

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Supreme Court

Appeal From Greenville County
COURT OF Common Pleas CIRCUIT COURT
Honorable Robin B. Stilwell

Case/No 2014-CA-23-2209

Albert William Anders Appellant

↓

State of South Carolina Respondent

Pro SE Appellant
Written Explanation

Now comes the Applicant Pursuant to
Written explanation Pursuant to Rules 203,
206, and 243 of the South Carolina Appellate
Court Rules for the appropriate procedures to
follow after notice of intent to appeal has
been timely filed.

The applicant set forth a claim newly
discovered evidence pursuant to S.C. Code
of Law An 17-27-45(C). If the appl-
licant contends that there is evidence of

Material Facts NOT Previously Presented and heard that requires vacation of the conviction on sentence, the application must be filed under this chapter within one year after the date on actual discovery of the facts by the applicant or after the date when the facts could have been ascertained by the exercise of reasonable diligence,

I Albert William Anders wrote to the clerk of court Mr. Paul B. Wickensimer requiring entire case file(s) of the clerk of court Record and this letter of exhibit (A) From the clerk of court Greenville county Paul B. Wickensimer is date for Jan 28, 2014 date of discovery Feb 3, 2014, by I'm Albert William Anders with the assist of I'm Law clerk trained in Law Jerome Long 15047 see exhibit (B) The applicant went trial on the charges of murder for shooting ^{and} killing victim with a shotgun, the victim was alive from the gunshot wound after the victim left the ~~criminal crimes~~ ^{crime scene}; see exhibit (B) ~~Att~~ ~~subject~~ by Paul Green P.D. provided inside of the entitle case file(s) newly discovery evidence "cause of death" vic-

tim died during surgery at Memorial
orial Hospital that there was two witness
could provided testimony that would prove
that the victim was very aggressor, "that
the applicant shot in self-defense defend
myself and the victim did not die from
the gunshot would the victim die during
surgery and the gunshot wound did not cause
the death and I was found guilty by the
jury.

Conclusion

The applicant is actual innocent of
murder; and should be re-sentenced to
manslaughter (30) thirty years due to the
Newly discovered Evidence that the gun
shot did not cause the death.

Granted or Denied

Date _____ 2015

Judge Supreme

Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk of Supreme
Court