Brief on October 24, 2014. The Brief of the Respondents has not been received. If Charles Wilmot Miller is not accepted as a Respondent, does he meet the definition of "a party who has filed a brief"?

If Charles Wilmot Miller is not a party who has filed a brief, it seems that the Appellants have no one on which to serve their Record on Appeal. Your guidance in this matter will be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,



Philip H. Woolhiser


PW/mw

cc: Michael S. Medlock, Esquire
Paul Knapp Simons, Esquire
Marsha M. Banks, Esquire
S-17 Owners Association, Inc.
Robert J. Berning

RECEIVED
NOV 10 2014
SC Court of Appeals

PHIL WOOLHISER
ATTORNEY AT LAW
112 TRAFALGAR ST., SW
AIKEN, SC 29801

AUGUSTA GA 309
05 NOV 2014 PM 2 L



The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of the South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1629

RECEIVED

NOV 10 2014

SC Court of Appeals

29211#1629

