



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 8, 2014

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

SC Court of Appeals

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: The State v. Lanarol Huger
Appellate Case No: 2014-001936

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

I am in receipt of the Memorandum Addressing Appealability in the appeal listed above. Appellant asserts in the Memorandum that the judgment he is appealing is properly before this Court because he is appealing from a guilty plea and sentence and because he later learned the sentence was not what he expected. Respondent submits that it appears from Appellant's Memorandum that Appellant cannot comply with the requirements of Rule 203(d)(B)(iv), SCACR, which requires him to identify how issues were raised to the Court of General Sessions during the guilty plea and the ruling made on the issue raised. It appears no issue was preserved for appellate review during the guilty plea or sentencing but, rather, Appellant later became dissatisfied with his plea and sentence. Accordingly, the appeal must be dismissed for failing to make the requisite showing. Appellant has other adequate remedies to address the unpreserved matter he urges this Court to consider on direct appeal.

Sincerely,

Salley W. Elliott
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General
S.C. Bar No: 1871

SWE/ab

cc: Aaron C. Mayer, Esquire