

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Donald J. Mauldin, Petitioner,

v.

State of South, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2014-002093

Lower Court Case No. 2011-CP-18-00603

ORDER

By letter dated November 19, 2014, counsel for petitioner was asked to provide this Court with proof of service of the notice of appeal on opposing counsel; the date on which he received written notice of entry of the order of dismissal; and the explanation required by Rule 243(c), SCACR, or in the alternative, a letter pursuant to *Dennison v. State*, 371 S.C. 221, 639 S.E.2d 35 (2006). No response has been received from counsel.¹

Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

FOR THE COURT

BY Arenda J. Shealy
Chief Deputy CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina
December 31, 2014

¹ It is further noted that by order dated November 17, 2014, petitioner was granted an extension of time until December 2, 2014 to file the explanation required by Rule 243(c), SCACR; however, he has also failed to file the required explanation.

cc:

Megan E. Harrigan, Esquire
Scott Innes McNeish, Esquire