

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2014

Addressed To: The Court of Appeals

SC Court of Appeals

I humbly ask the finders of the facts that when conducting this appeal to please "consider all the issues and arguments" sent to you.

I trust the second time around when reviewing my transcript when examining the evidence in statements, arguments, and testimony by the judge, counsel, and witnesses in my transcript during trial should shed new light on the many errors by professionals of the law made on March 12th and the 13th of 2014. State case no. 2013-000604 should have never been heard by a jury because of defective warrants badly trained police work at the scene of the crime where which all statements and testimony leading up to the arrest was obtained illegal according to the mirand rights that all the evidence used by state from states evidence exhibit number 15 police cam video was in miranda violation from the start of trial.

And should have never been allowed
In, the judge allowed it using a blind
eye of the law. When In fact there was
Conversation of a potential Brewer v
Williams issue 430 US 387 (1977) by
my co-defendants lawyer Mr Mureddu
had to say this at trial: judge there are
various statements out there that I've
been given assurances that the prosecutor
is going to do their best to keep them
from coming out. (Tr 9 p 17-20) There's going
to be a temptation on the part of all the
witnesses to get into various statements
that were made by Mr Dudley, statements
that were made by Mr Coleman, and
statements that a corroborating defendant
that they may or may not use. (Tr 9 p 20-25)
I think that could turn into a potential Brewer
issue. (Tr 9 p 25) (Tr 10 p 1) Brewer v Williams
430 US 387 (1977) Once the sixth amendment right to
counsel has attached for a particular charge, law enforcement
officials may not use as evidence at trial incriminating
statements deliberately elicited from the accused without the
presence or waiver of counsel. This prohibition applies
to statements elicited by police and individuals used
by the police to gain information. case law

Unless the judge does not know Brewer Williams then he knew there was a Miranda issue and then there is a part in the transcript where counsel admit there is a issue on page 164 in my transcript Case no 2013-000604 Counsel agree that there are statements made pre-miranda and should not be heard by the jury these are the Brewer issues that were mentioned earlier on page 9 and to the jury has no idea there is a deception of the law being used by allowing evidence prior to miranda warnings is a violation so the tape should not have been shown or admitted into evidence. The statements and testimony used at trial in my conviction drew from this evidence state witness testimony was a result of miranda warning violations and the court and counsel knew this and still knowing allowed trial to continue any way knowing state evidence was absent and failed to comply with the rules of the court. In the interest of justice the facts show through the entire stop not once was our miranda rights read through out the recording even after the initial stop for prowling 1 then suspicion of burglary 2

and even after locating marijuana
in state witness Shakerra Cowan's
Car 3. Did we be read our rights
I ask the court to review the entire
Video from the police cam and please
Correct this travesty of justice.

Keirnan Coleman

RECEIVED


OCT-29-2014

SC Court of Appeals

Iceiron Coleman 354333
McI
386 Redemption way
McCormick S.C. 29899
#413-141

AUGUSTA, GA 309

27 OCT 2014 PM 1 L



FOREVER
USA

Bank Swallow

South Carolina Court of Appeals
Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED
OCT 29 2014
SC Court of Appeals

2921181629

