

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2015

MS. KITCHINGS,

SC Court of Appeals

HERE ARE THE ARGUABLE ISSUES FOR MY APPEAL PROCESS STATED BELOW AS FOLLOWS.

① SOUTH CAROLINA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE'S RULE 3(C)

IT CLEARLY STATES THE LAW HAS NINETY (90) DAYS, AFTER THE RECEIPT OF THE ARREST WARRANT. I WAS ARRESTED JUNE 21<sup>ST</sup> 2010, INDICTMENT WAS PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY UNTIL FEBRUARY 21<sup>ST</sup> 2012, WHICH PROVES THAT REGULAR INDICTMENT PROCEDURE WAS NOT FOLLOWED OR IMMEDIATELY FILED AS LAW STATES.

② 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHTS (5)

MY LEGAL REPRESENTATION DID NOT SUBPOENA ALIBI WITNESSES SAMANTHA DODSON, AND GEORGEA BURNS OF THE HOME WHERE INCIDENT TOOK PLACE WHICH WOULD'VE BEEN VERY SUFFICIENT IN MY BEHALF OF PROVING MY INNOCENCE ON THAT DAY OF TRIAL.

③ I WAS DENIED MY 5<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT BY COUNSEL.

④ EVIDENCE VIOLATIONS, VIOLATING MY 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN MY RULE 5, THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY ROOM SHEET WAS WITHHELD FROM MY RULE 5, THE PROPERTY ROOM SHEET IN ITS PLACE WAS TAG NO 2011-00709 AT GINSLEY POLICE DEPARTMENT. THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY SHEET, THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY ROOM SHEET ALSO PROVES THAT CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT WAS LYING IN HIS STATEMENT, IT PROVES THAT CHAIN OF CUSTODY OF EVIDENCE WAS ALSO VIOLATED.

⑤ THAT GINSLEY POLICE DEPARTMENT NARCOTIC TEAM VIOLATED MY 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT WHEN THEY ILLEGALLY RECORDED PHONE CONVERSATION OF ME AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT WHICH CLEARLY SHOWS TOO THAT IT WAS NO MENTION OF DRUGS, OR A TRANSACTION OR WIRETAP RECORDED PHONE CONVERSATION.

CONTINUE

RE: STATE VS DEMOSSIO VALENTINE  
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-001991

THE ARGUABLE BASIS FOR MY APPEAL

- (6) VIOLATION OF COMMUNICATION BETWEEN LAWYER/CLIENT
- (7) VIOLATION OF RULE 203 (B) (2) I PLEA BECAUSE I FELT MY LAWYER DIDN'T HAVE MY BEST INTEREST AT HAND. I PROFFER MY ENVOYANCE TIME AND TIME AGAIN HE FAILED TO SUBPOENA MY ALIBI WITNESSES, AND ALSO ALLOWED THE STATE INTO THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF LAWYER/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP.
- (8) VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA RULES OF EVIDENCE (RULE 407). (A) STATE DIDNOT PROVIDE IN MY RULE 5 (DISCOVERY) THE CORRECT CASCO4 POLICE DEPT PROPERTY REPORT, INSTEAD BROUGHT IT ON DAY OF TRIAL. CHAIN OF CUSTODY VIOLATED...
- (9) RULE OF EVIDENCE RULE 1.2  
(A) THE SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY BETWEEN LAWYER AND CLIENT WAS VIOLATED.
- (10) TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS FROM CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT WAS VIOLATED. HE LIED ABOUT THE BUY

THANKS

DEMOSIO VALENTINE 242226

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2015

SC Court of Appeals

RE: STATE VS. DEMOSSIO MONTAE VALENTINE  
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-001991

DEAR MS. KITCHINGS,

I'M WRITTING IN REGARDS OF SEEKING NEW INDIGENT COUNCEL FOR MY APPEAL. TODAY I RECEIVED A LETTER FROM PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE IN PICKENS COUNTY JOHN W. DEJONG, ESQUIRE. BEING THAT I'M INDIGENT AND HE IS A BIG PART OF THE REASON THAT I PLEA GUILTY TO A CHARGE I AM INNOCENT OF, I WANNAH CUT ALL TIES TO THAT OFFICE ALTOGETHER. I WROTE SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE ABOUT THE MATTER, AND THEY WROTE BACK SAYING THAT ONLY WAY I COULD OBTAIN LEGAL REPRESENTATION THROUGH YOUR OFFICE.

ALSO ENCLOSED IS THE ARGUABLE BASIS FOR APPEAL ON MY ACCOUNT, ALTHOUGH I FEEL AS WITH A PROPER LEGAL COUNCEL MORE PERCESE ISSUES COULD BE RAISED. IF GRANTED WISH FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE MAY I ASK FOR A LITTLE MORE STAYE ON ISSUES. WHEN PUBLIC DEFENDER WROTE HE TOLD ME THAT I HAD TWENTY (20) DAYS FROM THEIR LETTER DATED DECEMBER 29<sup>TH</sup>, 2014 TO WRITE ARGUABLE ISSUES.

AND ALSO IF GRANTED NEW COUNCEL COULD I HAVE COPIES OF ALL MOTIONS FILED, TRANSCRIPTS, AND ETC. ENCLOSED IS THE ATTACHMENTS I SPOKE ABOUT ABOVE.

THANKS IN ADVANCE,

Demossio Valentine  
DEMOSIO VALENTINE 242224


DEMOSSIO VAUGHN 242226  
A1-RM06

COLUMBIA  
SC 290  
05 JAN '15

Mailroom  
Kirkland Correctional Institution  
4344 Broad River Road  
Columbia, S.C. 29210

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2015



02 1M \$ 00.48<sup>0</sup>  
.0008001098 JAN 05 2015  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29210

RECEIVED

JAN 05 2015

KIRKLAND R&E CENTER  
MAILROOM

SC Court of Appeals

HONORABLE JENNY ABBOTT KITCHENS  
CO/CLERK SC COURT OF APPEALS  
POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

LEGAL  
MAIL  
ONLY

29211162929

