

**RECEIVED**

DEC 15 2014

After Thought SC Court of Appeals

The judge Larry B. Hyman and prosecutor George DeBust jr Attorney J. M. Long failed to use the four prong test when considering whether or not if the statements made to police officers was admissible draws question. Testimony during trial indicates they did Attorney Dean n. Mureddu address the issue of statements made by defendants that were in violation with Brewer v Williams which explains about incriminating statements being inadmissible at trial. (TR-10-11) to further confirm Mr Mureddu finding Counsel agrees that no interviews or interrogations or statements made to law enforcement during the stop before and after custodial interrogations this also is found in Appellate case 2013-000604 Transcript pages (10-11) and then its ruled on by the judge then further into the transcript on page (164) you find that counsel agree that the statements made on state's exhibit number 15 car cam video were made pre-miranda.

Then the judge Larry B. Hyman instructs the jury on page (166) in the transcript informing the jury the length of the video and that's all they will consider and the video will be muted. Then during cross examination of Keirnon Coleman on transcript page (377) while Keirnon Coleman is on the witness stand prosecutor George DeBust jr ask Mr Coleman about a question he gave to the officer on the scene about why was he in that neighborhood on transcript page (377) in which counsel agreed the jury would not hear any testimony made to police officers during that stop and was ruled on by judge Larry B. Hyman my attorney J.M. Long objected to the playing of the audio portion requested by prosecutor George DeBust jr to be played only to be overruled by judge Larry B. Hyman and the audio was played for the jury to hear not once but twice through pages 377-379 both times being objected to and overruled.

Further more all statements and testimony  
of police officers were recognized as  
pre-miranda and should have never  
been used at trial that goes for  
Shakerra Cowans testimony which was  
in miranda violation along with all testimony  
as agreed upon by judge and counsel  
then on transcript page 383 judge Larry B. Hyman  
testimony implies that he was never asked to  
rule on whether or not a statement was  
made freely or not he said he didnt even  
know about miranda or if it was given  
or what the circumstances are and he thought  
it made no difference. Testimonies and  
statements are required before trial to know  
what by any means did these testimonies  
statements come by were they waived or  
was miranda safe guard given. Many rules  
of the court by judge George B. Hyman and  
counsel were violated during trial this case  
should've have never been prosecuted because  
of the miranda and many violations made by  
the court.

Keiron Coleman, 359333


M.C.I.

386 Redemption way

McCormick S.C. 29894

#413-141

ALBUQUERQUE GA 309



RECEIVED

DEC 15 2014

SC Court of Appeals

South Carolina Court of Appeals

Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk

Post Office Box 11629

Columbia, S.C. 29211

2921181629

