

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

Elizabeth A. Crotty and James K. Orzech, Appellants,

v.

Windjammer Village of Little River, South Carolina,  
Property Owners' Association, a South Carolina  
Eleemosynary Corporation, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2012-213287

---

Appeal From Horry County  
Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2015-UP-249  
Submitted March 1, 2015 – Filed May 13, 2015

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Elizabeth A. Crotty and James K. Orzech, both of Little  
River, pro se.

Kenneth Ray Moss, of Wright, Worley, Pope, Ekster &  
Moss, PLLC, of North Myrtle Beach, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Elizabeth A. Crotty and James K. Orzech appeal a circuit court  
order denying their Rule 60(b), SCRCRCP, motion. They assert four issues in their

statement of issues on appeal, arguing the circuit court erred in denying relief from its final order because of (1) the mistaken interpretation of contract terms in the final order, (2) newly discovered evidence, (3) inadequate representation by counsel, and (4) Fourteenth Amendment violations and unintended consequences of the final order. Crotty and Orzbech did not appeal the circuit court's finding that the Rule 60(b) motion was not timely filed within one year of the final order. Accordingly, we affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authority: *Jones v. Lott*, 387 S.C. 339, 346, 692 S.E.2d 900, 903 (2010) ("Under the two issue rule, where a decision is based on more than one ground, the appellate court will affirm unless the appellant appeals all grounds because the unappealed ground will become the law of the case.").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**FEW, C.J., and HUFF and WILLIAMS, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.