



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211  
1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

February 09, 2015

Mr. Darrell Williams, #219730  
Lee Correctional Institution  
990 Wisacky Highway  
Bishopville, SC 29010

Re: Darrell Williams v. State  
Appellate Case No. 2015-000071  
Lower Court Case No. 2013CP4007117

Dear Mr. Williams:

This responds to your letter dated January 22, 2015. Since you are currently represented by the Division of Appellate Defense, no action will be taken this *pro se* letter. *Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010)<sup>1</sup>; *Jones v. State*, 348

---

<sup>1</sup> Since your *pro se* motion to alter or amend dated November 4, 2014, and your amended *pro se* motion to alter or amend dated January 12, 2015, were apparently made while you were represented by Ms. Good, I call your attention to the following language from *Miller v. State, supra*:

Since there is no right to "hybrid representation" that is partially *pro se* and partially by counsel, substantive documents, with the exception of motions to relieve counsel, filed *pro se* by a person represented by counsel are not to be accepted unless submitted by counsel. [citations omitted]. Because petitioner was represented by counsel, the *pro se* motion [under Rule 59] was not proper, should not have been accepted, and should not have been

S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 379 S.E.2d 907 (1989).

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

CLERK

cc: Suzanne H. White, Esquire  
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire

---

ruled upon. The motion was essentially a nullity. . . . We also take this opportunity to remind judges and clerks of court of our directive in *Foster* not to accept substantive documents, with the exception of motions to relieve counsel, filed *pro se* by a party who is represented by counsel.