

January 14, 2015

Daniel E. Shearhouse, Clerk
S C Supreme Court
1231 Gervais Street
PO Box 11330
Columbia , SC 29211

Re: Sun Trust Mortgage v. Mark Ostendorff
Lower Court Case No. 2007CP4604305
Appellate Case No. 2010-150386

RECEIVED

JAN 20 2015

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Dear Mr. Shearhouse :

I am writing you regarding the attached letter I received from the Supreme Court of the United States dated November 25, 2014.


My petition for writ of certiorari was returned to me as it stated " The order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina does not appear to be an order denying discretionary review."

Please provide additional information that the order did receive discretionary review. I cannot file with the U S Supreme Court until you do so.

I will address their second item of format or \$300 docket fee when I file again.

I have enclosed a copy of my petition for writ of certiorari that I filed with the U S Supreme Court.

Sincerely,



Mark Ostendorff
135 Cedar Creek Court
Central, SC 29630
(864) 640-3340
Petitioner , Pro Se

Enclosures: Petition to US Supreme Ct
Letter from US Supreme Ct

cc: Brian S. Tatum, Tatum Law Firm
w/ enclosures above

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

November 25, 2014

Mark Ostendorff
135 Cedar Creek Circle
Central, SC 29630

RE: Ostendorff v. Sun Trust Mortgage

Dear Mr. Ostendorff:


The above-entitled petition for writ of certiorari was postmarked and received November 24, 2014. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

The order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina does not appear to be an order denying discretionary review.

If you intend to pay the \$300 docket fee, the petition must be in booklet format and on paper that measures 6 1/8 by 9 1/4 inches. Rule 33.1(a).

Your check number 1697 in the amount of \$300.00 is herewith returned.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:


Redmond K. Barnes
(202) 479-3022

RECEIVED

JAN 20 2015

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Enclosures