

**LEGAL MAIL**

[CHIEF JUSTICES (CJ)]

S.C. COURT OF APPEALS - Hon. Chief Justice: William A. BRAUNQUIST  
P.O. BOX 11627 - Columbia, S.C. 29211

RE: STATE V. Mosley CASE # 2014-0019

DEAR CHIEF JUSTICE-SIR;

MAY THE LORD OF ABRAHAM BLESS YOU FAMILY, 3 LIVES AS WELL AS STAFF! SIR, I've sent you copies of enclosed letters to my APPELLANT DEFENSE ATTORNEY LOWELL DEBANT. I CAN'T DO BRIEF ETC. HOWEVER, I CAN SUBMIT IMPOT. ENCLOSED IS "ISSUES" THAT ARE VALID TO MY APPEAL SIR IN CASE SOMETHING GOES WRONG IN APPEAL, ITS DOCUMENTED THOSE "ISSUES" IF NOT FAISED [BY HER IN BRIEF] WERE SUBMITTED TO HER VIA [I APPELLANT!] MAY GOD BLESS!

AS Salaam Alakum

D.D.S

NAME: SHAHIN MASIDIKA

PURSUANT TO 28 USC § 1714 I CERTIFY UNDER

ARTHUR Mosley #1991378

PENALTY/PERJURY LEGAL DOCUMENT IS

ADD: MOET - BSC Bldg - 1000

CONCLUSIVE JUDICIAL DOCUMENT.

MCCORMICK, S.C. 29877

FILED: NOV. 3 - 2014

SIGN: Shahin Masidika

Cell: 803-202-1111 Appellant

**RECEIVED**

NOV 07 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

LEGAL MAIL

CHIEF JUSTICE COURT

I.

DIVISION OF APPELLATE DEFENSE - 1330 LADY ST. SUITE 401 - ATTY. LONNIE DURANT

Columbia, S.C 29211 - , Nov. 3<sup>RD</sup> - 2014

RE: State v. Mosley CASE #2014-00019

RECEIVED

NOV 07 2014

DEAR MS. DURANT:

SC Court of Appeals

MAY THE LORD GOD OF ABRAHAM Bless YOU, FAMILY & STAFF! YOU CITED VIA LEGAL CALL LAST [Tues. 10/28/14] I HAVEN'T READ YOUR 1<sup>ST</sup> TRANSCRIPT YET. I WILL CONSIDER YOUR ISSUES IN LETTERS FOR BRIEF ETC. ANOTE/LWQUOTE YOU HAVE [30 DAYS] UNLESS RULES CHANGED! LET ME KNOW! BELOW IS SOME ISSUES TO PRESENT ON 1<sup>ST</sup> TRANSCRIPT

Please Reply via mail - immediately!

① INDICT. [2007-GS-45-0052] HAS ALL OFFENSES ON THAT DONE INDICTMENT. THIS IS "REVERSIBLE ERROR"! QUEST. ① How ARE YOU GOING TO PRESENT THAT IN BRIEF?!

② MY INDICT. IS A FRAUD? [NOT-VALID]! Clerk Filed, STAMPED ON [JULY 5, 2007]

AT [2:20 p.m.]! HOWEVER, THE GRAND JURY CONVENED ON IT 4 DAYS LATER ON [JULY 9, 2007]! THE CONST. ART I, §17 CITES INDICTMENT - MUST BE REFERRED TO A

GRAND JURY TO PROSECUTE DEFENDANT IN MURDER CHARGE! SEE [State v. Pector 155

S.E. 385, 158 S.C. 212]; [State v. Mann 357 S.E. 2d 461, 292 S.C. 497] ? [Anderson

v. State, 527 S.E. 2d 398, 338 S.C. 629]! QUEST. How ARE YOU GOING TO PRESENT IN BRIEF?!

③ [11/9/14] LETTER I CITED TRANSCRIPT PAGES [5169-5191] DEBRA BUTCHER WAS NOT IN

FOR: A] MOTIONS TO BE PRESERVED; B] CHARGES TO PRESENT TO JURY; C] WHILE I'M

ADDRESSING COURT NOT UNDERSTANDING EVIDENCE EXHIBITS PROCEEDS: THIS VIOLATED MY DUE

PROCESS RIGHTS 14<sup>TH</sup> AMEND.; COUNSEL STAND BY - 36<sup>TH</sup> AMEND.; ADA 42 USC § 12102!

QUEST. How ARE YOU GOING TO PRESENT THIS IN BRIEF?!



# LEGAL MAIL

I.

[CHIEF JUSTICE'S COPY]

SOCIO - DIVISION OF APPELLATE DEFENSE

1335 LADY ST. SUITE 404 - ATTNY. LANELE DURANT

Columbia, S.C. 29201

1 NOV. 3<sup>RD</sup>. 2014

RE: STATE V. Moseley case # 2014-00019

DEAR MRS. DURANT;

MAY THE LORD GOD OF ABRAHAM BLESS YOU, FAMILY & STAFF! I RECEIVED  
2<sup>ND</sup> TRANSCRIPT LAST WEEK WEDNES. [10/29/14] OF JUDGE NEWMAN COERCING  
ME TO GO PROSE. I BELIEVE WE HAVE 30 DAYS TO FILE BRIEF. BELOW IS WHAT  
I SAW WITH [AID] IN [JUDGE ABUSE DISCRETION]. :

1) JUDGE NEWMAN - ABUSED - DISCRETION - ATTESTED - APPELLANT - DID NOT - KNOW -  
RULES - TO - REPRESENT - SELF - & STILL ALLOWED HIM TO REPRESENT SELF! [pgs. 39  
lines 23-25 & pgs. 40 lines 1-9]

2) JUDGE NEWMAN - ABUSED - DISCRETION - COERCING - APPELLANT TO REPRESENT  
SELF & DENIED APPELLANT APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL! [pgs. 15 line 25 & pgs. 16 line 5]

3) JUDGE NEWMAN - ABUSED - DISCRETION - BY COERCING DEFENDANT TO GO  
PROSE EVEN AFTER READING APPELLANT'S MENTAL ISSUES IN COURT [pgs. 25 line 1-4]

4) JUDGE NEWMAN - ABUSED - DISCRETION - TELLING APPELLANT HE CANT TELL  
ATTNYS THEY VIOLATE HIS RIGHTS & EITHER THEY REPRESENT OR GO PROSE INSTEAD  
OF APPOINTING COUNSEL [pgs. 15 line 20-24]

5) JUDGE NEWMAN ABUSED DISCRETION BY REQUIRING BUTLER TO STAND BY  
COUNSEL WHEN SHE ASKED TO BE RELIEVED & APPELLANT DID GAIN IN HER  
MAKING HER A "CONFLICT OF INTEREST" [pgs. 42 line 11-13 & 14-18]

## LEGAL MAIL

II.

- 6) JUDGE NEWMAN ABUSED DISCRETION BY DOING HEARINGS WHEN HE SHOULD OF RESCUE SELF BEING A DEFENDANT ON CIVIL SUIT OF APPELLANTS. [pgs. 5 lines 17-25; pgs. 6 lines 1-4]
- 7) JUDGE NEWMAN ABUSED DISCRETION BY COERCING APPELLANT TO GO PROSE WHEN APPELLANT ATTESTED HE HAS NO TRIAL EXPERIENCE. [pgs. 40 line 24-25]
- 8) JUDGE NEWMAN ABUSED DISCRETION BY COERCING APPELLANT TO GO PROSE EVEN AFTER READING APPELLANT'S MEDICAL FILES ATTESTING HE'S RECEIVING DISABILITY CHECK '3 IS DIAGNOSED AS BIPOLAR '3 SCHIZOPHRENIC [pgs. 25 line 1-10 '3 BEING TREATED FOR MENTAL ILLNESS' pgs. 18 lines 13-25; pgs. 19 lines 5-19; pgs. 29 lines 16-21]
- 9) APPELLANT DID INFORM JUDGE NEWMAN THAT LAWYERS PUB. DEF. SHUKA '3 PRO BOND DEBRA BUTCHER WERE VIOLATING HIS CONST. RIGHTS BY NOT DOING HIS PRE TRIAL MOTIONS [pgs. 13 line 15-17; pgs. 13 line 19-21 '3 23-24; pgs. 15 lines 3, 5, 7]
- 10) JUDGE NEWMAN ABUSED DISCRETION BY INQUIRING APPELLANT TO REPRESENT SELF INSTEAD OF APPOINTING COUNSEL [pgs. 13 line 25; pgs. 14 line 3-6]

ABOVE IS SOME ISSUES OF JUDGE ABUSING DISCRETION! PLEASE WRITE BACK '3 LET ME KNOW YOUR VIEWS? MAY GOD BLESS! - AS-SALAM ALAIKUM

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746 I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY LEGAL DOCUMENT HAS BEEN MAILED TO [ATTY. LONELLE DURANT] AS WELL AS COURT OF APPEALS [CHIEF JUSTICE WILLIAM H. PEARQUISE] AND IS CONCLUSIVE, JUDICIAL DOCUMENT. FILED: [NOV. 3<sup>RD</sup> 2014]

SIGNED: Shabd Majid ARS QATHAN MAJID - APPELLANT - #199398

ADDRESS: MCC I - 386 REDEMPTION WAY / MCCORMICK, S.C. 29899

Administrative Law  
Administrative Law  
Administrative Law

**RECEIVED**

NOV 07 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

SC COURT OF APPEALS  
JUDGE [unclear]  
[unclear]  
[unclear]