

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Patrick Lowrance, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-213300

---

Appeal From Greenville County  
Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-439  
Heard September 9, 2014 – Filed December 3, 2014

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender Robert M. Pachak, of Columbia, for  
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant  
Attorney General John Benjamin Aplin, of Columbia, for  
Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Patrick Lowrance appeals his conviction for possession of a stolen vehicle, arguing the trial court erred in denying his motion for directed verdict because the evidence was insufficient to show the vehicle was stolen. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v.*

*Lane*, 406 S.C. 118, 121, 749 S.E.2d 165, 167 (Ct. App. 2013) ("If there is any direct evidence, or if there is substantial circumstantial evidence, which reasonably tends to prove the defendant's guilt, an appellate court must find the trial court properly submitted the case to the jury."); *id.* ("On appeal from the denial of a directed verdict, an appellate court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the State.").

**AFFIRMED.**

**WILLIAMS, GEATHERS, and McDONALD, JJ., concur.**