

April 8, 2015

To: South Carolina Court of Appeals

Re: State vs. LEON D. CRAMP  
Appellate Case No. 2015-000532  
Supplement / Arguable Basis

RECEIVED

APR 14 2015

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Court:

I Present under Penalty of Perjury this argument where I contend that the imposed enhancement of first degree burglary, to which being a violent offense whereas no such offense occurred, is clearly unlawful in the interest of justice and fairness, as well as, vindictive in prosecution given the solicitor resided on my previous offense of second degree burglary (used as one of the priors to support the element of this case) whereas the solicitor in agreement with counsel recommended probation on that case.

Therefor, my point here is the solicitor's actions to secure the enhancement was based on the fact that the solicitor refused all plea negotiations by counsel to reduce to a lesser offense of second degree, or any other alternative remedy, because the solicitor simply felt his previous assignment and recommendation on my prior offense was not honored, and perceived as a residual "slap in the face" to his judgement resulting in his personal affect to render "punishment" in its full severity on this case because it occurred a month after probation was awarded as stated by the solicitor in court transcripts.

Although it may not be unlawful for prosecution to refuse negotiation, however, it should be in the courts and its representatives best interest and moral ethics to render judgements that introduce rehabilitative and alternative remedies that facilitate and individuals productive growth and development.

Furthermore, I point that the level of indifference towards my personal accomplishments and rehabilitative efforts by the solicitor are clearly vindictive in nature of prosecution given the case in question was over two weeks old whereas the initial plea began at the minimum sentence of fifteen years...

and did not at any time change to a lesser degree by the prosecution which  
question the solicitors motives or intentions and created a "coercive atmosphere"  
where I was denied any optional relief and forced under duress to take the  
minimum sentence or stand chance for more unwanted time.

In closing, I humbly ask the court to find reasonable interest in the facts to  
to render a fair judgement that may cause some relief to the degree of charge  
and sentencing I now hold. Thank you and God bless.

Sincerely,

Mr. LEON D. CLAMP #363272  
Kirkland R/E Center C1-47  
4344 Broad River Road  
Columbia, S.C. 29210

**RECEIVED**

APR 14 2015

SC Court of Appeals

Inter Agency

Kirkland R & E Center # CI-47  
4344 Reed River Road  
Columbia S.C. 29210

RECEIVED

APR 10 2015

South Carolina Court of Appeals

KIRKLAND CENTER  
MAILROOM

PO Box 11629

Columbia SC. 29211

SCDC

LEGAL  
MAIL  
ONLY

APR 20 2015

legal mail

MAIL ROOM