



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080

FAX: (803) 734-1499

www.sccourts.org

January 30, 2015

The Honorable Melanie Huggins-Ward
Clerk of Court, Horry County
PO Box 677
Conway SC 29528-0677

REMITTITUR

Re: The State v. Larry T. Chestnut
Lower Court Case No. 2006-GS-26-02998
Appellate Case No. 2012-212800

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

Daniel E. Shearouse
BS

CLERK

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Jeremy Adam Thompson, Esquire

Deborah R.J. Shupe, Esquire

The Honorable Steven H. John

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Supreme Court**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Larry T. Chestnut, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-212800

Appeal from Horry County
Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge

Memorandum Opinion No. 2015-MO-002
Heard September 24, 2014 – Filed January 14, 2015

AFFIRMED

Jeremy A. Thompson, of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan Wilson and Senior Assistant
Deputy Attorney General Deborah R.J. Shupe, both of
Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Appellant Larry T. Chestnut appeals his conviction and sentence for voluntary manslaughter, challenging the rule that where a defendant in a joint trial introduces evidence, the State is entitled to the final argument. *State v. Huckie*, 22 S.C. 298, 300 (1885). In this case, however, neither Appellant nor his

codefendant presented evidence, and as a result, Appellant and his codefendant had the final argument. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b)(1), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Freiburger*, 366 S.C. 125, 135, 620 S.E.2d 737, 742 (2005) ("The rule is well established that if asserted errors are not presented to the lower Court, the question cannot be raised for the first time on appeal." (citation omitted)); *State v. Schmidt*, 288 S.C. 301, 303, 342 S.E.2d 401, 402–03 (1986) ("Ordinarily, this Court will not review alleged error of the exclusion of testimony unless a proffer of testimony is properly made on the record." (citation omitted)); *Dillon Cnty. v. Maryland Cas. Co.*, 217 S.C. 66, 76, 59 S.E.2d 640, 644 (1950) ("No one can obtain a decision as to the invalidity of a law on the ground that it impairs the rights of others, for one attacking the constitutionality of a statute is not the champion of any rights except his own." (citation and quotations omitted)).

AFFIRMED.

**TOAL, C.J., PLEICONES, BEATTY, KITTREDGE and HEARN, JJ.,
concur.**