

RECEIVED

DEC 11 2014

No. _____

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

S.C. Supreme Court

In The SUPREME COURT

PETITION ON A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLATE Case No. 2013-002785

Norman Robert Knight,

Petitioners,

v.

Companion Property and Casualty
Insurance Company of South Carolina;
Robertson Hollingsworth & Flynn Law Firm with;
Paul R. Ryan, as an Individual & a Partner:

Respondent(s)

Petitioner's MOTION TO STRIKE

AND TO DECLARE MOOT THE (1st) RETURN TO NOT FILE THE AMENDED (2nd) RETURN

Norman Robert "Bobby" Knight, Pro Se Petitioner
3940 Hottinger Avenue
North Charleston, SC 20405
(843) 735-0814

Robertson Hollingsworth & Flynn
Theodore L. Manos
177 Meeting Street, Ste 300
Charleston, SC 29401
(843)723-6470
Attorney for Respondents

Petitioner's MOTION TO STRIKE

AND TO DECLARE MOOT THE (1st) RETURN TO NOT FILE THE AMENDED (2nd) RETURN

COMES NOW the Petitioner, Mr. Knight, to **MOVES TO STRIKE** the Respondent's original (1st) RETURN made MOOT and for an ORDER The Clerk to not file the AMENDED (2nd) RETURN as it was produced to the Court without first obtaining an ORDER to file AMENDED pleading *out of time* with R.242 et seq.

FACTS

Petitioner Knight timely filed his Writ R.242. Writ of Certiorari with the fee paid.

On December 5th 2014, the Petitioner received from the Respondents filed their RETURN and by attempting to file the second or amended RETURN; inadvertently by their own hand, are making their first RETURN **MOOT** by mailing their written-redaction stating via with a filing a letter to the Clerk of the Supreme Court dated on their stationary as December 2nd 2014. Thereby, **re-making** of an "AMENDED RETURN with 6 copies" to the Court without any Court Ordered permission being asked in advance to "break the sequence" of R.242.

CONCLUSION

The prejudices the Writ process and this Petitioner *Pro Se*. And, too, {it} complicates any normal expected court rules processes; court form and the elementary court sequenced subsection of the rules; further, their December 2nd 2014 effort to AMEND was done so after time to file RETURN and without first obtaining a Motion or Order from the Court to file an AMENDED substituted document, This writes a '*heyday*' and '*Tom Foolery*' into the times allowed by Rule 242(g) to file the a Petitioner's REPLY.

RELIEF

The Supreme Court operates by having adopted all the rules of the S.C. Appellant Court cannot arbitrarily allow these RESPONDENTS to rewrite these sacred rules – this in fact – is what got them sued in the first place and is the crust of this Writ of Certiorari !

THE COURT MUST ORDER THAT such REPLY is now no longer to be necessary as the Respondents' in their typical mightier than thou attitude of large law firms and insurance companies' sporadic

behavior since these matters and issues between Mr. Knight and the Defendants were FILED beginning of December 2003 USDC (see Exhibits) and again in 2012, these Respondents have unwittingly but “silenced themselves” further, and in the same manner as SCAC R.242 by in effect withdrawing their (1st)RETURN and replacing it with an unapproved by Order an AMENDED (2nd)RETURN -- that is not even labeled or titled R.7(b) as being amended; nor did the RESPONDENTS amend their with Motion for an ORDER of the Court to grant a Extension of Time to substitute any pleadings out of time, they just did it; on their own accord with “a self-ordained pompous and omnipotent mindset”; which by RULE cannot be changed after filing, having started the Petitioner R.242(g)REPLY just like a INITIAL BRIEF not be changed after presented; should the Supreme Court to hear ORAL ARGUMENTS about the entire matter. . . and the Writ conforms into FINAL BRIEFS; behavior compounded yet again by ‘upsetting the time clock’ and DENYING the Petitioner R.242(g) REPLY rights.

THEREFORE This Petitioner’s MOTION TO STRIKE – TO NOT FILE THE AMENDED (2nd)RETURN EFFORT AND TO STRIKE AS THE (1ST) RETURN WAS DECLARED MOOT. The Petitioner comes asking for the Clerk to be Ordered by the Supreme Court to not file any AMENDED (2ND)RESPONSE pleadings and to strike as MOOT the REDACTED (1ST)RESPONSE as was Respondent’s original RETURN.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of December 2014.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bobby Knight", written over a horizontal line.

Norman Robert “Bobby” Knight, Pro Se Petitioner
3940 Hottinger Avenue
North Charleston, SC 20405
(843) 735-0814

RECEIVED

DEC 11 2014

S.C. Supreme Court

No. _____

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The SUPREME COURT

PETITION ON A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLATE Case No. 2013-002785

Norman Robert Knight,

Petitioners,

v.

Companion Property and Casualty
Insurance Company of South Carolina;
Robertson Hollingsworth & Flynn Law Firm with;
Paul R. Ryan, as an Individual & a Partner:

Respondent(s)


PROOF OF SERVICE

Norman Robert "Bobby" Knight, *pro se*, I certify that I have served :

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO STRIKE 2nd RETURN & MOOT 1st RETURN

to Theodore Manos, Attorney for the Respondents, by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on December 10th , 2014, addressed to their attorney of record: Theodore Manos, % Robertson Hollingsworth & Flynn Law Firm, 177 Meeting Street, Ste 300, Charleston, SC 29401, (843) 723-6470.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of December 2014.



Norman Robert "Bobby" Knight, *Pro Se* Petitioner
3940 Hottinger Avenue
North Charleston, SC 20405
(843) 735-0814

Thursday, December 10, 2014

South Carolina Supreme Court
Clerk of Court
Supreme Court Building
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

(803) 734-1080

RECEIVED

DEC 11 2014

S.C. Supreme Court


RE: Motion to Strike \$25 Filing Fee 1 Original & 6 copies w/ 1 ea. Return USPS Prepaid Envelope

Hello Clerk of S. C. Supreme Court

2014-002304


Please find enclosed my filing fee money order for \$25, my Motion to Strike and Proof of Service as 1 ea. loose original with your 6 ea. copies + 1 ea. copy to return in my postage prepaid envelope after filing.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter,


Bobby Knight
3940 Hottinger Avenue
North Charleston, SC 20405
(843) 735-0814

Cc/

Counsel of Record:
Theodore Manos
% Robertson Hollingsworth & Flynn Law Firm
177 Meeting Street, Ste 300
Charleston, SC 29401
Attorney for Respondents
(843) 723-6470



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Bobby Knight

12/11/2014

RECEIPT #74451

Case No: 2014-002304
Case Short Title: Norman Robert Knight v. Companion Property
Event:
Fee Type: Motion Fee
Amount: \$25.00
Payment Type: Money Order
Reference No: 22385193827
Check/Money Order Date: 12/10/2014
Comments: