

November 4, 2014

REC

NOV 14

S.C. Supreme Court

Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk of Court
The Supreme Court of South Carolina
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: Cardelle T. Washington vs. State of South Carolina
Case No: 2014-002251

Dear Mr. Shearouse

I've received a letter from Mr. P. Mills Ariail, Jr that he sent you. In this letter Mr Ariail states he has filed a Notice of Appeal and that he has not filed a Rule 59(e) motion.

However, I'm stating for the record to the Supreme Court, that Mr Ariail has not spoken with me before filing the Notice of Appeal. On 10-20-14 I received the Final Order, Notice of Appeal, and a cover letter address to you (Mr. Shearouse) RE: Notice of Intent to Appeal. In the cover letter Mr Ariail also states that he was my court appointed counsel and also that the Appellate Defense will handle the appeal and petition for certiorari.

On my own behalf and my legal right to the Rule 59(e). I've already filed. I'm expressing issues that were talked about at the hearing and not ruled on in the order. It says "that all other issues not address was abandoned by the applicant." An that is not correct, Mr Ariail made a false statement when he said,

"legal issues that Mr Washington raised were included in the Final Order." They were not and the Rule 59(e) motion is to get me a ruling so that I may raise them again in the Notice of Appeal.

I did not and do not agree with Mr Ariail filing the Notice of Appeal before the Rule 59(e). As Mr Ariail stated before ~~to~~ he was my counsel, I do not have counsel at this moment, and I'm fine with it within my right to file my Rule 59(e) motion pro-se.

As you've stated it's been done in a timely manner.

Thank you for your time in this situation.

Respectfully,

Carroll Washington