

5

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2015

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RECHLANA COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
L. CASEY MANNING, CHIEF JUDGE
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES

CASE NO. 2014-CP-40-02829

Amended

JOHN BACCUS APPELLANT,
v.
NIKKI R. HALEY, BRIAN P. STERLON ET AL, RESPONDENTS.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

ITEMS#

1. Mr. John Baccus Appeals The order [JUDGMENT] of The Honorable L. Casey Manning, Judge Code 2001 Dated 1.6.15, Entered By And or For Clerk of Court Office Use On 14 Day of Jan. 2015, COPY TO Appellant, Now,
2. Respondents could be viewed as giving "Political ACCEPTANCE, PRIVILEGE AND POLICY, THE BEST DEAL BECAUSE THEY ARE POLITICIANS";
3. Because Judge Manning found Neither The State Nor Any of Its Employers Have been served With A properly Filed Lawsuit, ALTHOUGH "VOLUNTARY APPEARANCE AND WRITTEN NOTICE OF APPEARANCE BY A PARTY OR HIS ATTORNEY... IS EQUIVALENT TO PERSONAL SERVICE," e.g., Exhibits # A-D, RULE 4 (D), S.C.R. CIV. PRO., OR DELIVERY OF PROCESS PROVIDED AND AVAILABLE TO INDIGENT VULNERABLE BLACK APPELLANT IS REFUSED BY RESPONDENTS, e.g. Exhibits # A - D, RULE 4 (D) (8), RESPONDENTS REFUSES TO MAKE AVAILABLE A RETURN RECEIPT SHOWING ACCEPTANCE BY RESPONDENTS, FOR INDIGENT APPELLANTS, SO RETURNED UNDELIVERED.

The Honorable Kenneth A. Richstad, Clerk
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1015 Sumter Street, Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: JOHN BACCUS, APPELLANT
NIKKI R. HALEY, BRIAN P. STERLING ET, AL, RESPONDENTS.
CASE NO. 2014-CP-40-02829

QUESTION

L. Whether The Honorable L. Casey Manning, Chief Judge For
Administrative Purposes Erred, Abused [HIS]
Discretion or Breached The Duty of Loyalty Owed
To Both Appellant Mr. John Baccus And The
People of The State of South Carolina (PUBLIC INTEREST),
In Dismissing Indigent Vulnerable Black Appellants
Who Refused To Be Blindly Dependent. NEEDS,
I. E., "RIGHT TO SUE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT"
In An Attempt To Substantiate [HIS]
Order [JUDGMENT] Findings, In The Administration
Of The Courts, With,
FALSITY, i.e., ITEMS # 2-8
EX PARTE UNRECORDED COMMUNICATION, i.e., ITEMS #
2, 7-8
SECRECY, i.e., ITEMS # 2, 7-8
FRAUD(S), i.e., ITEMS # 2-8
BRIBERY, i.e., ITEMS # 2-8
EXTORTION, i.e., ITEMS # 2-8
Statements That Concerns And Harms The Appellant's
Life, Liberty And Property?

4. AS FROM THE VERY BEGINNING OF THE LITIGATION'S HISTORY OF THE PARTIES: (I. E., C/N 2000-GS-33-004, TR.P. 45-46, AND TR.P. 185-192), DEMONSTRATE DESPITE HAVING BEEN RESTRAINED FROM DISSEMINATING FALSITY INTO THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COURTS PROCEEDINGS, RESPONDENTS CONTINUED TO DO SO, SO,

5. THE INDIGENT VULNERABLE BLACK PRISONER APPELLANT, MR. BUCCUS CANNOT FAIRLY LITIGATE OR COMPETE WITH RESPONDENTS UNLESS AND UNTIL RESPONDENTS STOPS INFECTING APPELLANT'S "RIGHTS TO SUE" LITIGATIONS AND THE PUBLIC'S "INTEREST IN PREVENTION OF FALSITY OR REPRESENTATION OF FALSE CERTIFIED STATEMENTS ON ITS FACE, WHICH IS LIKELY TO MISLEAD AND CONFUSE PUBLIC COURTS, THAT CONCERN AND HARM APPELLANT" IN COURT PROCEEDINGS, I. E., EXHIBITS # A-D, MORE OVER,

6. THE INJUNCTION IS NOT OVERBROAD BECAUSE IT ONLY REACHES THE SPECIFIC CLAIMS OF RESPONDENTS FALSITY. IF THE INJUNCTION WERE LIMITED... AND DID NOT ENJOIN THE FALSE CLAIMS MADE THEREIN, RESPONDENTS WOULD BE FREE TO USE FALSITY... IN FUTURE COURT PROCEEDINGS/PROSECUTIONS, CONTRARY TO THE VERY PURPOSE OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES SHOWN HERE, NOW,

7. JUDGE MANNING'S ORDER [JUDGMENT] RELIED PRIMARILY ON [HIS] "EX PARTE UNRECORDED COMMUNICATION", I. E., PROHIBITED TELEPHONE CALLS BETWEEN DANIEL J. CROOKS, III,

General Counsel for S.C.D.C. (Respondents Attorney) And With
Ms. Judy N. Davis, Supervisor for Civil Records And
Clerk of Court for Richland County, In Which Judge And
Counsel Mr. Crooks Learned Clerk of Court Ms. Judy
Davis Was Going To SECRETLY VOTE IN MR. CROOKS
FAVOR. CONSEQUENTLY, JUDGE MANNING'S RELIANCE
WAS UNJUSTIFIED BECAUSE, "THIS SO CALLED
FACT WAS NOT RECORDED SO THAT IT COULD
BE VERIFIED BY MS. DAVIS OF THE RICHLAND
COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS OFFICE ON NOVEMBER 18, 2014,
TO BE USED OR ADOPTED (LEGALLY) BY JUDGE
MANNING'S ORDER [JUDGMENT] ON 1.6.15.

8. BECAUSE JUDGE MANNING'S ACCOUNT OF THE
EVIDENCE IS BASED UPON "EX PARTE UNRECORDED
COMMUNICATION" (THAT IS PROHIBITED) OR "FALSITY "SECRECY"
IN LIGHT OF THE RECORD VIEWED IN ITS
ENTIRETY, "ITS FACTUAL FINDINGS ARE CLEARLY
ERRONEOUS THAT PUBLIC INTEREST DOES NOT FAVOR..."
SCOTTS, 315 F.3D AT 286.

CONCLUSION

FOR ALL THE FOREGOING REASONS, THE JUDGMENTS/
ORDER OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE, L. CASEY
MANNING MUST BE DISMISSED, REVERSED MADE VOID,
AND SUMMARY JUDGMENTS IN APPELLANTS FAVOR.

January 2015

1st Mr. John Preece
Mr. John Preece
4460 Broad River Road
B R C I MAR. 255
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2015

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
L. CASEY MANNING, CHIEF JUDGE
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES

2014-CP-40-02829

JOHN BACUS

APPELLANT,

vs.

NIKKI R. HALEY, BRIAN P. STIRLING, ETAL

RESPONDENTS.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I Certify That I Have served The Notice of Appeal on the Above Parties By Depositing A Copy of It in The United States Mail, Postage Prepaid, on January 2015, Addressed To The Above Parties Attorneys of Record, Davidson And Lindemann, P.A. 11011 Devonshire Drive Second Floor Post office Box 8568 Columbia South Carolina 29202-8568 By mailing A Copy of It To The State of South Carolina Court of Appeals 1015 Sumter St. Box 11629 Columbia South Carolina 29211, From The Broad River Correctional Institutional Mailroom.

January 2015

151 Mr. John Bacus
Mr. John Bacus
4460 Broad River Road
B R C I MAR. 255
Columbia South Carolina 29210

20th January 2015

Andrew J. [Signature]

Expires: Nov. 24, 2024

PLEASE CLOCK-IN STAMP FILE RETURN COPY FOR MY FILES

JANUARY 2015

The Honorable Kenneth A. Richstad, Clerk,
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1015 Sumter St., Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: JOHN BACUS, APPELLANT

NIKKI R. HALEY, BRIAN P. STERLING ET AL, RESPONDENTS,
CASE NO. 2014-CP-40-02829

Dear Mr. Richstad;

Enclosed for filing is a notice of appeal in the above matter. Also enclosed are the following:

- (1.) Proof of service of the notice of appeal on the respondents by mailing a copy of it to the above parties.
- (2.) A copy of the orders [JUDGMENTS] which is [ARE] to be challenged on appeal, and exhibit A-D in the Court of Common Pleas Clerk of Court Possessions and Control, to be forwarded to Court of Appeals for review.

(3.) Appellants is indigent and in prison and therefore unable to pay filing fee. See SCCC Financial Statement of Appellants Indigence.

Sincerely,

IN Mr. ~~John Bacus~~

Mr. John Bacus

44 LEO Broad River Road

B R C C MAR, 255

Columbia S.C. 29210

MR. JOHN BACCHUS # 187393
4960 Broad River Road
B. R. C. I. MAR. 255
COLUMBIA S.C. 29210



RECEIVED

JAN 22 2015

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

JAN 20 2015

**BRCI
MAILROOM**

THE STATE OF S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
1015 SUMNER ST. BOX 11629
COLUMBIA S.C. 29211

LEGAL