

RECEIVED

MAR 09 2015

**OFFICE OF
DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL**

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

APEX AUTOMOTIVE V. EARL NASH

APPELLATE NO.2014-000976

ATTENTION HONORABLE JENNYABBOTT KUITCHINGS

UPONE THE RESQUEST IN YOUR LETTER NOW I KNOW WHY YOU WANT BY THE REQUIREMENT OF RULE OF 242 OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA APPELLATE COURT RULE .

SO NOW I EARL NASH HAS DEMAND FOR THE CLEARK OF THE RECORDER OFFICE TO GIVE ME THIS COPY OF THE HEARDING THAT WAS HAD BY THE HONORABLE R. LAWTON MCINTOSH THAT WAS HAD ON DECEMBER 19 2013 . AND I HAVE SENT FOR A COPY FROM THE CLERK OF RECORDER OFFICE WHICH I HAVE FOUND OUT IS NOT HEAR IN ANDERSON COUNTRY AND THAT IS WHY I NEVER COULD GET THIS RIGHT COPY OF THIS COURT HEARING THAT WAS HEARD ON DECEMBER 19 I2013 IN ANDERSON COUNTRY BEFOR THIS JUDGE R LAWTON MCINTOSH BUT NOW I WILL GET IT HERE NOW MY LETTER WAS SENT OUT TO THE CLERK OF RECORDER OFFICE ON FEBREUARY 5 / 2014 TO GET THIS REAL COPY HERE NOW SO AS SOON AS I RECEIVED IT BACK THEN I WILL SEND IT TO YOU AT ONCE AND THEIR WILL NOT BE ANY MORE LIEING FROM THIS CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE BY THE NAME OF CORDELL MADDOX JR AND THIS SHOULD CLEAR UP THIS HOLE MESS HERE NOW

YOU KNOW HOW LONG IT TAKE CLERK OF RECORD OFFICE TO GET THIS ALL BACK TO ME NOW . WAITING FOR YOUR REPLY THIS COURT TO ANSWER ME ON THIS MATTER . SO PLEASE GIVE ME THIS TIME TO GET THIS BACK TO ME HERE NOW .AND DOWN TO YOU I DID NOT KNOW THIS CLERK RECORD OFFICE WAS NOT HERE IN ANDERSON TILL NOW.

REGARDS

EARL NASH

Earl Nash

RECEIVED

MAR 09 2015

SC Court of Appeals

1 of 3

9

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Apex Automotive, LLC dba Piedmont Chrysler Jeep
Dodge, Respondent,

v.

Earl Jamison Nash and Murna Lee Nash, Defendants,

Of Whom Earl Jamison Nash is the Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-000976

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion to reinstate his appeal, which was dismissed due to Appellant's failure to serve and file his initial brief in compliance with Rules 208 and 209 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. On January 8, 2015, this court ordered Appellant to serve and file an initial brief and designation of matter that comply with Rules 208 and 209, SCACR, and explained that the motion to reinstate would be considered upon the expiration of twenty days.

To date, Appellant has not served and filed his initial brief and designation of matter. Accordingly, we construe Appellant's motion to reinstate as a petition to rehear the dismissal of this appeal and deny the petition.¹

Thomas C. Hoff J.

Stephen P. McDaniel J.

James W. Currie A.J.

¹ Because we deny Appellant's petition for rehearing, we need not address his requests set forth in his correspondence filed January 29, 2015.

3 of 3

FILED

2/10/15



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080
FAX: (803) 734-1499

February 23, 2015

Mr. Earl Nash
209 Camson Road
Anderson, SC 29625-1611

Re: Apex Automotive v. Earl Nash
Appellate Case No. 2014-000976

Dear Mr. Nash:

This responds to your recent correspondence to Chief Justice Toal. Please be advised that the Chief Justice cannot assist you with this matter.

Of course, if you desire to seek review of the decision of the South Court of Appeals in the above matter, you will need to comply with the requirements of Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

As to the information you have requested, this Court is not the custodian of any records relating to the above case. Therefore, I will not be able to assist you with obtaining any documents that may be responsive to your requests.

Very truly yours,

CLERK

cc: John Kirkman Moorhead, Esquire
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings (with copy of correspondence)

R o P 3