



Appellant also asks this Court to reconsider its order dated April 3, 2013, wherein the Court granted Wells Fargo's request to strike 18 improper appendices filed by Appellant in conjunction with her Initial Reply Brief. *See* Motion p. 38-39 That request is improper. *See* Rule 240(i), SCACR ("The court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding party's appeal."). The April 3, 2013 order does not meet the requirements of Rule 240(i), SCACR. This Court should deny the request for reconsideration. In fact, if Appellant fails to comply with the Court's ordered deadline of April 23, 2015, to remove the improper appendices, this Court should dismiss the appeal for failure to comply with court directives. *See* Rule 260(a), SCACR ("Whenever it appears that an appellant . . . has failed to comply with the requirements of these Rules, the clerk *shall* issue an order of dismissal . . . ") (emphasis added).

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

By: 

Michael J. Anzelmo  
SC Bar No. 72933  
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor  
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201  
803.799 2000

Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

Columbia, South Carolina

April 23, 2015

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Diane S. Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2008-CP-38-1024

Appellate Tracking No. 2014-001683

RECEIVED

APR 23 2015

SC Court of Appeals

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., ..... Respondent,

v.

Dorothy Sistrunk, ... Appellant.

**Proof of Service**


I, the undersigned administrative assistant of the law offices of Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A , do hereby certify that I have served all parties in this action with a copy of the pleading(s) hereinbelow specified by mailing a copy of the same by certified United States Mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, to the following address(es):

Pleadings:

**Return to Motion to Remand**

Party Served:

*pro se* Defendant  
Ms. Dorothy Sistrunk  
423 Bayne Street  
Orangeburg, SC 29115

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer B. Lee

April 23, 2015

# Nelson Mullins

**Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP**  
Attorneys and Counselors at Law  
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor / Columbia, SC 29201  
Tel 803 799 2000 Fax 803 255 9024  
www.nelsonmullins.com

Michael J Anzelmo  
Tel 803 255 9312  
Fax 803 255 9024  
michael.anzelmo@nelsonmullins.com

April 23, 2015

## Hand Delivered

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of Court  
SC Court of Appeals  
1220 Senate Street  
Columbia, SC 29201

**RECEIVED**

APR 23 2015

**SC Court of Appeals**


RE: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Dorothy Sistrunk  
Wells Fargo Matter #: 0362522-01  
Case No.: 08-CP-38-1024  
Appellate Case No.: 2014-001683  
NMRS File No.. 10275/01528

Dear Ms. Kitchings.

Enclosed please find an original and seven copies of a Return to Motion to Remand in the above-referenced matter. Please file the original and return a clocked-in copy to me via our courier. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

By copy of this letter, I am hereby serving the opposing party.

Very truly yours,



Michael J Anzelmo

MJA:jlee  
Enclosures

cc: Ms. Dorothy Sistrunk -