

The Supreme Court of S C

Haiyan Lin ----- Petitioner

City of Columbia ----- Vs. ----- Respondent

RECEIVED

DEC 30 2014

S.C. Court of Appeals

The Appellate Case No. 2014-0037

RECEIVED

DEC 30 2014

S.C. Supreme Court

Petition For Writ of Certiorari

The petitioner, Haiyan Lin, hereby requests the justices of S C Supreme Court to grant a full panel review of the appellate case No. 2014-0037, along with the case No. 2013-001862. Because these two cases are closely related, it is imperative that these two cases be consolidated, so that the appellant can present her cases in most effective and efficient ways. Therefore, the appellant has been insisted to consolidate these two cases to be able to perfect her appeals.

The appellant has filed the Motion to consolidate as soon as the Notice of Appeal was filed for the case 2014-0037. The Clerk of the court of Appeal denied the Motion to consolidate this case with the case No. 2013-001862, without any justifiable reason on 3/31/14. On May 19, 2014, the appellant filed the Motion to Consolidate for the case No. 2013-001862

with this case No. 2014-0037, as soon as the case No. 2013-001862 has been reinstated and be amended. Again, this Motion to Consolidate was denied by the Clerk of Appellate Court. More over, the appeal No. 2013-001862 was dismissed without reason, and the remittiture was sent back to lower court on the same date as the denial order. The appellant has filed the Motion to Recall and to Reinstate, and also the Motion for Full Panel Review on the case No. 2013-001862, ever since July 10, 2014. But until this day, there was no response from the Court of the Appeal.

Despite of the repeate motions for full panel review, the Clerk continued to deny the appellant's access for full panel review, and pressured the appellant to file the initial brief without granting consolidation. On Dec. 17, 2014 the final order was issued. Although the final Order was signed by three judges, but it is nothing more than a signed order prepared by the clerk of the Appeal Court. Since there was no full

panell reviews on the case file, therefore there were no opinions were given to address the issues raised in the motions.

The petitioner believes that these are extraordinary cases on serious violations of US constitutions by the city of Columbia. The appellant's personal freedom is dependent on the outcome of these appeal cases. Therefore the appellant also included the lower court filings and trascript of the hearing in the circuit court attached with the petition.

The clerk, Jenny A. Kitchings, has repeatedly and single handly denied the appellant's due process right of appeal, the right to consolidate the cases, the right for a full panell review, and the right for equal protection of the law.

As a last resource in SC, the appellant simply provides the whole case file for the Supreme Court of SC to review, to uncover the violations of laws, to restore the integrity of the judicial systems, to protect her constitutional rights.

Dec. 30, 2014.

Haiyan Lin
P.O. Box 8716
Columbia SC 29202

The Supreme Court of SC

Haiyan Lin ----- Petitioner
Vs.
City of Columbia ----- Respondent
The Appellate Case No. 2014-0037

Certificate of Service

The petitioner, Haiyan Lin, certifies that she has served the Petition For Writ of Certiorari by US mail to

The City of Columbia
Attorney's Office
P.O. Box 667
Columbia, SC 29202

RECEIVED
DEC 30 2014
S.C. Supreme Court

On Dec. 30, 2014

RECEIVED
DEC 30 2014
SC Court of Appeals

Haiyan Lin
P.O. Box 8776
Columbia, SC 29202
803-404-7163