

The State of South Carolina  
In the Court of Appeals

**RECEIVED**

DEC 18 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

Appeal from the Administrative Law Court  
Carolyn C. Matthews, Adm. Law Judge

ALC Case No. 14-ALJ-04-0444-IJ  
Appellate No. 2014-002173

Anthony Ewing \_\_\_\_\_ Appellant  
vs.  
South Carolina Dept. of Corrections \_\_\_\_\_ Respondant

Certificate of Service

I, Anthony Ewing, prose appellant, hereby certifies that as of today's date he provides this court with notice that a copy of his Motion to Proceed on partial payment was previous filed in the timely manner as required. By court rules, said document was addressed as follows

Office of General Counsel S.C.D.C.  
4444 Broad River Rd.  
Columbia, S.C. 29210

and

Clerk of the S.C. Appeals Court  
1015 Sumter St.  
Columbia, S.C. 29201

Dec. 14<sup>th</sup> 2014

Anthony Ewing  
Anthony Ewing Pro Se.  
#220446 KRCI MB-3  
4848 Goldmine Hwy  
Kershaw S.C. 29067

THE STATE OF South Carolina

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

**RECEIVED**

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT **DEC 18 2014**

CAROLYN C. MATTHEWS, Adm. Law Judge

**SC Court of Appeals**

ALC CASE No. 14-ALJ-04-0444-IJ

APPELLATE No. 2014-002173

Anthony Erving \_\_\_\_\_ Appellant

VS

South Carolina DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS \_\_\_\_\_ Respondant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I Anthony Erving, Pro SE Appellant, hereby CERTIFIES THAT AS OF TODAY'S DATE HE PROVIDES THIS COURT WITH NOTICE THAT A COPY OF HIS MOTION TO PROCEED ON PARTIAL PAYMENT WAS PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THE TIMELY MANNER AS REQUIRED BY COURT RULES, SAID DOCUMENT WAS ADDRESSED AS FOLLOWS.

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL S.C.D.C.

4444 Broad River Rd.

Columbia S.C. 29210

CLERK OF THE S.C. APPEAL COURT

AND 1015 SUMNER ST.

Columbia S.C. 29201

DEC 14<sup>th</sup> 2014

Anthony Erving Pro SE

#220446 KRCI MB-3

4949 Goldmine Hwy

Kershaw S.C. 29067

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW **RECEIVED**

CAROLYN C. MATTHEWS, ALJ DEC 18 2014  
ALL No. 14-ALJ-04-0444 JT  
APPELLATE No. 2014-002173  
**SC Court of Appeals**

Anthony Ewing ————— APPELLANT

VS

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPT OF CORRECTIONS ————— RESPONDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Anthony Ewing pro se Appellant hereby CERTIFIES THAT AS OF Today's date he provides this court with notice that a copy of this motion concerning the designation of matter was previously filed in the timely manner as required by court rules, said document was addressed as follows.

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL S.C.D.C.  
4444 Broad River Rd.  
Columbia S.C. 29210

AND

CLERK OF THE S.C. APPEAL COURT  
1015 Sumter St.  
Columbia, S.C. 29201

DEC. 14<sup>th</sup> 2014

Anthony Ewing Pro SE.  
# 220446 KCFI MIB 3  
4849 Goldmine Hwy  
Kershaw S.C. 29067

The State of South Carolina  
In the Court of Appeals

Appeal from the Administrative Law Court  
Carolyn C. Matthews, ALJ

ALC No. 14-ALJ-04-0444-JJ  
Appellate No. 2014-002173

**RECEIVED**

DEC 18 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

Anthony Erving ----- Appellant  
South Carolina Dept. of Corrections <sup>v.s.</sup> ----- Respondant

Certificate of Service

I, Anthony Erving pro se appellant, hereby certifies that as of today's date he provides this court with notice that a copy of his motion concerning the designation of matter was previously sent to the court and respondent in a timely manner as is required by court rule, said document was addressed as follows:

Office of General Counsel S.C.D.  
4444 Broad River Rd.  
Columbia, S.C. 29210

and

Clerk of the S.C. Appeals Court  
1015 Sumpter St.  
Columbia, S.C. 29201

Date: Dec. 14<sup>th</sup> 2014

Anthony Erving

Anthony Erving Pro Se  
#220446 KRCI MB-3  
4848 Goldmine Hwy.  
Kershaw, S.C. 29067

To: Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk  
S.C. Court of Appeals  
1015 Sumter St.  
Columbia, S.C. 29201

**RECEIVED**

DEC 18 2014

Dec. 14, 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

Dear Ms. Kitchings,

Inclosed find "Certificate of Service" documents you requested which it is hoped substantially meet the format requirements of court rule. Once again consider the appellant is a pro se inmate and not a professional attorney; therefore he asks you liberally construe his filings as required by law.

Notice that once again copies of these court documents have been included to be filed stamped and returned, self-addressed stamped envelope included; to date none of his filings have been returned. Perhaps if you would review his filings all at one time, instead of piecemeal, he could address the deficiencies all at one time thus saving the court and parties time and expense.

Thank you for your help in this serious legal matter. I pray you will be equally diligent in holding the agency and the AIV responsible for their shortcoming.

Dec. 14, 2014

Sincerely,  
Anthony Ewing pro se