

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Angelo Ham, 315014, Appellant,



v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2014-001098

ORDER

Following the denial of Appellant's first motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, this appeal was dismissed for failure to pay the filing fee associated with the notice of appeal. Appellant has now filed a petition to rehear the dismissal and a second motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*. After careful consideration of the petition and motion, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. See *Martin v. State*, 321 S.C. 533, 535, 471 S.E.2d 134, 134-35 (1995) ("In the absence of a statutory provision allowing the general waiver of filing fees, we conclude motions to proceed in forma pauperis may only be granted where specifically authorized by statute or required by constitutional provisions."); *Al-Shabazz v. State*, 338 S.C. 354, 372, 527 S.E.2d 742, 751 (2000) ("An inmate has no protected liberty interest in a 'minor' disciplinary proceeding in which he does not face the potential loss of sentence-related credits, but only lesser penalties such as extra duty, loss of television privileges, or cell restriction.").

 C.J.
 J.

James E. ... J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc: Angelo Ham, 00315014
Daniel John Crooks, III, Esquire

FILED
1/8/15