

76020

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2015

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN COURT OF APPEAL

APPEAL FROM MARION COUNTY
Court of Common Plea

Haigh Porter, Special Referee for Marion County

Appeal number 2013-001824
Marion County Case number 2013-CP-33-306

Anderson Brothers Bank
Respondent,

V.

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., South Carolina Department of
Revenue and South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, Defendants,

Defendant

Of Whom

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., is the.....Appellants

APPELLANT'S PETITION TO CORRECT THE ORDER

Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson Sui Juris
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843)-409-9086

May 14, 2015

Comes now a natural man and women known as Arnold Parson Jr. and Dazarhea Parson a Real Party in Interest, an injured party as sui juris appearing specially under “restricted appearance” (Rule 8 E of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims) exercising their unalienable guaranteed rights afforded to them by the Constitution for the united states of America and the Constitution for South Carolina state herein after Petitioners. Petitioners is unschooled in law and notices the court of enunciation of principles as stated in Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, wherein the court has directed that those who are unschooled in law making pleadings and/or complaints shall have the court look to the substance of the pleadings rather than in the form, and in that light hereby Petitions this court to correct order dated December 18, 2014 without any waiver of any defenses.

Relief Sought

1. Withdraw/Remove the statement within the order dated December 18, 2015 signed by Judge Few that states Appellants have filed a return stating, “ Attorneys for the respondents have firsthand knowledge of each, and every document, exhibit, and the like of which they wish to have entered into the record on appeal.”

TAKE MANDATORY JUDICIAL NOTICE that not now nor have it ever been Appellants willful intent to state “Attorneys for the respondents have firsthand knowledge of each, and every document, exhibit, and the like of which they wish to have entered into the record on appeal.”

Ultimate Facts

2. Appellants have been stating for some time now that Attorney has no firsthand knowledge.(See Exhibit A)
3. Appellants have stated that the Attorneys for Respondents are interlopers, and everything they wish to have entered onto the record is nothing more than hearsay. (See Exhibit A)
4. Furthermore an issue more compelling was Special Referee/Judge Haigh Porter whose judgment and order was being appealed has not sworn an Oath of Office to be a judge.(See Exhibit B)
5. Where a judge's ability to exercise the inherent powers of the court derives from his Oath of Office. (See Exhibit C)
6. It appeared that the judgment and order entered by Haigh Porter was notwithstanding, unenforceable, null, and void.(See Exhibit C)
7. Pages 3-6 of Appellants Verified Return Contradicting Order dated December 18, 2014(See Exhibit D)

THEREFORE, Appellants felt to have to correct the record on appeal would be overly burdensome, an undue hardship, a waste of the Court of Appeals valuable resources and time. Appellants did not comply with the form because it appeared to be nothing for the Court of Appeals to adjudicate on the merits. Appellants object and does not agree with any statement in part or in whole that states, "Attorneys for Respondents have firsthand knowledge....." As to the failure to comply in the light of the above stated facts Appellants accept full liability.

WHEREFORE Petitioners move this court to amend the order dated December 18, 2014 removing the statement, "Attorney for Respondents have firsthand knowledge....."

UCC 1-308/1-103.6

By: Arnold Parson Jr. Dazarhea Parson
Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson Sui Juris
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843)-409-9086

RECEIVED
MAY 18 2015
SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM MARION COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Haigh Porter, Special Referee for Marion County

Appeal number 2013-001824
Marion County Case number 2013-CP-33-306

Anderson Brothers Bank
Respondent,

Vs.

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone)Parson, Jr., South Carolina Department of
Revenue and South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, Defendants,

Defendant

Of Whom

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., are the.....Appellants

PROOF OF SERVICE

We certify that we served Petition to Correct Order on Respondents, represented by Suzanne Taylor Graham Grigg of Nexsen Pruet, LLC, by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to Respondent's Attorney as follows: Suzanne Taylor Graham Grigg 1230 Main St. Suite 700 Columbia, SC 29202

May 15, 2015

Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson Sui Juris
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843)-409-9086

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2015

SC Court of Appeals

EXHIBIT A

EVIDENTIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ULTIMATE
FACTS THAT APPELLANTS HAVE BEEN STATING
ATTORNEYS HAVE NO FIRST HAND
KNOWLEDGE AND ARE INTERLOPERS

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN COURT OF APPEALS

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM MARION COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Haigh Porter, Special Referee for Marion County

Appeal number 2013-001824
Marion County Case number 2013-CP-33-306

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2015

SC Court of Appeals

Anderson Brothers Bank
Respondent,

V.

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., South Carolina Department of
Revenue and South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, Defendants,

Defendant

Of Whom

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels

Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., are the Appellants


APPELLANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DESIGNATION OF MATTER
TO RECORD ON APPEAL

Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843)-409-9086
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

Appellant's natural man/woman known as Arnold Parson Jr. and Dazarhea Parson Moves to strike Respondent's designation of matter to the record on appeal (attached) on the grounds that all documents contained therein has been entered by third party interloper who has no firsthand knowledge of any bona fide material facts. Therefore anything entered to and for the record by counsel is nothing more than hearsay and not direct evidence. In accords with South Carolina Rules of evidence and Trinsey vs. Pagliaro where it states, " Statements of counsel, in their briefs or arguments are not sufficient for a motion to dismiss or for summary judgment." In light of the above undisputed material facts, it is not possible for the Appellant's to file an record on appeal.

WHEREFORE, Appellants respectfully prays that the court enter an order striking the Respondents designation of matter to the record on appeal and directing respondents to serve a designation of matter to the record on appeal that does not contain hearsay.

UCC 1-308/1-103


Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843)-409-9086
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

August 20, 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM MARION COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Haigh Porter, Special Referee for Marion County

Appeal number 2013-001824
Marion County Case number 2013-CP-33-306

Anderson Brothers Bank
Respondent,

Vs.

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone)Parson, Jr., South Carolina Department of
Revenue and South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, Defendants,

Defendant

Of Whom


Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., are the.....Appellants

PROOF OF SERVICE

We certify that we served the motion to strike designation of matter to the record on appeal on Respondents, represented by Suzanne Taylor Graham Grigg of Nexsen Pruet, LLC, by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to Respondent's Attorney as follows:

Suzanne Taylor Graham Grigg 1230 Main St. Suite 700 Columbia, SC 29202

UCC 1-308/1-103

Handwritten signature of Arnold Parson in cursive script.

Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson

Post Office Box 776

Mullins, South Carolina [29574]

(843)-409-9086

In Propria Persona Sui Juris

August 22, 2014

Dazarhea Monique Parson
P.O Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]

Arnold Tyrone Parson Jr.
P.O Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]

RECEIVED
AUG 25 2014
SC Court of Appeals

73348

August 19, 2014


Re: Anderson Brothers Bank v. Arnold T. Parson Jr. & Dazarhea Monique Parson
Appellate Case No. 2013-001824

Dear V. Claire Allen:

Enclosed is a United States Postal Money Order for \$25 for the filing fee, and eight (8) additional copies of the motion to strike Respondents designation of matter to the record on appeal. Along with 14 bound copies and 1 unbound of Appellant's final brief.

Very truly yours,

ucc 1-308/1-303


Arnold Jr., Dazarhea Parson
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina 29574
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

indicated any disagreement with those facts or suggested any other adjudicative facts that support their position.

In this matter the court finds the following in accord:

1. Being that Anderson Brothers Bank is the mortgagee in the dispute on a perfected appeal. It would be a violation of Respondents inalienable constitutional due process of law rights for this court to grant Anderson Brothers Bank, mortgagee and purchaser at sale possession of property knowing it can cause irreparable harm should the Appellate court reverse the ruling of the lower court. Therefore the lower court has no jurisdiction over this matter.
2. In all disputes coming before a court claims must be brought in the name of The Real Party in Interest Rule 17a SCRCP, also Rule 17a FRCP.
3. Counsel for Anderson Brothers Bank has admittedly made notice that Anderson Brothers Bank gets its corporate charter from the state of South Carolina. By definition a corporation is an artificial person to do business in commerce, and fictitious party meaning artificial where it is a contempt of court for an action to bring in the name of a fictitious party.

Based on these undisputed material facts and likelihood of the merits this court concurs with the Respondents.

Therefore it is this day of , 2014

Ordered that Petitioner Writ of Assistance is denied and dismissed with prejudice.

The Honorable Haigh Porter
Master in Equity Special Referee for Marion County

Arnold Parson Jr. & Dazarhea Parson
P O box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
843-409-9086
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

PROOF OF SERVICE

We certify that we have served the Proposed Order on Anderson Brothers Bank by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on May 29, 2014, addressed to Anderson Brothers Bank attorney of record, Suzanne Grigg, 1230 Main Street, Suite 700 (29201) Post Office Drawer 2426 Columbia, South Carolina 29202.

May 29, 2014

By: Arnold Parson Jr. Dazarhea Parson

Arnold Jr., Dazarhea Parson
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843) 409-9086
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

7013 1090 0001 4226 3984

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com
FLORENCE SC 29501
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$ 00.49	0574
Certified Fee	\$ 03.30	14
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 00.00	Postmark Here
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 00.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 03.79	05/29/2014

Sent To Haigh Porter
Street, Apt. No.,
or PO Box No. 1525 McQueen St
City, State, ZIP+4 Florence SC 29501
PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7013 1090 0001 4226 5025

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com
COLUMBIA SC 29202
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$ 00.49	0574
Certified Fee	\$ 03.30	14
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 00.00	Postmark Here
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 00.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 03.79	05/29/2014

Sent To Suzanne Griss
Street, Apt. No.,
or PO Box No. 1230 Main St. Suite 700
City, State, ZIP+4 Columbia SC 29202
PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

) IN CIRCUIT COURT

COUNTY OF MARION

) CASE NO. 2013-CP-33-306

Anderson Brothers Bank,

) **OBJECTION AND MOTION TO**
) **STRIKE PETITIONER'S PROPOSED**
) **ORDER TO GRANT WRIT OF**
) **ASSISTANCE**

Plaintiff,

vs.

Dazarhea Monique Parson, a/k/a
Dazarhea D.Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea
Monique Daniels Parson, A Tyrone
Parson, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone
Parson et.al.,

Defendant

Dazarhea Monique Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea
D.Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A Tyrone Parson, Jr. a/k/a Arnold
Tyrone Parson et.al.,

Petitioner,

Vs.

Anderson Brothers Bank, Suzanne Taylor
Graham Grigg, Haigh Porter, River
Anderson

Respondents.

Respondent's natural man/woman known as Arnold Parson Jr. and Dazarhea Parson Moves to strike Petitioner's order granting Writ of Assistance on the grounds that the order having been prepared by counsel for Petitioners has been entered by third party interloper who has no firsthand knowledge of any bona fide material facts. Therefore anything entered to and for the record by counsel is nothing more than hearsay and not direct evidence in accords with South Carolina Rules of evidence and Trinsey vs. Pagliaro where it states, "

Statements of counsel, in their briefs or arguments are not sufficient for a motion to dismiss or for summary judgment.”

Also, being that counsel knows Anderson Brothers Bank is currently in an open dispute with Respondents in the South Carolina Court of Appeals, who now has complete jurisdiction over the matter, and it would be a violation of the Respondents inalienable Constitutional rights included but not limited to: Article I Section 3; Article I Section 14; Article I Section 22; and Article I Section 23 of the South Carolina Constitution Unannotated. Counsel should have known, knew, or had the duty of knowing that its client Anderson Brothers Bank is a fictitious party, where it is a contempt of court for an action to be brought by a fictitious party. (Black’s Law 6th Edition) Also, 18 U.S.C 1001 states: Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. Any order granted in favor of the Petitioner in light of the above undisputed material facts may be considered an erroneous judgment based on the irregularities of procedure under the color of law and not de jure law therefore, being null and void.

WHEREFORE, Respondents respectfully prays that the court enter an order striking the Petitioner’s order granting Writ of Assistance.

PROOF OF SERVICE

We certify that we have served the Objection and Motion to Strike Proposed Order granting Writ of Assistance on Anderson Brothers Bank by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on June 2, 2014, addressed to Anderson Brothers Bank attorney of record, Suzanne Grigg, 1230 Main Street, Suite 700 (29201) Post Office Drawer 2426 Columbia, South Carolina 29202.

May 15, 2014

ucc 1-308 (old 1-207)
By Arnold Parson Jr. Dazarhea Parson

Arnold Jr., Dazarhea Parson
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina 29574
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

755E 9224 1000 1090 0601 7013

U.S. Postal Service™ **CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT**
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
 For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
 COLOMBIA-SC-29202

Postage	\$ 0.49	0574
Certified Fee	\$ 3.30	14
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 0.00	Postmark Here
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 3.79	06/02/2014

Sent To Suzanne Grigg
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No. 1230 Main St. Suite 100
 City, State, ZIP+4 Columbia, SC 29202
 PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7013 1090 0001 4226 503E 2013

U.S. Postal Service™ **CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT**
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
 For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
 FLORENCE-SC-29501

Postage	\$ 0.49	0574
Certified Fee	\$ 3.30	14
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 0.00	Postmark Here
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$ 0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 3.79	06/02/2014

Sent To Haigh Porter
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No. 152 S McQueen St
 City, State, ZIP+4 Florence, SC 29501
 PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

EXHIBIT B

**SHOW CAUSE TRANSCRIPT PAGES 44-48 OF
SPECIAL REFEREE ADMITTING HE HAS NO OATH**

State of South Carolina

In Common Pleas Court

County of Marion

Anderson Brothers Bank,

plaintiff,

v.

2013-CP-33-306

Dazarhea Monique Parson a/k/a Dazarhea D. Parson,
et. al.,

defendants.

The Special Referee Foreclosure Hearing
held before:

Honorable Haigh Porter

Wednesday, April 30, 2014
Florence, South Carolina
10:58 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

The Motion Hearing was taken before Leigh Copeland,
Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of
South Carolina, at 152 South McQueen Street,
Florence, South Carolina, on Wednesday, April 30,
2014, pursuant to notice and/or agreement, in the
above-entitled cause pending in the above-named
court.

Q & A Court Reporting Services
Post Office Box 4563 (29502)
273 West Evan St. (29501)
Florence, South Carolina
Telephone (843) 673-9845
E-mail: info@qacourtreporting.com
Visit: www.qacourtreporting.com

1 portion of what you're trying to raise is before the
2 Court of Appeals in whatever form. I have not -- I
3 presume that both sides have formed -- or filed a --
4 a brief or the appropriate briefs and -- and records
5 that have to be -- or considered by the Court of
6 Appeals. I haven't seen any^{of} that which is
7 normal. I don't see -- normally see that sort of
8 thing nor -- of circuit judges don't. Once it --
9 the appeal is filed, it's in the different court.

10 MS. PARSON: But this is the thing --

11 THE COURT: But -- I -- I'm here
12 or--- only today to consider whether a writ of
13 assistance should be issued. That's the only thing
14 I'm here to -- to -- to rule on today.

15 MR. PARSON: Well, in accordance with
16 the p---

17 THE COURT: Now, is anything further
18 that -- I'm -- I'm trying to bend over backwards to
19 give you ample time. Is there anything that he has
20 not covered -- he, your husband -- has not covered
21 that you would like to cover.

22 MS. PARSON: You being -- you taking
23 a oath for office and being the chancellor, when we
24 invoke the question --

25 MR. PARSON: You have taken the oath

1 of office, haven't you, sir? You have a oath of --
2 you have taken an oath of office?

3 THE COURT: An oath of office as an
4 attorney.

5 MR. PARSON: Okay. And you have --

6 THE COURT: There is a --

7 MR. PARSON: -- you don't have a oath
8 as a judge. You don't take a oath of office as a
9 judge.

10 THE COURT: They don't swear us in as
11 -- as -- as special referee.

12 MR. PARSON: Well, then let the court
13 take judicial notice that the judge -- special
14 referee -- does not have a oath of office.

15 THE COURT: The Code -- the Code of
16 Laws of South Carolina --

17 MR. PARSON: Will the court take
18 judicial --

19 THE COURT: -- relative to the
20 appointment --

21 MR. PARSON: -- notice that the judge
22 has stated that he does not have a oath -- have a
23 oath of office.

24 THE COURT: Okay. That's an
25 interesting. That's an interesting point. Anything

1 further from --

2 MS. PARSON: -- So you don't --

3 THE COURT: -- you --

4 MS. PARSON: -- have a copy of your
5 oath of office in your chambers?

6 BY COURT: I don't have an oath of office, Ms.
7 Parson.

8 MR. PARSON: And you're not bonded --

9 THE COURT: And -- and --

10 MR. PARSON: -- you said you --

11 THE COURT: -- we -- I've -- I've
12 told you that --

13 MR. PARSON: -- you don't --

14 THE COURT: -- and move on.

15 MR. PARSON: -- serve by the state.

16 THE COURT: If it's -- if there's
17 something else you'd like to add that your husband
18 -- you feel like --

19 MS. PARSON: Well, wouldn't that
20 be --

21 THE COURT: -- that --

22 MS. PARSON: -- in violation of the
23 U.S. 5 of not having a copy of your oath of office
24 in your chambers?

25 THE COURT: I told you I do not have

EXHIBIT C

Authorities and definitions

1. A void judgment which includes judgment entered by a court which lacks jurisdiction over the parties or the subject matter, or lacks inherent power to enter the particular judgment, or an order procured by fraud, can be attacked at any time, in any court, either directly or collaterally, provided that the party is properly before the court, *Long v. Shorebank Development Corp.*, 182 F.3d 548 (C.A. 7 Ill. 1999).
2. Void judgment is one entered by court without jurisdiction of parties or subject matter or that lacks inherent power to make or enter particular order involved and such a judgment may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally, *People v. Wade*, 506 N.W.2d 954 (Ill. 1987). Definition:

Inherent Powers- A power that necessarily derives from an office, position, or status. Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) , Page 3709

3. "Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse. When a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect". The Court in Yates v. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F. Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962).
4. Failure to comply with such provisions will absolutely forfeit the right to office." Brandon v. Perky, 127 W Va 103, 31 SE2d 898,158 ALR 631.
5. Applicable statutes are controlling and may declare vacancy to exist if the person chosen for the office fails to accept or qualify as required by law." Parker v. Overman, 59 U.S. 137, 15 L.Ed 316.
6. Office is vacant by reason of failure to file a required oath " Boisvert v. County of Ontario, 395 NYS 2d 617.
7. Filing an oath of office after the time prescribed by law is not a compliance with the law, confers no power to act as a public officer or in a public office and any person who fails to file his oath of office within the time limits required by law creates an ipso facto vacancy and such person's acts are void and vitiate any subsequent proceedings." Parker v. Overman, 59 U.S. 137, 15 L.Ed 316

EXHIBIT D

APPELLANTS VERIFIED RETURN PAGES 3-6

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM MARION COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Haigh Porter, Special Referee for Marion County

Appeal number 2013-001824
Marion County Case number 2013-CP-33-306

Anderson Brothers Bank
Respondent,

V.

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels
Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., South Carolina Department of
Revenue and South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, Defendants,

Defendant

Of Whom

Dazarhea Monique Parson, aka Dazarhea D Parson, a/k/a Dazarhea Monique Daniels

Parson, A. Tyrone, Jr. a/k/a Arnold Tyrone Parson, Jr., is the.....Appellants

APPELLANT'S VERIFIED RETURN TO RESPONDENTS MOTION TO REQUIRE
APPELLANTS TO FILE A CORRECTED RECORD ON APPEAL

Arnold Jr. Dazarhea Parson Sui Juris
Post Office Box 776
Mullins, South Carolina [29574]
(843)-409-9086

RECEIVED

NOV 10 2014

6. That your clients are/were The Real Party in Interest in accords with the Corporate Alter Ego Doctrine.
7. That if an oral hearing is to be held in the Court of Appeals, Anderson Brothers Bank will appear, swear in, and state their claim.
8. Rebut affidavit (attached) on a point by point basis sworn to under penalty of perjury on your unlimited commercial liability.

VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Appellants hereinafter "Affiant(s)", does solemnly affirm, declare and state as follows:

- 1. Affiant(s) is competent to state the matters set forth herein.**
- 2. Affiant(s) has firsthand knowledge of the facts stated herein.**
- 3. All the facts herein are true, correct, complete and admissible as evidence, and if called upon as a witness, Affiant(s) will testify to their veracity.**

Allegations:

1. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of attorney for Anderson Brothers Bank handing, delivering, or serving a payment history pre-marked Exhibit E to affiants. Affiant(s) believe no such evidence exist.
2. There is no evidential proof/ material facts in support of attorney for Respondents Nexsen Pruet Suzanne Grigg & Kirsten Small having bona fide firsthand knowledge of any contracts or signatures contained therein of which they wish to enter onto the record on appeal. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
3. There is no evidential proof/ material facts in support of Respondents Anderson Brothers Bank was not a fictitious plaintiff, nor were they the real party in interest. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.

4. There is no evidential proof/ material facts in support of Respondents or their attorney Nexsen Pruet Suzanne Grigg & Kirsten Small having rebutted any affidavits previously received prior to the lower court hearing. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
5. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of Special Referee/Master in Equity/Judge at Writ of Assistance hearing Haigh Porter did not openly admit on and for the record that he has not sworn an oath of office as judge. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
6. There are no evidential proof/material facts in support of the affiant(s) truly receiving a purported three dollar consideration given by Respondents. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exists.
7. There are no evidential proof/material facts in support of Judge Haigh Porter acting impartial in this matter. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidencce exists.
8. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of the lower court having subject matter or personam jurisdiction to hear this case. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exists.
9. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of Affiant(s) not responding to summons and complaint received on May 1, 2013. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
10. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of attorney for Respondents openly admitting on and for the record during the Writ of Assistance hearing that Anderson Brothers Bank was a corporate fiction by stating "that they received their corporate charter from the state." Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
11. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of Judge Haigh Porter not admitting on and for the record during the Writ of Assistance Hearing that Anderson Brothers Bank was a corporate fiction/fictitious party. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
12. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of Respondents having standing to bring suit against appellants. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
13. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of Anderson Brothers Bank being an injured party. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.
14. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of affiants not being an injured party. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.

15. There is no evidential proof/material facts in support of a Master in Equity Special Referee/ Judge not having to take an oath of office. Affiant(s) believes that no such evidence exist.

Proof of Allegations:

1. Attorney for Anderson Brothers Bank affirms that she did not hand, deliver, or serve a payment history pre-marked Exhibit E to affiants. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit A)
2. Attorney for Respondents Nexen Pruet Suzanne Grigg & Kirsten Small affirms they do not have bona fide firsthand knowledge of any contracts or signatures contained therein of which they wish to enter onto the record on appeal. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit E Pg.8 Line 13-13; Pg.25 Line 2-12)
3. Respondent Anderson Brothers Bank affirms that they are a fictitious plaintiff, and they are not the real party in interest. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit E Pg.55 Line 4-Pg. 58. Line 3)
4. Respondent and their attorney Nexsen Pruet Suzanne Grigg & Kirsten Small affirm that they have not rebutted any affidavits previously received prior to the lower court hearing. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit B and E Pg. 37 Line 24-Pg.38 Line 2; Pg.39 Line8-18)
5. Respondents affirms Special Referee/Master in Equity/Judge at Writ of Assistance hearing Haigh Porter has openly admitted on and for the record that he has not sworn an oath of office as judge. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit E Pg.44 Line 22-Pg.48 Line 11)
6. Respondent Anderson Brothers Bank affirms that there are no evidential proof/material facts in support of the affiant(s) truly receiving a purported three dollar consideration. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit E Pg.23 Line 15-Pg.24 Line 10)
7. Respondents affirms that Judge Haigh Porter did not act impartial in this matter in light of the fact his wife did receive a benefit from appearing on the behalf of Anderson Brothers Bank at the foreclosure sale of Affiant(s) private property. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit C)
8. The Respondents affirm that the lower court did not have plenary jurisdiction to hear this case. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.

9. Respondents affirm that there is evidential proof/material facts in support of Affiant(s) filing there response/answer to summons and complaint on May 2, 2013. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed. (see exhibit D)
10. Attorney for Respondents Suzanne Grigg affirms that she openly admitting on and for the record during the Writ of Assistance hearing that "Anderson Brothers Bank gets their corporate charter from the state." If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit E Pg.55 Line 4-Pg.58 Line 3)
11. Respondents affirm that Judge Haigh Porter did admit on and for the record during the Writ of Assistance Hearing that Anderson Brothers Bank was a corporate fiction and fictitious party. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed. (see exhibit E Pg.55 Line 4-Pg.58 Line 3)
12. Respondents affirm that there is evidential proof that they did not have standing to bring suit against affiants. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed. (see exhibit B-F)
13. Respondents affirm that respondents are not injured. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.
14. Respondents affirm their actions have injured affiants. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.
15. Respondents affirm that a sworn Oath of Office is a mandatory requirement of Master in Equity Special Referee/Judge. If no timely rebuttal it is affirmed.(see exhibit F)

FURTHER THE AFFIANT(S) SAYETH NAUGHT.

The recipient of this document is asked to rebut the facts contained herein. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedures 8(b) and South Carolina Rule 8(b), all facts not rebutted are admitted as truth. Failure to deny constitutes admission as well as Rule 240e SCACR.

Appellants hereby request Respondents provide all (i.e. each, every, any) pertinent material used by Respondents in making their determination. Failure to provide verified strict proof under penalty of perjury to all above eight(1-8), and (1-15) along with all material facts/ direct evidence used in making your determination will be your tacit consent that IT IS AGREED to (i) Record on Appeal is not necessary in light of this agreement.(ii) that the lower court lacked jurisdiction(iii) that your guilty of contempt for bringing a claim in the name of a fictitious party (iv) that you have committed fraud upon the court(v)that you have