

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM AIKEN COUNTY
Court of General Sessions
The Honorable James R. Barber, III

Appellate Case No.: 2013-002799

RECEIVED
MAY 01 2015
SC Court of Appeals

State of South Carolina,

Respondent,

vs.

Dwayne Lee Rudd,

Appellant.

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANT

TOMMY A. THOMAS
Bar No.: 005536
Post Office Box 88
Irmo, SC 29063
(803) 732-5507

Robert M. Dudek
Chief Appellate Defender
P.O. Box 115893
Columbia, SC 29211

ATTORNEYS FOR
APPELLANT

Other Counsel of Record:
Mary Frances Jowers
S.C. Bar No. 68413
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211

Attorney for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES2

REPLY ARGUMENT3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

<u>State v. Gilchrist</u> , 329 S.C. 621, 496 SE2d 424 (1997)	3
<u>State v. Lee</u> 399 S.C. 521 732 S E. 2d 255 (2012)... ..	3

REPLY ARGUMENT


The Respondent argues in its Initial Brief that the Trial Court did not err in allowing two pictures of writing by the Appellant on the wall of the marital home, State's exhibits 3 and 4, to be introduced at trial. The Respondent argues that the two photographs submitted into evidence were relevant and that their probative value outweighed any prejudicial effect. The Respondent argues that the Trial Judge correctly found these statements were relevant because the Jury could infer that Rudd was apologizing for his behavior and exhibited remorse for his actions. The writings on the wall were only after the victim's had moved out of house and the Respondent argues this created an inference that the writings were about "the recent events". The Respondent further argues that the Appellant had the opportunity to explain the photographs when he testified.

The Respondent's argument that the writings were on the wall only after the victims had left the residence and that the writings were about the recent events indicates the prejudicial nature of these photographs. Clearly these photographs pose a danger of unfair prejudice and they have a tendency to suggest a decision on an improper basis.

The probative value of photos must be balanced against the danger of unfair prejudice. Prejudice that is unfair is distinguished from the legitimate impact all evidence has on the outcome of a case. Unfair prejudice does not mean the damage to a defendant's case that results from the legitimate probative force of the evidence; rather it refers to evidence which tends to suggest a decision on an improper basis. State v. Gilchrist 329 S.C. 621, 630 496 S.E 2d 424, 429 (Ct.App. 1998).

Photographs pose a danger of unfair prejudice when they have an undue tendency to suggest a decision on an improper basis State v. Lee 399 S.C. 521 732 S.E. 2d 255 (2012).

In this case, the pictures of the writings on the wall, force an unfair suggestion that the Defendant is admitting to the crimes and allegations of the State.

Respectfully submitted,


TOMMY A. THOMAS
Bar No.: 005536
Post Office Box 88
Irmo, SC 29063
(803) 732-5507

Robert M. Dudek
Chief Appellate Defender
P.O. Box 115893
Columbia, SC 29211

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

April 29, 2015

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM AIKEN COUNTY
Court of General Sessions
The Honorable James R. Barber, III

Appellate Case No.: 2013-002799

RECEIVED
MAY 01 2015
SC Court of Appeals

State of South Carolina,

Respondent,

vs.


Dwayne Lee Rudd,

Appellant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jacquelyn E. Miller, secretary to Tommy A. Thomas, Attorney for Appellant in the above action, hereby certify that I placed in the United States Mail, a copy of a Reply Brief of Appellant, with postage prepaid, and the return address clearly shown on said envelope to Mary Frances Jowers, Esq. at:

Mary Frances Jowers, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
P O. Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211



Jacquelyn E. Miller, Secretary
TOMMY A. THOMAS
SC Bar No.: 5536
Attorney for Appellant
P.O. Box 88
Irmo, SC 29063
(803) 732-5507

April 29, 2015

Tommy A. Thomas

ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW

TELEPHONE:
(803) 732-5507
(803) 732-5508

HARRINGTON BUILDING
7588 WOODROW STREET
IRMO, SOUTH CAROLINA 29063

PLEASE REPLY TO:
PO Box 88
IRMO, SC 29063

FACSIMILE:
(803) 781-4226

April 29, 2015

INMATE LINE
(803) 732-6542

The Honorable V. Claire Allen, Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

MAY 01 2015

SC Court of Appeals


RE: State v. Dwayne Lee Rudd
Appellate Case No.: 2013-002799

Dear Ms. Allen.

Enclosed please find an original and a copy of the Reply Brief of Appellant and Certificate of Service for filing

Kindly return the clocked copies to me in the enclosed envelope. Thank you




Yours truly,



Tommy A. Thomas,
Attorney at Law
SC Bar No.: 5536

TAT/jem
cc: Mary Frances Jowers, Esq.
Dwayne Lee Rudd, Esq.

Tommy A. Thomas, P.C.
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW
HARRINGTON BUILDING
POST OFFICE BOX 88
IRMO, SOUTH CAROLINA 29063



The Honorable V. Claire Allen, Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED
MAY 01 2015
SC Court of Appeals