

 ORIGINAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM LANCASTER COUNTY
R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2014-000594

RECEIVED
MAY 27 2015
SC Court of Appeals

The State, ... Respondent,

v.

Devatee Tymar Clinton, ... Appellant.

**RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME II**

Chad N. Johnston
WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.
Post Office Box 8416
Columbia, South Carolina 29202-8416
(803) 252-3300

Robert M. Dudek
Chief Appellate Defender
**SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION
ON INDIGENT DEFENSE**
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(803) 734-1330

Attorneys for Appellant

Alan Wilson
John W. McIntosh
Donald J. Zelenka
William E. Salter, III
**OFFICE OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
(803) 734-6305

Randy E. Newman, Jr.
**SOLICITOR
SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**
Post Office Box 607
Lancaster, South Carolina 29721
(803) 416-9367

Attorneys for Respondent

INDEX

Trial Transcript – dated March 10-14, 2014	R-4
Motion <i>in Limine</i> Hearing on Child's Statements	R-14
Witness David Belk	
Direct.....	R-37
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-48
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-52
Redirect	R-55
Witness Richard Weiss	
Direct.....	R-56
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-61
Witness Shakela Montgomery	
Direct.....	R-76
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-70
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-73
Witness Mike Morris	
Direct.....	R-76
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-86
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-87
Redirect	R-88
Witness Dominique Davis	
Direct.....	R-89
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-97
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-101
Witness Jamal Twitty	
Direct.....	R-102
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-110
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-114
Witness Temeca Nelson	
Direct.....	R-116
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-120
Witness Clark Crump (<i>In Camera</i>)	
Direct.....	R-126

Witness Janice Ross	
Direct.....	R-129
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-141
Witness Pomp Blackmon	
Direct.....	R-142
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-161
Witness Christine Rogers	
Direct.....	R-164
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-188
Redirect	R-194
Witness Jeff Steel	
Direct.....	R-196
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-205
Witness Ken Taylor	
Direct.....	R-208
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-247
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-270
Redirect	R-289
Re-Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-290
Re-Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-290
Witness Frederick Thompson	
Direct.....	R-291
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-305
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-308
Witness Stephanie Staley	
Direct.....	R-313
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-325
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-328
Witness MaryAnn Boehm	
Direct.....	R-334
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-358
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-364
Witness Yeisha Tinsley	
Direct.....	R-366
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-380
Witness Suzanne Cromer	
Direct.....	R-383

Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-395
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-400
Witness Wayne Blakeney	
Direct.....	R-402
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-435
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-449
Witness Fred Thompson	
Direct.....	R-455
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-479
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-486
Witness Michael Greene	
Direct.....	R-488
Cross (Defendant's Counsel)	R-496
Cross (Co-Defendant's Counsel)	R-498
Defendant's Motion for Directed Verdict	R-503
Co-Defendant's Motion for Directed Verdict.....	R-503
Renewal of Defendant's Motion for Directed Verdict	R-525
Renewal of Co-Defendant's Motion for Directed Verdict	R-525
Closing Argument of the State.....	R-536
Closing Argument of Defendant.....	R-573
Closing Argument of Co-Defendant.....	R-601
Charges	R-623
Verdict of the Jury	R-645
Sentence	R-668
Charges – Court's Exhibit #8.....	R-671
Indictment – dated June 7, 2012	R-678
Indictment – dated March 6, 2014	R-680
Sentence Sheet – dated March 14, 2014	R-682
State Trial Exhibit 59	R-683
State Trial Exhibit 60	R-683
Notice of Appeal – dated March 18, 2014	R-700
Certificate of Appellant.....	R-704

1 help and you know, things like that, but just right off the top
2 of my head I don't recall this statement.

3 Q That is completely all right. If you don't remember
4 that is the answer I want to hear.

5 Just one last question for you then, I will hand you up
6 another report to see if you remember speaking with a Rashad
7 Johnson at all on January 23rd of 2012?

8 A I do remember dealing with Mr. Johnson because I
9 vaguely know his mother, so I do remember dealing with him and
10 his mother with Investigator Crump.

11 Q Okay. Do you recall speaking with his mother as part
12 of the investigation as well?

13 A We did talk to her at some point, but it was in
14 relation to her son.

15 Q To her son Rashad Johnson?

16 A Right. Right.

17 Q Were you speaking with Rasha Johnson in this case
18 because he was a person of interest at this time?

19 MR. BARFIELD: Objection.

20 THE COURT: That is hearsay. Let me see that
21 statement.

22 (WHEREUPON, document handed to the Court.)

23 THE COURT: What is your objection, solicitor?

24 MR. BARFIELD: Technically I probably don't have a
25 proper objection to the current question, but I think

1 Q Would you like me to repeat the question?

2 A Absolutely, yes, sir.

3 Q Special Agent Green you had a chance to look over a
4 document that I handed you an about an investigation you
5 participate on January 23rd of 2012, correct?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And reviewing that document help refresh your memory
8 about the investigation you participated in on that date?

9 A I remember talking to Mr. Johnson and his mother
10 briefly in the investigation, you know, as far as details or
11 what they said I don't recall that.

12 Q Do you recall if one of the reasons you were talking to
13 Mr. Johnson was that he was a person of interest at that time in
14 the course of the investigation?

15 A He was one of a number of people that we looked at
16 during that time, yes, ma'am.

17 Q Okay. And since that time do you recall whether or not
18 he had been ruled out as person of interest?

19 A Has he been ruled out as a person of interest as of
20 today?

21 Q Well since any time since January 23rd of 2012?

22 A He was not charged with a crime in this case.

23 Q Okay.

24 MS. RANEY: Thank you. I no further questions.

25 THE COURT: Anything in redirect solicitor?

1 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Frick?

3 MR. FRICK: No, sir.

4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you, very
5 very Captain, you may stand down.

6 All right, solicitor you may call your next
7 witness.

8 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor at the risk of in and
9 out, I think I am a point where I need to kind of do a
10 quick inventory.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MR. BARFIELD: We may be done, if that is okay.

13 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Foreman and ladies and
14 gentleman of the jury, we will take a 15 minute
15 recess. Do not discuss the case during this brief
16 recess.

17 Thank you very much.

18 (WHEREUPON, there was a brief recess.)

19 THE COURT: All right. You had enough time
20 solicitor?

21 MR. BARFIELD: Yes, the State intends to rest when
22 the jury comes back out.

23 THE COURT: All right. So the State is going to
24 rest. All right. Then the defense have a motion as
25 far as the defendant Green, Mr. Frick?

1 MR. FRICK: Do you want Clinton or Green?

2 THE COURT: I am sorry, defendant Clinton, Mr.
3 Frick.

4 MR. FRICK: Your Honor, at this time the defense
5 would make a motion for a directed verdict. Taking
6 the evidence in the light most favorable to the State
7 I do not believe there is enough evidence for the jury
8 to consider it. It can be decided as matter of law by
9 you. I think you heard, seen, there is obviously a
10 lot of stuff in evidence. However, I think what the
11 State has got against Mr. Clinton is a circumstantial
12 case and merely a circumstantial case, not even a
13 substantial circumstantial.

14 You got to have more than merely circumstantial
15 for it to be decided by the jury and therefore I would
16 ask you to direct a verdict in his favor.

17 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much Mr.
18 Frick. Miss Raney.

19 MS. RANEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 With respect to Mr. Green based on all the
21 evidence that the State has presented I have heard no
22 evidence whatsoever pointing to him as the triggerman
23 in this case. Therefore I am assuming the State is
24 proceeding against him under an accomplice liability
25 or hand of one hand, hand of all theory. Bearing that

1 in mind, Your Honor, from all of the evidence we've
2 heard from the State over the last couple of days I
3 have heard no direct evidence whatsoever against my
4 client. The closest we have come to it would be the
5 testimony of Mr. Blakeney who can not even state
6 whether or not my client actually ever went inside of
7 Miss Jones's trailer that night. He was unable to see
8 him. He was out of his sight line.

9 Mr. Blakeney testifies -- I mean, he never sees my
10 client with a gun. Never hears him have any
11 discussion with either this co-defendant or any of the
12 other could co-defendants in this case about going to
13 do a robbery, intending to aid and assist in any way
14 in hurting Miss Jones or performing any type of lick.
15 In fact all of Mr. Blakeney's discussions appear to
16 have been with Mr. Tate, Mr. Tate Clinton and Mr.
17 Clinton is just saying hey I need to go pick up some
18 money. There is no suggestion made that Mr. Clinton
19 had a different conversation with my client at any
20 time during the night of January 19th, 2012 indicating
21 they had some other plan. Whenever all four of them,
22 according to Mr. Blakeney's testimony, get back inside
23 the vehicle its just Mr. Clinton that is saying things
24 like chill, chill and my client allegedly along with
25 Mr. McDow allegedly are just saying go, go. Get out

1 of there. There is nothing put forth either at that
2 point that my client came out of the house with the
3 gun, with any spoils of any robbery from the Jenika
4 Jones's house, much less that he even knew what
5 happened inside the house that night or was doing
6 anything to further aid and abet any crime that Mr.
7 Clinton may or may not have committed.

8 The other evidence pointing that the State is
9 using against my client would be all the
10 circumstantial evidence whirling around what happened
11 the day before, on January 18th.

12 We heard testimony from Jamal Twitty and Dominique
13 Davis and both of there testimony was that it was
14 Tate that was speaking of doing a lick. They never
15 heard my client initiate any conversation about doing
16 any type of lick. But from my notes from Miss Davis's
17 testimony she is saying Mr. Green was present when Mr.
18 Tate Clinton was suggesting doing a lick and she never
19 heard my client agree to it. She never heard any
20 details given about who we were speaking about. Just
21 that simply my client was there and did nothing more
22 than at best ask for clarification as to who Mr.
23 Clinton was even talking about. Someone with a black
24 car and the evidence that the State has put forth is
25 that Miss Jones doesn't even have a quote, unquote

1 car, she has a jeep.

2 Mr. Twitty's testimony also very circumstantial.
3 And again it is Mr. Clinton that is is initiating
4 conversation about doing a crime and he throws out
5 there first with my client not even there. Hey, I
6 want to do a lick Mr. Twitty. Can you get a ride. Do
7 you want to come along and Mr. Twitt's response is,
8 yeah, whatever. Mr. Twitty then says Al rolls up on
9 their conversation and gives the exact same response
10 that Mr. Twitty did; yeah, whatever. Kind of a
11 brush-off. In fact when Mr. Twitty says Mr. Clinton
12 is saying, yeah, let's go do this tonight my client
13 refuses.

14 My client's own sister was called as a State's
15 witness, Your Honor, in this case. By her testimony
16 she is saying that my client actually lived with
17 Jenika Jones. Miss Latoya Green is friends with
18 Jenika Jones. Has known her since childhood. Has
19 been with her for quite some time. She had a close
20 enough relationship with her that she was named
21 actually the godmother of Jenika Jones three children.
22 Now, I would suggest to the Court that certainly if
23 these children lived with my client, you know, they
24 should be able to recognize him, at least the
25 four-year-old.

1 There is has 'been no testimony put forth that any
2 one that was present or could have been present inside
3 the home ever saw my client inside of the home. There
4 was no testimony by Latoya Green there was any bad
5 blood between Miss Jones and my client.

6 So Your Honor, coupled with all I had mentioned on
7 top of the fact there is not one piece of DNA or
8 forensic evidence linking my client either to the car
9 or to the home we would put forward that the murder
10 charge should be -- a directed verdict should be
11 granted for my client on that charge.

12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you,
13 very much. Solicitor.

14 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor, I submit as to both
15 defendants there is sufficient evidence, both direct
16 and circumstantial for the case to go to the jury. I
17 specifically asked Mr. Clinton, I think if you look at
18 only -- and I am not suggesting that the jury should,
19 but if you look at the statement of Mr. Blakeney and
20 the activities of Mr. Clinton which are verified by
21 other witnesses, he is clearly in the middle of this.
22 He is the initiator of this. There were -- let me
23 just address it as to both of them.

24 The day before there were two conversations
25 reported and testified to by witnesses saying that

1 Clinton and Green were discussing doing a lick or a
2 robbery. The very day before, the very night before
3 Miss Jenika Jones was killed we got Jamal Twitty who
4 got in to much more detail concerning the conversation
5 that occurred in Carolina Court the night before the
6 night of Jenika Jones's murder. He indicates that
7 Tate Clinton says do you want to go do a whatever.
8 He says that Al Green walks up, Tate Clinton asks Al
9 Green if he wants to do it. Al Green says he is up
10 for it, yeah, whatever. There is discussion about not
11 doing it that night. The difference between Jamal
12 Twitty and Al Green is Jamal Twitty there's no
13 evidence in this case that Jamal Twitty followed
14 through with any request that he provide assistance
15 and participate in this robbery. Whereas there is
16 ample evidence in this case that Al Green was in fact
17 present in the white Cadillac along within Clinton,
18 along with McDow, along with Blakeney. It is clear
19 that they -- of course the jury has got to believe all
20 this evidence -- it is clear that they went to
21 Roseanna Lane. It's clear that three people got out
22 of the car, ran back to the car a few minutes later.
23 It is clear that there was a gun present in the car
24 before and after. It is clear that Clinton said take
25 me out there to get some money. The jury can decide

1 whatever they want to about what "gets some money"
2 means. The gun is in the car after the robbery. The
3 car is recovered. It is clear that's the car that was
4 used in the robbery. The molding I submit is clearly
5 the molding that came off that car found just up the
6 creek from where Miss Jones lived.

7 There is some forensic evidence. Not a whole
8 bunch. The DNA is not real good. There is evidence
9 that the jury can consider. It is admitted evidence
10 and they can take it for what it is worth and it is
11 does to some degree tie Mr. Clinton to again to some
12 degree to items recovered in this case, specifically
13 the blue coveralls.

14 I just submit there is enough evidence as to both
15 defendants for the case to go forward at this point
16 and the jury can do what they think they should do
17 with the evidence that has been presented

18 THE COURT: Thank you, thank you solicitor.

19 All right. Well, as I review the evidence and the
20 standard that I must apply at this stage it appears
21 there have been 22 witnesses presented, or actually
22 21. One of those twice. That being Mr. Thompson.

23 If you start with the analysis of the testimony of
24 Dominique Davis hearing the conversation on 1-18-12
25 that Green and Clinton were talking about doing a

1 lick. That Clinton said he still had Taz's gun and
2 was not going to give it back. That was on the a.m.
3 of the 18th. And then on the p.m. of the 18th there's
4 multiple individuals outside of these same apartments;
5 Mr. Twitty, Mr. Clinton, having a conversation. There
6 is others around also -- about Clinton recruiting
7 someone to do a lick. He asked Twitty. He did
8 responds, yeah whatever, and then when Al Green came
9 up he asked Al Green and Twitty's indication was he
10 said yeah, whatever. That he was down for it.

11 There's a multiple witnesses that talk about the
12 relationship between Clinton and Green. So within 24
13 hours, 36 hours of the homicide you have two separate
14 and distinct individuals. One hearing a conversation
15 between Green and Clinton about doing the lick.
16 Another having that conversation with Clinton about
17 doing a lick and then hearing Clinton ask Green and
18 Green being down for it in that regard.

19 Now, you also have then I guess as you progress in
20 time, you have different testimony from the -- one of
21 the -- one of the individuals about the neighborhood
22 of Newtown and that two individuals, black males go to
23 Newtown and borrow a vehicle from Pomp Blackmon; a
24 white ragtop Caddy that they are going to bring back
25 within an hour and a half, two hours.

1 Now, you have witnesses circumstantially, not only
2 circumstantially and by more direct witnesses placing
3 that Cadillac on Rosanna. That would be the testimony
4 of Shakela Montgomery and her cousin Tameca Nelson
5 that was coming home from Applebees and saw the
6 vehicle coming out being driven in a fast, reckless
7 manner, a white ragtop caddy. Both of them describe
8 it as a white caddy and then Tameca Nelson further
9 goes to say she saw that same car the next day over at
10 the Piggly Wiggly. They further state that when they
11 drive in there that night and they see that car coming
12 out that they don't see police. They don't see EMS.
13 They don't see a crowd. They don't see parties in
14 there where something had potentially already
15 occurred. When that car is both reported to Lancaster
16 police and then recovered by Mr. Pomp Blackmon he
17 testified he kept his car clean. Its got some red
18 clay mud-type on it, it's kind of messy inside and
19 further it was missing a piece of molding. I think
20 that's by the 23rd when Detective Thomspen is out
21 there at his house -- out there at Pomp Blackmon's
22 house, and on that same day on the 23rd, Detective
23 Thomspen goes out and locates the what appears to be
24 molding off of the Cadillac on Roseanna Lane. So, you
25 got witnesses identifying it out there at particular

1 time saying they saw it the next day -- one of them
2 saying they saw it the next day at Piggly Wiggly.
3 Then you have a piece of a car I would say, or at
4 least just it's jury issue as to whether it is a piece
5 of the car or not found on Roseanna.

6 Going all the way back to Pomp Blackmon too, he
7 did not identify anyone in this case however he does
8 say four black males; one in the driver, one passenger
9 and two in the back. That is the same indication that
10 Mr. Blakeney testifies to that he is driving. Mr.
11 Clinton is in the front. He is saying what he done
12 earlier that day. Mr. Green and a person that he does
13 not know at that time who is later identified as being
14 identified as Mr. McDow is in the back seat. So, they
15 got mode of transportation. They got the planning in
16 mind to do a lick. They got tools in which to do a
17 lick and I submit not only are the tools to do a lick
18 but also the fact that they wear -- or at least one of
19 them is wearing a jumpsuit. When the car is recovered
20 by Mr. Blackmon and the police go out there and they
21 find the -- he has already found the jumpsuit and you
22 think there is the ID also from Tyson. Now, when you
23 get to that jumpsuit and you get to the DNA analysis
24 perhaps some of the numbers aren't very great, but
25 there is some corroboration of Mr. Blakeney in the DNA

1 analysis. DNA profile developed from item two is
2 mixture of at least three individuals; Jenika Jones
3 and Delrico McDow can not be excluded as a possible
4 contributor to the mixture. That is swabs from the
5 under side of the storm door handle exterior. That
6 corroborates -- regardless of what the numbers are
7 that corroborates Blakeney.

8 Item three profile -- item three swab from
9 doorknob, DNA profile developed from item three is a
10 mixture of at least two individuals. No conclusive
11 statement can be made regarding the inclusion or
12 exclusion of Jenika Jones and Devatee Clinton as
13 possible contributors. Exclude Pomp Blackmon, Wayne
14 Blakeney, Delrico McDow and Al Martinez Green can be
15 excluded.

16 Item 6.1 which is a cutting from the jumpsuit
17 excludes all parties. Item 6.2 is an unidentified
18 male individual. Item 6.3 -- and I will note 6.2 is
19 an unidentified individual. I do not see that Reggie
20 the roommate that they got buccal swabs from him,
21 unless I am incorrect in that regard. Item 6.3
22 Clinton can't be excused as a contributor. All this
23 is coming from the jump suit. Item 6.4 is mixture of
24 four individuals. Clinton can't be excluded. No
25 statements can be made about inclusion or exclusion of

1 Jones, McDow -- I should say Miss Jones, McDow, and
2 Green in that mixture. That is a corroborating
3 testimony as to Mr. Blakeney, where you have this
4 mixture of individuals, again I say regardless of the
5 numbers. Further from the statements given Green,
6 Clinton and Blakeney were at the Hole in the Wall on
7 the 19th.

8 Testimony of Miss Stradford that she was at -- I
9 keep wanting to call her Chinos. Whatever the name of
10 it is. I don't know how to spell it.

11 MR. BARFIELD: Crenshaw Oil Company, Crenco.

12 THE COURT: Crenco. And she was approach by
13 Clinton wanting cigarettes and he was wearing that
14 blue jumpsuit. The blue jumpsuit in evidence. Her
15 testimony is he was wearing that blue jumpsuit is the
16 testimony given. She told him oh, this friend of mine
17 did you hear what happened to Jenika and he is not --
18 he is not interested. Well, he is not interested in
19 this Court's opinion because he already knows what has
20 had to Jenika or that can be an inference that could
21 be drawn from the -- from the evidence.

22 Y'eisha Tinsley also testified concerning the Hole
23 in the Wall on the 19th. Again Mr. Clinton gives
24 conflicting statements. Mr. Frick wants out on the
25 cross examination of Mr. Thomspen, but it is not usual

1 for someone not to tell the truth, the whole truth and
2 nothing but the truth on there first statement to the
3 police. The cross examination of Mr. Blakeney well,
4 why didn't you tell him the truth on the first
5 statement. So you have all this corroborating
6 circumstantial evidence, and I would further add
7 whether there is blood, whether there is fingerprints,
8 whether there is hair, whether there is fiber, whether
9 there is someone's driver's license at the scene, the
10 absence of evidence is not evidence of absence. You
11 have the testimony of Mr. Blakeney that says he was
12 driving. Two individuals that he knew he identified.
13 Three of them got out of the car, went in down there
14 on the Roseanna, came back in a hurry within ten
15 minutes. The one with the gun appeared to be cool,
16 calm and collected. He says chill. The other ones,
17 lets go, lets go, lets go. I think that shows his
18 manner in which he is driving that I don't know if he
19 skimmed something, hit a rut or what, and that molding
20 comes off. But I think there is both direct and
21 substantial circumstantial evidence as to both Mr.
22 Clinton and Mr. Green and I would be remiss if I
23 didn't point out also the direct statement by Mr.
24 Clinton to Mr. Blakeney that I killed that individual.
25 Not by name but by expletive.

1 All right. Anything further before we bring in our
2 jury from the State?

3 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir.

4 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Clinton and Mr. Green
5 and your attorney will you come around please.

6 MR. BARFIELD: I know what you are fixing to do.
7 We did update the rap sheets and there is additional
8 matters with the rap sheets that I shared with the
9 lawyers.

10 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Clinton, Mr. Green I am
11 going to back over not entirely, but what we started
12 talking about yesterday concerning your right to
13 testify or not testify. All right, solicitor what is
14 the criminal history that would be subjected to cross
15 examine of Mr. Clinton.

16 MR. BARFIELD: I believe as to Mr. Clinton is a
17 2005 assault and battery of high aggravated nature.
18 That is the year of the conviction. Then in 2012 he
19 was convicted of four offenses, three of which I think
20 are useable for impeachment. You have assault and
21 battery of high and aggravated nature, possession of
22 pistol by a person convicted of a crime of violence,
23 pointing and presenting a firearm and burglary 2nd
24 degree, violent. He also had at the same time
25 carrying a pistol which I concede would not be

1 useable.

2 That's it for him.

3 THE COURT: All right. Do you agree with that Mr.
4 Frick?

5 MR. FRICK: Your Honor, I agree that is his
6 record. We might spar a little bit over whether they
7 will be admissible. I would contend that the assault
8 of offenses might be too close in nature to what we
9 are in trial here for and would argue that would be
10 not be admissible.

11 Regarding the burglary -- and I don't have the case
12 in front of me, but I have argued before in other
13 cases that the burglary is not probative for
14 truthfulness in and of itself, of course that is the
15 reason why we have the record.

16 Now, in all candor to the Court, I do not believe I
17 would win those arguments, but would I put them on the
18 record to preserve my client's transcript.

19 However, in discussing it with my client I think
20 that is going to be a moot issue.

21 THE COURT: All right. All right. Well, of
22 course I would think the burglary would be admissible
23 under 609(A), punishable by imprisonment in excess of
24 one year, that evidence accused has been convicted of
25 such crime shall be admitted. If the Court determines

1 that the probative value admitting this evidence
2 outweighs prejudicial effect to the accused -- and I
3 will define the burglary second here. You know,
4 that's a thin line on the assault and battery of high
5 and aggravated nature. Is it a misdemeanor?

6 MR. BARFIELD: Both of those were under the old
7 misdemeanor statute, the ten-year statute.

8 THE COURT: But you say that is going to be moot?

9 MR. FRICK: I believe it is going to be Your
10 Honor. If that changes I will be glad to argue
11 further.

12 THE COURT: Let me ask you this then Mr. Clinton,
13 did you have opportunity to think about your right to
14 testify or not testify?

15 DEFENDANT CLINTON: Yes, sir.

16 THE COURT: Have you made a decision about whether
17 you are going to testify or not testify?

18 DEFENDANT CLINTON: Yes, sir.

19 THE COURT: And what is your decision?

20 DEFENDANT CLINTON: I ain't going to testify.

21 THE COURT: You are not?

22 DEFENDANT CLINTON: No, sir.

23 THE COURT: All right. You understand if you were
24 to testify whatever charges you had that carry more
25 than a year I would allow the prosecutor to ask you

1 about those charges only as it went to the
2 credibility, believability of your testimony. Do you
3 understand that?

4 DEFENDANT CLINTON: Yes, sir.

5 THE COURT: Do you have any questions you would
6 like to ask me about your right to testify or not
7 testify?

8 DEFENDANT CLINTON: No, sir.

9 THE COURT: And that is your decision?

10 DEFENDANT CLINTON: Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: Made of your own free will and accord.

12 DEFENDANT CLINTON: No, sir.

13 THE COURT: Do you need any more time to talk to
14 your lawyer about that?

15 DEFENDANT CLINTON: No, sir.

16 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much.

17 Now what about Mr. Green, solicitor?

18 MR. BARFIELD: He has no convictions as an adult.
19 He has two adjudications as a juvenile which I think
20 under the rule would be useable for impeachment
21 purposes. I think the rule says they would be usable
22 for adult convictions juvenile adjudications come in.
23 They are 2004 carrying a weapon on school property,
24 which I believe was a five year offense. And 2011
25 assault on a correctional facility employee.

1 I think both of those relate -- I think the later
2 relates to while he was incarcerated at DJJ. I think.

3 THE COURT: All right. I will be glad to hear
4 from you on those Miss Raney.

5 MS. RANEY: Your Honor, I think we would have
6 similar argument to Mr. Frick given the nature of the
7 prior assault and weapons charge being to closely
8 related to the allegations in this case, however also
9 like Mr. Frick mentioned in speaking about his client
10 I believe that will be also be a moot issue here.

11 THE COURT: Well, of course I would allow them to
12 come in to evidence. I would tell the jury if he were
13 to testify and he was be cross-examined I would give
14 them the instruction that they can be used, if at all,
15 only as it goes to the issue of his credibility.

16 All right, Mr. Green, have you made your decision
17 about whether or not you are going to testify.

18 DEFENDANT GREEN: Yes, sir.

19 THE COURT: What is your decision.

20 DEFENDANT GREEN: I ain't going to testify.

21 THE COURT: That is your decision?

22 DEFENDANT GREEN: Yes, sir.

23 THE COURT: Made of your own free will and accord?

24 DEFENDANT GREEN: Yes, sir.

25 THE COURT: Did you have time to talk with your

1 attorney further about it.

2 DEFENDANT GREEN: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: Do you need any more time to talk with
4 her?

5 DEFENDANT GREEN: No, sir.

6 THE COURT: Do you have any questions you would
7 like to ask me about your decision?

8 DEFENDANT GREEN: No, sir.

9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you, very
10 much.

11 I find both Mr. Clinton and Mr. Green understand
12 their right to testify or not to testify and they have
13 voluntarily freely and knowingly waive their right to
14 testify and I will certainly charge the jury that
15 shall not be held against them and can not even be
16 discussed in the jury room.

17 Thank you very much Mr. Clinton. Thank you, Mr.
18 Green.

19 Let me ask you this, Mr. Frick, does the defendant
20 Clinton intend to present any evidence or testimony?

21 MR. FRICK: No, Your Honor, the defense would
22 rest.

23 THE COURT: Miss Raney, does Green intend to
24 present any evidence or testimony?

25 MS. RANEY: No, Your Honor, we intend to rest as

1 well.

2 THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't we do
3 this. Why don't you all step up here.

4 (WHEREUPON, there was an off the record
5 discussion.)

6 THE COURT: All right. Bring in the jury in
7 please.

8 (Jury enters the courtroom.)

9 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and
10 gentleman.

11 You may call your next witness.

12 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor, that is the case for
13 the State of South Carolina and the State rests.

14 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much,
15 solicitor.

16 Mr. Foreman, ladies and gentleman of the jury, you
17 have heard all the evidence and all the testimony you
18 are going to hear from the State in its case in chief.
19 The defendant's motion were noted for the record, Mr.
20 Frick, Miss Raney.

21 The defendants, Mr. Clinton and Mr. Green may
22 present evidence and testimony if either or both
23 chooses to do so. Neither or both have to do so. As
24 you recall as I told you in the opening, my opening
25 remark, the State has the burden of proving its case

1 beyond a reasonable doubt. A criminal defendant in
2 South Carolina regardless of the seriousness of these
3 charges is always presumed to be innocent and has no
4 burden of proving himself or herself innocent of the
5 crime charged.

6 However, we will now turn out attention to first
7 Mr. Frick and ask Mr. Frick, does the defendant
8 Clinton intend to present any evidence or testimony?

9 MR. FRICK: Thank you, Your Honor. On behalf of
10 Mr. Devantee Clinton the defense rests.

11 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much Mr.
12 Frick.

13 Miss Raney, does the defendant Green intend to
14 present any evidence or testimony.

15 MS. RANEY: On behalf of defendant Al Green, Your
16 Honor, we rest.

17 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much, Miss
18 Raney.

19 You have now heard ladies and gentleman all the
20 evidence and all the testimony you are going to hear
21 in the trial of this case. Our courts -- I don't want
22 you to think I timed it this way -- but our courts
23 frown upon us beginning closing arguments and charges
24 in front of a jury after four o'clock in the
25 afternoon. It would probably take -- I haven't really

1 asked the attorneys how long they are going to need to
2 argue, but I can tell you this good trial attorneys
3 can't tell time any better than trial judges, whether
4 you consider a judge good or not. It would be a
5 several hour long process probably before I even got
6 the case to you this evening. So I will release you
7 for the remainder of the day.

8 I would ask you again follow my instructions. Do
9 not talk with anyone about the case. Do not watch,
10 listen to, read any news accounts of the case, do not
11 do any independent investigation or any investigation
12 on the internet.

13 The procedure we follow tomorrow is the solicitor
14 will close first on behalf of the State, followed then
15 by Mr. Frick, followed then by Miss Raney. After the
16 three closing arguments by the attorneys I will then
17 instruct you on the law that you are to apply to the
18 facts of the case as you find them to be and then
19 after I have instructed you on the law the case will
20 be submitted to you for your deliberations and for
21 your verdict.

22 With that being said I realize it has already been
23 a long week for you and it is not over yet, but I do
24 appreciate your continued focus and attention and
25 patience that you have shown all the parties and the

1 Court throughout the trial of this case.

2 If you please be back promptly at ten o'clock in
3 the morning. Thank you, very much. I hope you all
4 have a pleasant evening.

5 (Jury leaves the courtroom.)

6 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Frick?

7 MR. FRICK: Nothing at this time, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Renew motions?

9 MR. FRICK: Yes, at this time the defendant would
10 renew its motion for a directed verdict on the same
11 grounds as previously noted regarding the
12 circumstantial notice.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Frick. Miss
14 Raney.

15 MS. RANEY: At this time Your Honor on behalf of
16 defendant Green I would renew all previously motions
17 made on his behalf in this case.

18 THE COURT: Thank you and I will renew all my
19 trial rulings and rulings on the directed verdict.
20 You all have any specific requests to charge?

21 MR. BARFIELD: The State does not.

22 MR. FRICK: I will let Miss Raney go ahead.

23 MS. RANEY: May I approach, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Certainly.

25 MS. RANEY: And some of these certainly maybe

1 duplicative of the language that is already in some of
2 your instructions.

3 Your Honor, could I give a copy to the court
4 reporter to mark as a Court's exhibit to reflect that
5 these were the ones requested on behalf of defendant
6 Green.

7 (Court's Exhibit 8, charge request, marked for
8 identification as of this date.)

9 THE COURT: I was looking for that case earlier,
10 I know Judge Young's case out of Charleston. I didn't
11 quite make it back to it. This is the one that the defense
12 requested. This is a request you want to go along with the
13 circumstantial evidence charge?

14 MS. RANEY: Yes, please, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right, and if I charge aiding and
16 abetting I do also charge mere presence. I don't not
17 charge that. This looks like my charges. I need to
18 study over the first one a little bit. I usually kind
19 of blend I think it is Madison and another case I
20 can't remember, but I probably got a copy of hand of
21 one, hand of all charge.

22 MS. RANEY: I have an extra copy of Madison if
23 Your Honor would like one.

24 THE COURT: Yes, please.

25 MS. RANEY: I have one that I can give to the

1 solicitor also.

2 Honestly, Your Honor, Madison was part of where I
3 pulled the language from as well I believe it was June
4 of 2013 when they posted proposed jury instructions on
5 the South Carolina Judicial Website. It was a
6 combination.

7 THE COURT: Can you make a copy of that madame
8 clerk?

9 THE CLERK: Yes, sir.

10 THE COURT: That's my standard charge of hand of
11 one, hand of all.

12 So, I will look at that. Did you find Madison to
13 be confusing Miss Raney?

14 MS. RANEY: I certainly would never criticize
15 someone that has been at this way longer than me.

16 THE COURT: I didn't mean it like that. Anybody
17 can look and tell I have been at it way longer than
18 you Miss Raney.

19 MS. RANEY: I felt like this was a kind of trick
20 question. Like when a woman asks do I look fat in
21 this dress. Which way do I go?

22 THE COURT: I know which way I'm going. That is
23 the only request and circumstantial evidence charge as
24 I understand it if it's requested I charge it.

25 MR. BARFIELD: Yes.

1 THE COURT: I will read Logan tonight, but I think
2 I will charge this also, circumstantial evidence
3 charge.

4 MR. BARFIELD: Yes, sir.

5 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any
6 objections?

7 MR. BARFIELD: I don't think I do. I will read
8 over them tonight and let you know if there is
9 anything about it.

10 THE COURT: Make a copy for him.

11 Okay. We will be in recess until ten o'clock
12 tomorrow morning.

13 MS: RANEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 (WHEREUPON, we recessed for the day.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 (WHEREUPON, the trial continued on 3-14-14).

11 THE BAILIFF: All rise, court is now in session.

12 THE COURT: Thank you. Please remain seated.

13 I will you charge the language of Logan, Miss
14 Raney. It appears in reading Logan, the last
15 paragraph of Chief Justice's opinion it says our
16 Grippon, G-r-i-p-p-o-n and Cherry decision
17 commendably sought to remove confusion from the jury's
18 consideration regarding the weight and value afforded
19 to circumstantial evidence. However, at times, a
20 separate framework is necessary to the jury's analysis
21 of circumstantial evidence. Thus, we modify Grippon
22 and Cherry to allow the additional language provided
23 above if requested by a defendant.

24 So I take that to mean I still charge Grippon and
25 add this language also. Is that what you requesting?

1 MS. RANEY: Yes, Your Honor, that would be fine
2 with me.

3 THE COURT: All right. I wanted to make sure I
4 was reading that correctly. I think my hand of one
5 hand of of all charge -- did you get the opportunity
6 to review that.

7 MS. RANEY: I did, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I have mere presence in there. Also
9 your request on aiding and abetting and accomplice
10 liability specific to Mr. Green, I think that becomes
11 a comment on the facts. Not only to Mr. Green, but
12 more specifically to Mr. Clinton. In other words, you
13 know, a gun can be a moving object obviously, and
14 although the testimony is that he, Mr. Clinton, was
15 seen within a gun and Mr. Green wasn't. When Mr.
16 Clinton and Mr. Green and Mr. McDow get out of the
17 vehicle and Mr. Clinton has the gun, that is not
18 conclusive that Mr. Clinton had the gun when the fatal
19 round was fired in Miss Jones. So, I think that is
20 kind of comment on the facts both ways.

21 MR. FRICK: I agree with with that, Your Honor,
22 and obviously it was late when I was typing that.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MS. RANEY: I would certainly be all right with
25 general -- just generally not referring to specific

1 defendant, accomplice liability charge.

2 THE COURT: And that's what I give, the hand of
3 one, hand of all, accomplice liability. And I usually
4 give an example and obviously when I give an example
5 it is not fact specific.

6 In a murder case I usually give an example of like
7 a burglary. Although only one person goes in the
8 house two can be guilty of the burglary. If the one
9 that goes in the house is handing the stolen items out
10 the window or if there is a lookout driver or
11 something like that. I always give it, but not
12 specific to the facts of the case that are before the
13 jury.

14 MS. RANEY: That is fine.

15 THE COURT: In other words I don't say two people
16 can be guilty of a murder when only one fired the shot
17 in a murder case. I may say that in burglary case.
18 All right.

19 All right, solicitor, anything further?

20 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir. That would have been my
21 only objection to what Miss Raney was requesting, just
22 delete references to Green specifically.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MS. RANEY: And I apologize for that Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: No. No, that is quite all right.

1 MS. RANEY: I did forget to submit in your
2 standard jury charge I sure it will be fine, obviously
3 since we have co-defendants here I am assuming you
4 would instruct the jury as part of the charge to
5 consider each one separately.

6 THE COURT: I think I did that in my opening to
7 them.

8 MS. RANEY: You did.

9 THE COURT: That you consider the evidence
10 separately and distinctly as to each -- as to each
11 defendant. Yes, ma'am.

12 MS. RANEY: So I just ask that be included in the
13 end.

14 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

15 MS. RANEY: Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

17 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor, one additional thing
18 from me. Miss Raney I think during her presentation
19 marked several envelopes which are the cigarette butts
20 as court's exhibits for identification, I think, and I
21 am not sure what the rule is, but it would be my
22 argument that she should not now be allowed to pick
23 those up and banter them around in the presence of the
24 jury.

25 THE COURT: I think she can argue it.

1 MS. RANEY: I have no attention of touching those
2 envelopes or showing them to the jury.

3 THE COURT: I think she can argue it. It is in
4 the testimony, but they can't be shown to the jury.

5 All right. Just give me a general idea how long
6 you think you need only because I am not a clock
7 watcher, but if we need to take a break we will take a
8 break.

9 MR. BARFIELD: I am guessing 30 to 45 minutes. I
10 never know.

11 MR. FRICK: I didn't time myself and some times I
12 get excited when I get up there, but I would imagine
13 about the same thing.

14 THE COURT: Miss Raney.

15 MS. RANEY: I will try and be as short as
16 possible, Your Honor. The more Mr. Frick covers that
17 I was planning to say obviously the more I can cut. I
18 say thirty minutes max.

19 THE COURT: I use to say anything after 27 minutes
20 might not be the truth.

21 MR. BARFIELD: Will you tell me when I get to 27
22 minutes?

23 THE COURT: All right. Anything before we bring
24 in our jury.

25 MR. BARFIELD: Nothing from the State.

1 MR. FRICK: No, sir. I just want to look at one
2 thing first.

3 MR. FRICK: Nothing from us Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: All bring the jury in.

5 (WHEREUPON, the jury enters the courtroom.)

6 THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, ladies and gentleman of
7 the jury, good morning. I hope you all had a pleasant
8 evening. As I told you yesterday the point where in
9 the trial of the case is closing arguments by the
10 attorneys. The procedure we follow in a case such as
11 this is the solicitor closes first on behalf of the
12 State being the party bearing the burden of proof
13 beyond a reasonable doubt, followed by Mr. Frick on
14 behalf of his client, Mr. Clinton, followed by Miss
15 Raney on behalf of her client, Mr. Green.

16 I don't hold attorneys to particular time
17 restraints, timeframes 30 minutes, 45 minutes. I
18 don't hold them to timeframes. I allow the parties to
19 make a full and complete closing arguments on behalf
20 of their clients. If after a certain time I feel like
21 you or I or both need a break we will take a break
22 after one or two closing arguments perhaps, or after
23 three before I instruct the jury. My instruction will
24 probably take 30, 35 minutes to you and again, if any
25 time any of you need a break I will prefer it be done

1 between the argument but if any time anyone needs a
2 breaks please raise your hand and I will certainly
3 take one at time.

4 I advice those in the courtroom, the spectators I
5 do not allow movement in or out of the courtroom
6 during the time in which an attorney is addressing the
7 jury, you may certainly leave between arguments, you
8 may certainly leave after the argument before I
9 instruct the jury, but I don't allow movement in and
10 out during the time an attorney is addressing the jury
11 panel. I think it is distracting to the jury. It is
12 distracting to me. That admonishment does not apply
13 to the staff, the attorneys. If someone needs to
14 leave for a particular purpose in that regard. Please
15 keep that in mind.

16 Ladies and gentleman, I told you before what the
17 attorneys tell you is not evidence, but these are
18 closing arguments. These are true arguments. Not
19 like opening statements. They can comments on the
20 law. They can comment on the facts. They can comment
21 on the inferences that you may properly draw from the
22 facts and they can comment on how the facts apply to
23 the law in the case that I will charge you. But you
24 are the fact finder. You ladies and gentleman of the
25 jury will find the facts and judge the facts in this

1 case and compare them to the law as I will tell you
2 the law is and reach a true and just verdicts.

3 Please remember that although there are two
4 individuals being tried that you consider the evidence
5 separately and distinct as to Mr. Clinton and as to
6 Mr. Green in this trial.

7 With that being said if you please give the parties
8 your complete and undivided attention. Solicitor you
9 may address the jury.

10 MR. BARFIELD: If the Court please, Mr. Frick,
11 Miss Raney.

12 A year or two ago before I started doing this when
13 I sit in church on Sunday morning I just could not
14 imagine how the preacher could talk for a thirty
15 minutes and I was trying a case down there in
16 Winnsboro a few years ago and sat down after making my
17 closing argument and my investigator that was sitting
18 beside me tapped me on the shoulder and said, do you
19 realize you have been up therefore an hour and forty
20 minutes. I ain't going to do that this morning. But
21 I guess you understand from hearing the judge kind of
22 give you an idea of what is going to happen this
23 morning day that you probably feel like you are going
24 to sit through four sermons this morning. It's has
25 been a long week for you all. Don worry about us, but

1 it's been a long week for you all and it is not quite
2 over for you yet, but it is getting closer to being
3 over. So what I ask you to do right now is just kind
4 of hang with us for a little bit. What we are going
5 to do now is we are going to summarize what we think
6 this case is about. My perceptive on behalf of the
7 State and Mr. Frick and Miss Raney on behalf of their
8 clients. And we are going to argue to you. We are
9 going to try to convince you of things based on what
10 you heard in this courtroom this week. And although
11 what we do not say is -- what we are going to say is
12 not evidence, we want you to pay attention and we want
13 you to follow as we discussed things tie things
14 together. As I told you when I got up here Tuesday
15 morning you, didn't know anything about this case when
16 you came in here and I told you I got a whole bucket
17 full of stuff on this case over there. I kind of
18 boiled it down to a few pages here, but you all are
19 still sitting there kind of empty handed. What you
20 got is only what you have seen and heard in this
21 courtroom. So we are going to try and review some of
22 that with you this morning.

23 Let me tell you first, Tate Clinton sitting right
24 here and Al Green sitting right there murdered Jenika
25 Jones. That is what this is all about. Keep that

1 foremost in your mind as we conclude this trial.

2 What this case is not about, what your role has
3 nothing to do with from this point forward and never
4 has during this trial is to decide anything about
5 Wayne Blakeney or Delrico McDow. This is not the day
6 that any decision is made about the responsibility of
7 Wayne Blakeney and Delrico McDow concerning the murder
8 of Jenika Jones. Remember that too.

9 I go first and when I sit down that is it for me,
10 and then you will hear from Mr. Frick and Miss Raney
11 and it is kind of up to me to sort of anticipate what
12 they might talk about and I am not going to talk much
13 about what I think they are going to talk about, but I
14 am going to give you a hint about what they are going
15 to talk about. They are going to get up here and talk
16 about all kinds of things that they say the State did
17 not do in this case. They are going to talk about
18 stuff that didn't get collected or didn't get sent to
19 SLED or telephones that maybe didn't get examined or
20 guns that didn't get found. Things like that. They
21 are going to talk about stuff like that and I tell you
22 now, listen to what they have to say because it is
23 important. Everything about this case is important.
24 So listen to it. But don't let them get up here and
25 convince you to just disregard everything that has

1 been proven in this case. And there is a lot of stuff
2 that has been proven in this case that tells you that
3 Tate Clinton and Al Green murdered Jenika Jones. So
4 listen to what they have to say. Listen to their
5 criticism of the investigation. Listen to their
6 criticism of the presentation of the State's case.
7 Whatever they got to say. But don't let them dupe you
8 into disregarding what has been proven in this case
9 beyond a reasonable doubt. Which I submit to you is
10 that these two fellows murdered Jenika Jones.

11 They are going to talk to you a lot about forensics
12 and they are going to say there is the absence of
13 forensics in this case. I will talk about forensics
14 after a while. Lets talk a little bit about forensics
15 right now. First of all this ain't TV. If you watch
16 CSI and stuff like that on TV it is entertainment.
17 But you cut the TV on at nine o'clock and you start
18 watching one of those shows between nine o'clock and
19 ten o'clock with a whole butt load of commercials in a
20 middle, you see a crime. You know a crime is
21 committed. You see them investigate. You see them
22 arrest somebody and in some of the shows it includes
23 the court part. You see somebody go to trial and the
24 case get over with in an hour. It doesn't happen that
25 way here. Some times you even see a video of the

1 crime being committed. It doesn't happen that way
2 hear. Forensics is science, but forensics is
3 complicated and difficult and it gets more complicated
4 and difficult when many people are involved in an
5 event; when many people are in a house, when many
6 people are in a car, when many people are perhaps
7 handling the same item it gets real complicated and
8 you heard that from Miss Boehm when she testified from
9 SLED the other day when she talked about DNA samples
10 being a mixture of at least three people or at least
11 four people. That's what happens in forensic science
12 cases. They would have you believe that the Lancaster
13 County Sheriff's Office should have put wheels under
14 Jenika Jones's mobile home and towed the whole dang on
15 thing down to SLED and swab ever square inch of the
16 hard surfaces and make cuttings from every square inch
17 of the soft surfaces and loaded up Pomp Blackmon's
18 Cadillac on to a rollback and taken it down there to
19 SLED and done the same thing with it to cover
20 everything that might have been covered in this case
21 and that is just not realistic and it is just not
22 practical.

23 They would also have you believe that Ken Taylor
24 could walk in this courtroom this morning with sterile
25 swabs and swab all of our cheeks and swab all their

1 cheeks and swab all the tabletops and send all that to
2 SLED and then in a little while SLED would send us
3 back a seating chart for this courtroom saying who
4 everybody was in here and where everybody was in here
5 at a particular time and that is just not realistic
6 either. Forensic science has its place and forensic
7 science has a place in this case. But witnesses have
8 a place in this case too and witnesses prove to you in
9 this case that Clinton and Green killed Jenika Jones.
10 Keep that in mind as we go through this.

11 The judge is going to tell you in a little bit what
12 murder is and he is going to define it with some legal
13 terms and I am going to tell you from the outset that
14 if ever there is a case of murder this is a case of
15 murder based on the way this thing happened. This is
16 murder. It is nothing but murder. The judge is going
17 to tell you that murder is the unlawful killing of
18 another person with malice aforethought, either
19 expressed or implied or inferred. Killing, we all
20 know what killing is. What is malice? He will give
21 you some legal terms that define malice, but basically
22 it means the intent to kill somebody or the intent to
23 inflict grievous bodily injury on somebody or extreme
24 indifference to the value of human life or a heart
25 regardless of social duty and fatally bent on

1 mischief. What does all that mean? It just means
2 badness basically. Malice has to occur before the
3 death occurs, but it doesn't have to be two weeks
4 before the death occurs. It doesn't have to be two
5 hours before the death occurs. It can be two minutes
6 before the death occurs, or two seconds before the
7 death. But there has to be that malice formed before
8 the actual act is committed and in this case I submit
9 to you that the malice started getting formed at least
10 the morning of January 18th of 2012 when Clinton and
11 Green are in Y'eisha Tinsley's apartment talking about
12 doing a lick, which I submit to you is what happened
13 at Jenika Jones's house on the 19th. We got malice
14 and we got it occurring before Jenika Jones was
15 killed. We also got malice by inference in this case
16 because the law says if you commit a murder using a
17 deadly weapon that malice can be inferred from the use
18 of that deadly weapon and it is very clear in this
19 case, I say without any doubt that Jenika Jones was
20 shot and killed with a 380 caliber bullet fired from a
21 pistol. And that's malice.

22 I said to you also Tuesday morning that between the
23 two of them it doesn't matter who pulled the trigger
24 and I stand by that today just as I did Tuesday
25 morning. The law -- the judge is going to charge you

1 on the law which says the hand of one is the hand of
2 all. The act of one is the act of all. And he might
3 give you example or two. I am going to give you an
4 example. Let me tell you what the law says first.
5 The law says first of all for this principal to apply
6 there has to be two or more people, obviously. They
7 have to be acting together. They have to be acting
8 upon a common screen or plan or design or intent.
9 They have to be aiding abetting and assisting each
10 other in the carrying out of this act. And of course
11 there has to be prior knowledge by all of them that
12 this act is going to occur in one form or another and
13 there has to be prior arrangements. Again not
14 necessarily two months or two weeks before but there
15 has to be some prior arrangements made to set in
16 motion the events that lead to this death. And if
17 those things occur then the law says that the hand of
18 one is the hand of all and in a case like this when
19 you have one gun, one bullet, one shot, one gunshot
20 wound, one death, then they are not both holding the
21 gun. There is no question about that, but they are
22 both responsible for it if they are both present
23 together acting together with this common scheme and
24 plan and design and intent to commit this criminal
25 act. Each one is responsible for the acts of the

1 other that are the natural and probable consequences
2 of what is going on. If you go do a robbery and it
3 ends up in a killing then if you are in for the
4 robbery you are in for the killing whether you pulled
5 the trigger or not. And it applies in any case, not
6 just a murder case. If two guys are going to go to a
7 convenience store and only one has got the gun and he
8 is going to go in and take the money from the clerk
9 and the other one is going to stand there at the door
10 and keep the door open so the guy with the gun can run
11 out real quick and they can get gone, the guy with the
12 door handle in his hand is just as guilty of the
13 robbery as the guy with the pistol in his hand who
14 took the money from the clerk. And if things go bad
15 and the guy with the pistol shoots and kills the clerk
16 the guy with the door handle in his hand is just as
17 responsible for the murder as the guy with the pistol
18 who shot and killed the clerk.

19 You have to put -- and I am going to tell you in
20 this case we contend that Tate Clinton shot and killed
21 Jenika Jones. That clearly is what I am telling you
22 this morning. But I am also telling you that Al Green
23 is there when this event is going on and when this
24 house gets ransacked and then whatever they are
25 looking for gets found or doesn't get found Al Green

1 is right there in the middle of it and he is just
2 responsible. You have to put Al Green in Tate
3 Clinton's shoes. You have to put Al Green in Tate
4 Clinton's clothes. You have to put Al Green in Tate
5 Clinton's skin because they essentially become one and
6 the same when they are out there at Jenika Jones's
7 house to do whatever it is they are going to do, which
8 we contend is a robbery and whatever happens happens
9 to make it end up being a murder. Al Green in for a
10 penny in for a pound. He is there and he is just as
11 responsible for Jenika Jones's death as Tate Clinton
12 is.

13 Let's start talking about what we have proved and
14 what we know. This was to be a robbery. Maybe it was
15 maybe it wasn't. We didn't prove to you what, if
16 anything, was taken, but I submit to you there is a
17 tons of evidence in this case to tell you that this
18 was to be a robbery. What do we know about it that
19 tells us it was a robbery. Clinton and Green are at
20 Y'eisha Tinsley's house talking about a lick and what
21 is a lick? You heard it over and over and over again
22 in this trial, a robbery. You are going to do a lick
23 you are going to rob somebody. They are telling that
24 at Y'eisha Tinsley's house on morning of Wednesday,
25 January 18th. They are telling that again the night

1 of January 18th out in the complex to Jamal Twitty.
2 Again talking about a lick. A robbery. Planning it
3 the day before. They see Wayne Blakeney some time the
4 night of January 19th when it happens. The night this
5 happens, and they tell Blakeney we are going to pick
6 up some money. They didn't say a lick to Blakeney.
7 But they said going to get some money. That supports
8 the State's contention that this was a planned
9 robbery. And then you also have the pictures and I'm
10 probably not going to show you pictures now. You will
11 have them in the jury room. You'll also have pictures
12 of Jenika Jones's mobile home and you'll have pictures
13 of that back bedroom. Which I submit to you these
14 guys thought must have contained some money or
15 valuables or something they wanted because they tore
16 that bedroom up. Flipped the mattress, pulled the
17 drawers out, had a chest leaned over. I believe the
18 pictures show stuff thrown all about in that room.
19 They weren't in there cleaning the room. They were in
20 there looking for something in that room to take out
21 of there with them. This was a robbery, or a planned
22 robbery which got kicked off at least the day before.
23 There were three sessions to plan this robbery. Three
24 different times these guys started planning this
25 robbery. The first was Wednesday, January 18th in the

1 morning at Y'eisha Tinsley and Dominique Davis heard
2 Clinton talking about a lick. She heard Clinton
3 talking about the girl works and she is not home too
4 much. She heard Green talking about wherever this
5 location was that they were going to go to and talking
6 about whether the girl owed the black car, planning
7 session number one. Planning session number two,
8 Wednesday night January 18th with Jamal Twitty outside
9 the apartments at Carolina Court, Tate Clinton walks
10 up and says to Twitty you want to go do a lick and
11 Twitty says whereabouts and Clinton says not far. And
12 then Al Green walks up and Tate Clinton starts to talk
13 up again about doing a lick and asks Al Green if he
14 wants to do a lick and Al Green says, yeah, whatever.
15 I am down for it. And Clinton wants to go do it that
16 night and I think Twitty testified that he was talking
17 to him about not doing it that night, putting it off.
18 That is planning session number two.

19 Planning session number three is at the Hole in the
20 Wall or somewhere in Newtown, or at the it Hole in the
21 Wall, one of the other, when Wayne Blakeney got
22 involved in this thing and they talked to Wayne
23 Blakeney and the talk is take us to go get some money.
24 Of course Tate Clinton ain't got driver's license.
25 Tate Clinton ain't got a car and Wayne Blakeney is

1 somebody that can drive them somewhere and they talk
2 Wayne Blakeney into taking them somewhere to pick up
3 money. That is planning session number three.

4 All right. If you are going to do a robbery what
5 is next. Well, you have to be able to get there. We
6 are talking about being in the Hole in Wall down there
7 at South Market Street and you got to get out 200.
8 Way out 200 below Piggly Wiggly. Well, you ain't
9 going to walk out there. You got to get there
10 somehow. You got to get transportation. Two
11 different times tried to get transportation. The
12 first time is with Jamal Twitty on the night before
13 January 18th down at Carolina Court. Talked to Jamal
14 Twitty. Go do a lick with us. Go get your
15 girlfriend's Honda. Take us out there in your
16 girlfriend's Honda. And Twitty to his credit has got
17 sense enough to try and get them off this with him.
18 He says the Honda is up for the night. Can't get the
19 Honda and Twitty doesn't participate in this. But
20 that is effort number one to get a car to get out
21 there. Effort number two to get a car, Thursday --
22 Thursday night about seven o'clock, Newtown, Pomp
23 Blackmon Cadillac. They talk Pomp Blackmon into --
24 Clinton talks to Pomp Blackmon into loaning him the
25 Cadillac, '91 Cadillac Seville with a rag top, white.

1 Effort number two is successful. They now got a car.
2 They got a plan. They got a car. How do you do a
3 robbery. Well, if it's an armed robbery you got to be
4 armed. You got to have a gun. What do we know about
5 a gun? Wednesday January 18th, the day before, at
6 Y'eisha Tinsley lease house Dominique Davis hears Tate
7 Clinton said I got Taz's gun and I ain't giving it
8 back. He's armed. Thursday, January 19th, Blakeney,
9 Wayne Blakeney, sees that in fact Tate Clinton is
10 armed. He sees a pistol in Tate Clinton's waistband
11 in the Cadillac on the way to Roseanna Lane and he
12 describes it either as 9 millimeter or 380. Blakeney
13 also tells you that he knew Clinton carried a gun
14 around. So now we got a plan. We got a car. We got
15 a driver with a driver's license apparently and we got
16 a gun and we got a destination and a victim, and we
17 are on our way and we know that a pistol was used. It
18 kind of goes without saying that we got a fired
19 cartridge case which Maryann Boehm of SLED said had
20 Jenika Jones's blood on it. Had Jenika Jones DNA on
21 it and we have a fired bullet from Jones's brain which
22 Suzanne Cromer said was a 380 bullet which could have
23 been fired from a 380 or could have also been fired
24 from a nine millimeter pistol. She described the
25 bullet and the diameter of a 380 and nine millimeter

1 as being the same. Link is different bullet, but you
2 can shoot a 380 through a nine millimeter.

3 What else has the State proved to you. The State
4 has proved to you that these two; Clinton and Green
5 and Blakeney and McDow rafted up together. Had
6 connections, had associations and were together at
7 various times and specifically on the night of January
8 19th of 2012. What do we know about that. Well
9 again, we got Dominique Davis and on Wednesday the
10 18th put Clinton and Green together talking about
11 doing a like. We got Jamal Twitty January 18th,
12 Wednesday night, putting Clinton and Green together
13 talking about doing a lick. We got Pomp Blackmon on
14 Thursday night, January 19th, at about seven o'clock,
15 putting four people coming to his house to borrow his
16 car. Four people. Two got in the car at his house.
17 The car pulled off to the corner, two more got in at
18 the stop sign and the person that borrowed the car,
19 that talked to him about the car, did bit have a
20 driver's license. Pomp Blackmon has got four people
21 in it; Latoya Green, Al Green's sister, she told you
22 that Al Green, her brother, and Tate Clinton ran
23 together. Hung out together. Latoya Green also -- Al
24 Green also lived with his sister, Latoya Green. Who
25 else lived with Latoya Green in Azalea Apartments

1 before she moved out to Roseanna Lane, Jenika Jones.
2 So Al Green knows who Jenika Jones is. We got that
3 connection. Y'eisha Tinsley who was Green's
4 girlfriend at the time and the mother of his child
5 said she saw Green the day after the killing. And
6 what did she tell you Green said, that he had been at
7 the Hole in the Wall with Tate Clinton the night of
8 the murder. We got them together at the Hole in the
9 Wall, those two. What do we have from Tate Clinton
10 himself, he told Fred Thompson on January 27th when he
11 was interviewed that he had been at the Hole in the
12 Wall the night of the murder. He told Fred Thompson
13 on the February 23rd when he was interviewed again
14 that he had been in the Hole in the Wall the night on
15 the murder. He told Fred Thompson on March 9th when
16 he interviewed that he saw Wayne Blakeney at the Hole
17 in the Wall in Pomp Blackmon's Cadillac the night of
18 the murder. We now got the three of them there. Al
19 Green told Captain Michael Green with SLED on January
20 25th that he had been at the Hole in the wall on the
21 night of the murder. Wayne Blakeney obviously in his
22 testimony puts all four of them together; him, and
23 McDow and Tate Clinton and Al Green together at the
24 Hole in the Wall in Newtown going out to Rosanna. At
25 Rosanna, he has got them all four together. What did

1 David Belk testify about on cross examination. David
2 Belk told you that the call was and the information on
3 the scene was three males were seen running from
4 Jenika Jones's house when this incident occurred and I
5 tell you that that is consistent with Wayne Blakeney
6 sitting in the car when this is going on and Tate
7 Clinton and Al Green and Delrico McDow being the guys
8 in the car who got out, disappeared into the darkness,
9 went to Jenika Jones and came running back to the car
10 before they took off out of there after the killing.
11 It matches up. It is consistent and it puts them
12 together when all of this is going on.

13 How do we know that State's Exhibit Number 16, that
14 car, is the car that was used to transport these four
15 folks out to Roseanna Lane to kill Jenika Jones. Lets
16 talk about that for a minute. Pomp Blackmon told you
17 that on Thursday, January 19th, the night this
18 happened at about seven o'clock he loaned his car out
19 for a couple of hours for some beer and cigarettes to
20 a guy who had no driver's license. We know that
21 Clinton had not no driver's license and we no from
22 Wayne Blakeney also that he had no car and loaned his
23 car out to a guy who was wearing a blue jumpsuit.
24 That was Devantee Clinton. Tate Clinton was wearing
25 that blue jumpsuit. He reported his Cadillac missing

1 to the police department when it didn't get returned
2 after a couple of hours and it didn't get returned
3 overnight. He reported to the police department that
4 he had loaned his car out to somebody whose name he
5 didn't no and it was missing. Shakela Montgomery
6 Thursday night between 9:30 and 10:30, the night of
7 the murder, is coming back from Applebees with her
8 friend, Tenika Nelson and driving to the trailer park
9 on Roseanna Lane and turns off 200 into -- onto
10 Roseanna Lane into the trailer park and sees -- almost
11 gets run over by an older white car which she
12 describes as a Buick or Oldsmobile. She is off a
13 little bit, but she ain't far off. It's a Cadillac.
14 It had it was coming out of Roseanna Lane. It had no
15 lights on. It was flying and that all occurred I
16 submit to you just after the murder she observed the
17 flight of these four guys from the scene of this
18 murder before it had gotten reported, before police or
19 EMS got there. The dispatch time on this case was
20 10:06 p.m.. The sheriff's office got the call at
21 10:06. She pulled in there right before the sheriff's
22 office was notified and right after the killing
23 happened and she saw Pomp Blackmon's Cadillac. Tameca
24 Nelson, white Cadillac with a rag top. She saw it
25 coming out. No lights, driving fast and what is also

1 significant about Tameca Nelson, she saw it the next
2 day at the Piggly Wiggly with the flat tire which is
3 exactly where Pomp Blackmon found it. No lights,
4 coming out fast what does that tell you. They were
5 fleeing the scene of this murder that had occurred in
6 Roseanna Lane. Blakeney told you when these guys came
7 running back to the car he said Tate said chill or
8 something but he said they were saying go, go, go.
9 That means, get the heck out of here. That means
10 something good has not gone on in here and we don't
11 need to be here any more. Lets get out of here. And
12 I submit to you Wayne Blakeney was hauling butt when
13 he drove out of Roseanna Lane trying to get away from
14 that scene although he didn't know at that point
15 exactly what had happened in there.

16 Pomp Blackmon found this Cadillac the next day,
17 Friday, in the Piggly Wiggly parking lot with a flat
18 tire, missing a piece of molding. I don't know if
19 they are going to argue this or not, maybe they will.
20 I submit State's exhibit number 21, which was
21 identified as Pomp Blackmon's car shows where a piece
22 of molding is missing from that car. Here is the
23 other side of the car, State's Exhibit Number 22 which
24 shows what the or side looks like and what the piece
25 of molding is suppose to look like. His car was also

1 muddy. What is the significance of that? I don't
2 know, but there is mud on his car which wasn't on the
3 car when it got loaned out because he kept his car
4 clean.

5 How else do we know this is the Cadillac that Pomp
6 Blackmon's Cadillac was used in this crime. Wayne
7 Blakeney told you Thursday night the four of them,
8 the four of them, borrowed the Cadillac from a light
9 skinned guy in Newtown. Who is that, that is Pomp
10 Blackmon. You saw him. You know where he lives.
11 Pomp Blackmon is who they borrowed that car from. He
12 also identified a photograph that I put on the reader
13 of the car as the car that they borrowed and that he
14 drove because he is the one that had a driver's
15 license. When he got done with that car after he was
16 the only one left in it Wayne Blakeney said I left it
17 at the Piggly Wiggly parking lot out there on Airport
18 Road, near Airport Crossroads and he also told you it
19 had a flat tire. There is no doubt in this case that
20 that's the car. Tate Clinton talking to Fred Thomspson
21 on February 23rd, what did he say? He talked to about
22 this case several times and is confronted with things
23 that law enforcement knows and is sitting there, you
24 can see the wheels turning. He's saying, how am I
25 going to explain all this. Fred Thompson talks to him

1 and he denies nothing Pomp Blackmon first. He denies
2 knowing Pomp Blackmon and then he admits knowing Pomp
3 Blackmon and knowing that Pomp Blackmon lives in
4 Newtown. He denied to Fred Thomspen in that same
5 interview knowing anything about a white Cadillac with
6 a rag top and later in the discussion admits that he
7 knows Pomp Blackmon drove the white Cadillac with the
8 rag top. He is trying to hide information and then he
9 is confronted with with information and then he is
10 admitting information which connects him to this case,
11 to that car, to Pomp Blackmon. He denied ever driving
12 or riding in Pomp Blackmon car to Fred Thomspen on
13 February 23rd. Then on February -- excuse me, March
14 9th when Clinton is talking to Fred Thomspen they
15 start talking about the Cadillac again and Clinton
16 admits to Fred Thomspen then that he saw Wayne
17 Blakeney in the Cadillac at the Hole in the Wall club
18 the night of Jenika Jones's murder. He is getting
19 himself tied closer and closer to that Cadillac. And
20 then Fred Thomspen starts talking to Tate Clinton
21 about DNA and Tate Clinton has got to find some way
22 again to explain why his DNA might be on that car. Of
23 course the sheriff's office didn't know whose DNA was
24 on the car at that point, but Fred Thomspen starts
25 talking Tate Clinton about DNA and well, he has got to

1 come up with some explanation just in case they find
2 his DNA on that car and what's his explanation. I
3 leaned on the car talking to some girl at the Hole in
4 the Wall club the night of Jenika Jones's murder. It
5 is pretty weak, but that's what he has given as his
6 explanation in case DNA gets found on that car. So he
7 is tying himself to that car, but not really. But he
8 is hooking himself up to that car in a sense.

9 How about this blue jumpsuit, monkey suit,
10 coveralls, it has been called a whole bunch of
11 different things. It is in that box. (Indicating) You
12 can see it. When we pulled it out the other day it's
13 got holes cut out of it where SLED cut stuff out to
14 test it. What do we know about that blue monkey suit?
15 Whose blue monkey suit is that? That's Tate Clinton's
16 blue monkey suit. And Tate Clinton was wearing that
17 blue monkey suit the night Jenika Jones was killed.
18 How do we know that? Pomp Blackmon told you he loaned
19 his car to a guy wearing blue coveralls. Pomp
20 Blackmon also told you he found his car at the Piggly
21 Wiggly parking lot Friday morning after the killing.
22 He couldn't get it then because it had a flat tire and
23 he had to go get a key for it so he got it Saturday.
24 When he got it home Saturday or some time after he
25 recovered it he finds this unknown pair of blue

1 coveralls in his car which are not his. He doesn't
2 have any explanation for them being there. But he is
3 finding blue overalls in his car which are consistent
4 with the guy who borrowed the car the night -- two
5 nights before wearing blue overalls and that's Tate
6 Clinton. Tate Clinton told Fred Thomspen both on
7 January 23rd and on February 23rd that he was wearing
8 overalls. He said camo overalls, but he said he was
9 wearing overalls the night of the murder.

10 Tate Clinton told Fred Thompson on February 23rd --
11 talked to Fred Thompson February 23rd despite the fact
12 of having said that he was wearing camo overalls the
13 night that Jenika Jones got murdered. Tate Clinton
14 brings up the topic of blue overalls with Fred
15 Thomspen on February 23rd and he then admitted, yeah,
16 I own some blue overalls. He denied he ever wore
17 them, which doesn't make any sense. He conveniently
18 says I loaned them out to people, one of the people he
19 said he loaned them out too I think was a guy name
20 Reggie Stover and then Fred Thompson and Tate Clinton
21 start talking about DNA what about your DNA. Is it
22 going be on those overalls and just like the Cadillac
23 Tate Clinton has got to come up with some explanation
24 as to why his DNA might later be found to be on those
25 over overalls and he says, well, they are mine, but I

1 didn't wear them. But I did move them around from
2 time to time at my grandmama's house is what he say.
3 He said he handled them which is his way of explaining
4 maybe why his DNA could be found on those overalls.
5 Vivian Stradford, Vivian Stradford knows Jenika Jones
6 and finds out Thursday night that Jenika Jones has
7 been killed and goes to one relative's house and moves
8 there to another relative's house and by about 11
9 o'clock based on her description of how long it took
10 her to go to one place or another she ends up at
11 Crenco which is the convenience store on South Main
12 Street, not too far from the Hole in the Wall and lo
13 and behold who does she see at Crenco, Tate Clinton.
14 After the murder, Tate Clinton, and what does she say
15 Tate Clinton is wearing; she didn't say he was wearing
16 coveralls, she didn't say he was wearing blue
17 coveralls, she said he was wearing these blue
18 coveralls (indicating). I showed them to her. He was
19 wearing them that night. DNA, Maryann Boehm got the
20 coveralls. Got cuttings -- or got the cuttings from
21 the coveralls and did DNA testing on coveralls and DNA
22 standards from Clinton, from Green, from Blakeney,
23 from McDow, from Pomp Blackmon. I think maybe that is
24 all -- and Jenika Jones blood standard, so she can
25 determine if she could tell us anything about those

1 cover always and who might have had contact with those
2 coveralls. And the numbers are the numbers. She
3 didn't say one in a gazillion Tate Clinton is all over
4 these coveralls, but she told us some information that
5 you should consider and can consider in determining
6 whether those coveralls are tied to Tate Clinton.
7 What did she tell you about the coveralls. First of
8 all what Clinton say about the coveralls. Let's back
9 and talk about forensic and about DNA in particular.
10 Miss Boehm told you this. She said the mere fact that
11 you touch something or handle something doesn't mean
12 that you are necessary going to leave DNA on it and I
13 think you can gleam from the testimony concerning DNA
14 that if something is handled by a whole bunch of
15 people things can get complicated. And DNA profiles
16 that get developed by Miss Boehm will end up being
17 mixtures of a whole bunch of people. Mixture of at
18 least two people. Mixture of at least three people,
19 and that gets complicated, but she did tell us some
20 things that are instructional concerning Tate Clinton
21 and his connection to those blue coveralls. SLED item
22 6.3 was a cutting from the blue coveralls and it was a
23 mixture of at least three people and Clinton was not
24 excluded from that DNA profile, from that cutting from
25 those blue coveralls, and the probability was one in

1 580. Well, again that is not a terribly high number
2 but it's a number and it's a connection of Tate
3 Clinton to those coveralls. The other three people
4 that were in that Cadillac that night; Green, and
5 Blakeney and McDow on that sample were excluded as
6 contributors to that DNA profile. Item 6.4 from SLED
7 was a cutting from the blue coveralls and it was a
8 mixture of four people, a mixture of at least four
9 people, and on that cutting again Tate Clinton was not
10 excluded and the probability was one in 12 which again
11 is not terribly high but it's a connection of Tate
12 Clinton to those coveralls.

13 What have we proved to you to show a connection
14 between any of these four guys to Jenika Jones and to
15 Jenika Jones's house out there on Roseanna Lane. Tate
16 Clinton's grandmother lived in the trailer park on
17 Roseanna Lane and in fact Tate Clinton's grandmother
18 lived in that trailer park next door to Jenika Jones.
19 Jenika Jones is 1963. I am showing you State's
20 exhibit 54, and the next trailer down here is 1969
21 which is Tate Clinton's grandmother next door. Tate
22 Clinton has a connection and lives himself at least
23 part of the time from the testimony you have heard
24 lived himself next door to Jenika Jones. We know that
25 from several sources but we know it from Clinton

1 because when Clinton was talking to Fred Thomspon on
2 February 23rd, Reggie Stover is one of the guys he
3 says he loaned the coveralls to and he said Reggie
4 Stover lived with him at [REDACTED] Roseanna Lane and when
5 confront apparently with the information that the
6 victim lived next door to his grandmother he said I
7 didn't even know she lived next door to me. So he is
8 tying himself to that victim, to that victim's home,
9 to that trailer park on Roseanna Lane.

10 Blakeney is the driver when this event occurred.
11 He has got no idea about anything other than we are
12 going to get some money and I am going to drive
13 because I got the driver's license and Tate Clinton
14 borrowed a car for me to drive. He has got no clue in
15 the world where he is going until they are on the way
16 and how does he get to Roseanna Lane. He is directed
17 to Roseanna Lane by Tate Clinton. Tate is the one who
18 tells him to go to Roseanna trailer park and once they
19 get in to the trailer letter park on Roseanna Lane
20 Blakeney has got know idea where he is going, but Tate
21 tells him where to go and where to park to get that
22 Cadillac close enough to Jenika Jones's house for them
23 to get there in and out quick, but not right there in
24 front of it so maybe they won't be seen and Tate
25 Clinton tells Wayne Blakeney where to park that

1 Cadillac for them to get in and get out and get this
2 robbery gone bad to a murder done.

3 What about DNA from the scene. Again Maryann Boehm
4 tells you some information that is instructional and
5 helpful. Two swabs from -- SLED item number two were
6 swabs from the storm door handle at Jenika Jones
7 house. It was a mixture of at least three people. It
8 doesn't have anything about Tate on it. It doesn't
9 have anything about Green on it, but it does say that
10 Delrico McDow could not be excluded and the
11 probability was one in 27. That is a connection of
12 one of these four people to Jenika Jones's house.

13 Item number three from SLED was swabs from the
14 door lock -- doorknob, excuse me, and it was mixture
15 picture of at least two people and her conclusion
16 about that among other things was that Tate Clinton no
17 conclusion could be reached about Tate Clinton's
18 inclusion or exclusion from the DNA in that profile.
19 Credibility is something that you have to consider
20 when you determine whether you believe somebody and
21 you heard I think from two dozen witnesses in this
22 case. As to each one of them they testified and they
23 told you information, and you got to decide do I
24 believe it or do I not believe it and you can decide
25 do you believe some answers a witness gave and don't

1 believe some other answers a witness gave. That is
2 entirely up to you. But you do a lot of things to
3 determine the credibility of a witness. What was the
4 witness's opportunity to observe the things he or she
5 told you about. What is the witness's connection to
6 the case or any people involved in the case. What is
7 the witness -- how does the witness appear on the
8 witness stand. Does he look to you like he or she is
9 telling you the truth. Is he squirming around and
10 appearing to be evasive or is he straight up honest,
11 sincere sounding, believable. You got to determine
12 that as to each of these witnesses and you certainly
13 got to determine that as to Wayne Blakeney. And we
14 are going to talk now a little bit about Wayne
15 Blakeney.

16 Wayne Anthony Blakeney Jr. came in here and got on
17 this witness stand and told you what happened that
18 night. It took us way into Wednesday, Wednesday or
19 yesterday to get Wayne Blakeney on the witness stand
20 and you finally find out what happened to Jenika Jones
21 in a lot more detail than you knew at any point prior
22 to Wayne Blakeney getting on the witness stand. What
23 about Wayne Blakeney? Do you believe Wayne Blakeney?
24 I submit to you you should certainly believe Wayne
25 Blakeney. A couple of things about Wayne Blakeney.

1 What did Wayne Blakeney get out of this. Well, first
2 of all he got some beer for being the driver that
3 night. He expected to be paid to drive them out
4 there. He knew they were going to pick up money.
5 That's all he said he knew. He expected to be paid.
6 He got some beer out of it. What else did he get out
7 of it. Well, he got arrested and charged with the
8 murder of Jenika Jones, just like these two fellows
9 over here. He did get a bonds. No doubt about it.
10 We agreed to bond him out. He got arrested on March
11 8th of 2012. He gave a statement to the police and at
12 some point after that the State agreed to bond him
13 out. Beyond that what did he get, absolutely nothing.
14 He got on the witness stand charged with murder just
15 like Tate Clinton and Al Green are charged with and
16 incriminated himself by telling you what happened and
17 what his part of it was without any deal. Does he
18 expect some favorable consideration? Absolutely.
19 Absolutely, I am sure he expects favorable
20 consideration for giving a statement back on March 8th
21 and coming in here in this courtroom this week and
22 testifying about what he told the police when they
23 investigated this case. But does he have a deal, he
24 does not. Has he been promised some lesser charge, he
25 has not. Has he been promised some lesser sentence,

1 he has not. He put himself in great jeopardy getting
2 on the witness stand without a deal and told you what
3 happened the night of January 19th of 2012 and he told
4 you the truth. He didn't tell the police the truth
5 initially and he admitted that. He admitted he didn't
6 tell them everything at first and he admitted even
7 after the written statement was complete that he had
8 not told them about Delrico McDow, he also told you
9 that later on after he had given that written
10 statement he did tell them about Delrico McDow. He
11 told you that he was scared. That he didn't tell
12 everything at first because he was scared because he
13 is kin to Tate Clinton, because he didn't want to be a
14 rat and I submit to you from watching him on that
15 witness stand you see how messed up he got himself in
16 this situation and how it affected him and how he
17 would be reluctant initially not to be completely
18 forthcoming about everything that happened that night.
19 But you think about him and when he was on this
20 witness stand the other date, you think about what he
21 said, you think about how he said it, you think about
22 how he appeared and I submit to you you will conclude
23 that is truthful testimony, sincere testimony,
24 testimony about matters that he absolutely regrets,
25 but it's the truth and you decide whether you believe

1 him or not and I submit that you should. And what did
2 he tell you. He told you that he gets up with these;
3 Tate Clinton and Al Green and Delrico McDiw in the
4 Newtown area and they borrow a car from Pomp Blackmon.
5 And he tells you that Tate Clinton went to Pomp
6 himself and made arrangements to borrow the car and
7 Tate Clinton didn't have a driver's license and Wayne
8 Blakeney did and Wayne Blakeney would be the driver
9 for the crew for the night. He told you that he
10 borrowed it again from the light skinned fellow who
11 lived in Newtown who was Pomp Blackmon. He told they
12 ended up at the Hole in the Wall and that the
13 discussion was we need to go pick up some money and
14 they wanted Wayne Blakeney to drive him. So he agreed
15 to drive. He told you that they went out Roseanna --
16 went out 200 towards Roseanna Lane and that he drove
17 at the direction to that area by Tate Clinton and that
18 on the way out there he sees that Tate Clinton has a
19 pistol and he knows that Tate Clinton normally often
20 times is carrying go a gun. He told you that he
21 didn't anticipate anything at all like what really
22 happened would have happened. And certainly in
23 retrospect he probably wishes he had stopped that car
24 and got out and let somebody else dealt with it at
25 that time, but he didn't.

1 He told you they pulled in and Tate Clinton showed
2 him where to park the car and he stopped and stayed in
3 the car. And he told you that Tate Clinton and Al
4 Green and Delrico McDow got out of the car and
5 disappeared off to his left out of his sight and were
6 gone for about ten minutes. And after about ten
7 minutes he told you that the three of them hurried
8 back to the car. I can't recall how he described them
9 motivating back to the car, but they get back to the
10 car and they hopped in the car and Clinton is telling
11 Blakeney to chill. Why is he telling him to chill? I
12 submit to you that Clinton is telling Blakeney to
13 chill because maybe everybody is kind of excited over
14 what has just happened and he doesn't want Blakeney to
15 freak out. But somebody in that car tells Blakeney
16 go, go, go and Blakeney takes off in that car headed
17 out Roseanna back toward 200 and about runs over Miss
18 Montgomery and Miss Nelson getting out of there and
19 takes off back to the Hole in the Wall. And obviously
20 Wayne Blakeney has got it figured by that point
21 something has gone on out there that wasn't too good,
22 but he still doesn't know. He doesn't know until much
23 later in the night, almost morning time, what really
24 happened. Go back to the Hole in the Wall at some
25 point ends up back in the car with one or two other

1 people that he doesn't know and Blakeney is still
2 behind the wheel of that car and he starts letting
3 people out. He goes back to Newtown and everybody
4 gets out of the car but him and Tate Clinton. Tate
5 Clinton is suppose to be back out at Roseanna where he
6 lives and that's the plan. Is for Tate Clinton to get
7 taken back out to Roseanna. And at some point while
8 they are riding back out to Roseanna Tate Clinton says
9 to Wayne Blakeney, can you keep a secret? And
10 Blakeney says yes, and Tate Clinton says I killed the
11 bitch. And you saw when Wayne Blakeney was on the
12 witness stand as he described those events what effect
13 that had on him when it happened and when he told you
14 about it. Tate Clinton told Wayne Blakeney I killed
15 the bitch. Blakeney says I didn't know what to think.
16 I was in shock. I didn't really believe him. He
17 takes Blakeney -- Clinton back out the Roseanna.

18 Now, you are probably going to hear this so I will
19 address it now. You will probably hear it when these
20 two get up in a minute. Why in the world would the
21 Cadillac go back out to Roseanna and return to the
22 scene of the crime because it was after everything had
23 cleared up on Roseanna. Lieutenant Christi Rogers of
24 the sheriff's office said we cleared from Jenika
25 Jones's house at 5:29 in the morning on January 20th

1 of 2012. Wayne Blakeney said I am taking Tate Clinton
2 back out there between five and six and obviously when
3 he wheels in out there there aren't any police, EMS,
4 anybody out there anymore. That is completely
5 consistent time wise based on what Christi Rogers said
6 the time was when law enforcement cleared up out
7 there.

8 Tate Clinton gets dropped off. Wayne Blakeney --
9 Wayne Blakeney, you know, he's a mess. Tate Clinton
10 gets dropped off and Clinton says hold this pistol for
11 me and that was stupid of Wayne Blakeney but Tate
12 Clinton puts the pistol in the glove box and Wayne
13 Blakeney assumes possession and control of the pistol
14 at that time. And Wayne Blakeney is in a heck of a
15 mess at this point because number 1, he's participated
16 in what he has been told is a killing. He is in
17 possession of the car that was used in the killing and
18 I forgot something. State's Exhibit Number 23. Fred
19 Thompsen found that I think on January 23rd about four
20 days after the killing and this arrow is not intended
21 to be put there because that is where he found it, but
22 he found it right here coming out Roseanna Lane and it
23 came off that Cadillac and it came off that Cadillac
24 because if you see in the picture the Cadillac going
25 fast and flying that piece of molding fell off it and

1 that piece of molding fell off it because this is
2 where it was found, State's Exhibit Number 25, and
3 just before where it was found is a speed bump. The
4 car is flying out of there. A piece of crappy molding
5 barely holding on Pomp Blackmon's car pops off when
6 Wayne Blakeney hits that speed bump. Get back to my
7 point. Wayne Blakeney is a mess. He has got the
8 Cadillac that was used in the crime. He has now got
9 the gun that was used in the crime. He ditches the
10 Cadillac at the Piggly Wiggly and its got a flat tire.
11 He takes the gun and he starts walking and he gets rid
12 of the gun with his cousin and the sheriff did what
13 they could do to find the gun and they didn't find the
14 gun. That's the story. That's the best story you got
15 about the events which is supported by other
16 witnesses, other evidence and other testimony.

17 Some time about ten o'clock, three people rushed
18 into Jenika Jones's house. One of them had a gun.
19 Tate Clinton is the last person that had the gun when
20 they got out of the car before this murder occurred.
21 But somebody has got a gun and we don't know exactly
22 what happened inside that house, but my argument to
23 you is this, probably Tate Clinton based on what he
24 told Wayne Blakeney. Pulls that gun up and marches
25 Jenika Jones on to that couch somehow. We don't know

1 know if she was shot standing, sitting, lying down or
2 what. We do know is Tate Clinton put that gun muzzle
3 against the side of her head and pulled the trigger
4 and shot a bullet up in to her brain and lacerated her
5 brain and killed her and that's murder. That is
6 murder against Tate Clinton and it's murder against Al
7 Green because they are all there together to get what
8 they can get and the result of all that is Jenika
9 Jones got shot and killed in her own house.

10 Thank you for your time. Thank you for your
11 attention. Give them the same attention you gave me.
12 We all got one thing, which is probably the most
13 important thing any of us and any of you can possess
14 in this case and it is common sense. You consider it
15 all. You consider what I said. You consider what all
16 the evidence that was presented. You consider what
17 they are going to say and when you start boiling it
18 down back there in a little bit later on today use
19 your common sense and your common sense and the law
20 and the facts in this case will tell you that Tate
21 Clinton and Al Green are guilty of the murder of
22 Jenika Jones and I ask you to return a verdict of
23 guilty as to both of them,.

24 Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much

1 solicitor.

2 All right, Mr. Frick.

3 MR. FRICK: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 I got to start off talking about something that
5 probably at some seminar I went to a long time ago
6 they said never talk about. I have got to talk about
7 the victim in this case.

8 Ladies and gentleman, there is no escaping a
9 tragedy occurred on January 19th, 2012 on Roseanna
10 Lane. You and I heard not one single reason why
11 Jenika Jones put herself at fault in this situation.
12 She's not associating with people, you have not heard
13 any reason. She was a mother and this incident
14 occurred in her home with her children there. It is a
15 clear tragedy.

16 Now, this is not about retribution for that
17 terrible tragedy and I will be the first to tell you
18 it is a tragedy. This is not about that, this is
19 about determining whether or not the State has proven
20 who caused that tragedy.

21 So as I told you on Monday when we began you come
22 in this room you got to put personnel feelings aside.
23 You got to put all that away. You got to even forget
24 about the fact of who is the victim of this. It is
25 not to be considered once you get in that jury room.

1 And that's a difficult thing for a person to do. It
2 is a difficult thing. Probably one of the most
3 difficult things you will face, but it is your job as
4 you sit in that box right there. I will briefly tell
5 you a story about that.

6 I am from Fairfield. That is my home. My home
7 Court, Fairfield. Hanging above the wall in that
8 Courthouse is a painting of a sheriff who in 1915 was
9 escorting a prisoner. Even more that he that it was a
10 black prisoner. Now I am not saying that to bring
11 race into anything. It is an important part of the
12 story. This sheriff was escorting this prisoner who
13 was accused of assaulting the wife of a prominent
14 person in Fairfield. His duty was to make sure that
15 that person, that prisoner, made it to court for his
16 day in court. That's his job. That is what he swore
17 to do.

18 The morning that he is taking the prisoner to the
19 courthouse -- Fairfield we still got our old Robert
20 Mills Courthouse with the flying staircase. So it has
21 got two staircases, iron staircases that come from the
22 ground and go to a portico that go into the courtroom.
23 The Court room is up on the second floor. As the
24 sheriff and his deputies are walking up there is a
25 crowd of folks on the portico. This is the family of

1 the victim. They are armed. The sheriff who is an
2 elected official standing there with an unpopular
3 person could have gone with what anybody I believe gut
4 reaction would have been, you know what, you are on
5 your own. He did not. He and his deputies walked up
6 the staircase through the gun fire. He was wounded,
7 the prisoner was wounded, his deputies were wounded.
8 He got to the courtroom. He suffered. He succumbed
9 to his injuries. The deputy succumbed to his
10 injuries. One of the deputies survived. But ladies
11 and gentleman he upheld his oath to do his duty
12 regardless of his personnel feelings and no doubt, no
13 doubt his personnel feelings were not to walk up that
14 staircase.

15 Now no one is certainly asking you and no one is
16 saying that you have to put your life on the line in
17 this case, but you swore a duty to listen to the case.
18 To weigh the facts. To put personnel feelings aside.
19 That is why I tell you that story. Remember your oath
20 because it is difficult to get past what happened.
21 But you have too and I know you will. You took the
22 oath and you will up hold it. That being said, you
23 know I am here on behalf of Mr. Clinton and I am going
24 to speak to him right now about his situation in this
25 case.

1 We know that the phone call or something came in.
2 Law enforcement gets a call that there has been some
3 event. I think his phrase it was a home invasion on
4 Roseanna Lane here in Lancaster just out south 200.
5 Four lane goes to a two lane. A little road that goes
6 back off right there. We get to the scene. The door
7 is open. It doesn't appear to have been kicked in and
8 on the couch is a body. Nobody is on the scene. All
9 we know is that three folks, three, three, ladies and
10 gentleman have run from this place. That is the
11 information that they got. There is no information
12 about a Cadillac. At this time there is no
13 information about anything else. Just three people
14 running from the scene.

15 Let's talk a little bit about the scene and what is
16 at the scene and what is not at the scene. All right.
17 You heard talk about the Cadillac having mud and all
18 this stuff. All right. You got photos of the scene.
19 Look for some read mud. Now, I submit to you that
20 would make a whole lot more difference if we were a
21 little bit east of here were they don't have red clay,
22 but on this side that car could have been driven
23 around Lancaster, Chester or Fairfield easily picked
24 up some mud. But look at your pictures. State's
25 number 25 gives you a pretty good view of the road and

1 what we are looking at here. See where this red mud
2 is. In fact, I think it was Ken Taylor who told you
3 it was a gravel driveway. It wasn't a dirt driveway.
4 It was gravel driveway. That being said we got no
5 tire tracks, we got no footprints. We attempted some
6 fingerprints. I will give them that. They did
7 attempt. They were trying to get what they could get.
8 Obviously somebody is going to touch door handles, a
9 storm door. It's January. You heard testimony it was
10 cold, so this is not one of the nights where you got
11 the storm door open and the front door open taking in
12 the evening breeze. It was cold, so both doors are
13 probably shut. Stands to reason somebody is going to
14 touch that door handle, storm door handle, generally
15 you got to depress it so you can open it up. They
16 looked there. They don't have any fingerprints. We
17 do some touch DNA. Look at the door itself. Somebody
18 must have touched it. Got to open up the door. We
19 look, can't find any fingerprints but we do have some
20 DNA. Go in to the house. It is clear that everything
21 occurred in one location on the couch. All the
22 evidence seems to be that everything occurred right at
23 the couch. All of the blood, all of the bullets,
24 shell casings, what not, are right there. Then we
25 look around. Nothing else is really out of place in

1 the house accept for the master bedroom or what has
2 been call the master bedroom and there are several
3 pictures of it, but I will hold up State's number 10.
4 Clearly, clearly someone has rifled through this room.
5 I doubt very seriously that Miss Jones left the
6 drawers hanging out like this with stuff all over the
7 floor. In fact there is one drawer just laying in the
8 middle of the floor and a chest of drawers right here
9 tipped over. Now someone had to touch these things,
10 had to open the door, the drawer to the chest. We
11 didn't even attempt to get DNA there. That's what you
12 heard. We didn't swab anything in there. We tried to
13 get some fingerprints, but were unable to get any
14 lifts off of that. But no attempt to try to find
15 something in the bedroom where it is clear whoever
16 went in that house went looking for something. There
17 is no indication anything is missing. So kind of
18 makes you wonder the State doesn't have to prove
19 motive.

20 Judge may charge you on that. But wouldn't it be
21 nice to know a reason. You have no idea. It appears
22 it is attempted robbery, but other than a room that is
23 out of whack you got nothing to indicates. No one
24 says anything is missing. Well then on the scene this
25 is a neighborhood. You can see from this picture

1 right here in State's 25. They are not right on top
2 of each other but these mobile homes are fairly close
3 together. Short of somebody getting a knock on the
4 door, no one hears or sees anything. You got cars
5 flying out of there. You got gunshots. No one hears
6 or sees anything. It is about 10 o'clock at night. I
7 am sure some people had gone to bed but somebody is
8 probably awake. So you got no witnesses on the scene.
9 You know no more right now about what happened in that
10 trailer then you did Monday morning when the case was
11 called. You have no more information. No more
12 information. Even with everything Mr. Blakeney has
13 got to say. He couldn't tell you what happened in the
14 trailer because in his story he just sitting in the
15 car.

16 Now, getting to the car. What do we have what
17 don't we have from the car. Solicitor is right, you
18 will hear about these cigarette butts because they are
19 in the car. You got six of them. Never sent to be
20 tested. Now the explanation is, the explanation you
21 here from sheriff's department is SLED's policy is we
22 can only submit five items. Okay, that's fine. Look
23 at the reports you got. You got three DNA reports
24 from SLED and it shows what got sent. All right, 380
25 shell casing, jumpsuit, swabs from the storm door,

1 swabs from the front door, seat belt, fabric from the
2 passenger seat, fabric from the driver's seat, swabs
3 from the rear seat, another swab. One, two, three,
4 four, five, six, seven, eight. That didn't include
5 the swabs that they took from the people to compare it
6 to. There were eight items sent to SLED on this case.
7 So right now you already know if it is five, that is
8 not a hard and fast rule. And what does SLED do?
9 What does SLED do? Item number six is the jumpsuit.
10 We will talk about it in more detail in the second.
11 The jumpsuit, what does SLED do? They make it 14
12 different items. They cut tell 14 different pieces
13 off of it. So clearly SLED is not too worried about
14 this five item policy. That is not the only one they
15 do it with. Seat belt they make it two different
16 items. So don't stand up here and say oh, we can only
17 send five things. We don't need to worry about the
18 cigarette butts. And no one makes a phone call and
19 says, hey, you know this ain't a stolen law mower. I
20 got a murder. I am trying to figure out who was in
21 this car because the car was at the scene and somebody
22 was smoking cigarettes. Don't send it. This is stuff
23 that is collected a few days after the event. The
24 cigarette butts, the swabs from the steering wheel,
25 from the gear shifter, I mean, as SLED tells you when

1 you touch things it doesn't necessarily leave DNA on
2 it but if you are driving the car probably going to
3 find something on the steering wheel. If you got the
4 gear shifter wouldn't you think you would find
5 something on it. Well, somebody thought enough to
6 swab these things. Somebody thought enough to pick
7 them out of that ashtray. But they never make it
8 there. So you don't have that evidence to tell you
9 what was going on. Maybe it can, maybe it can't, but
10 right now you don't know. We're never going to know,
11 but that could have told you something. The mud on
12 the car, I don't know.

13 Mr. Barfield showed Mr. Blackmon this picture
14 (indicating) he says he didn't remember that. After a
15 while he realizes oh, yeah, yeah, yeah. That's right.
16 That's right, that molding was missing. So, whether
17 he kept his car clean, whether there was mud on it or
18 not, I tell you that is really not of very much
19 consequence, particularly given the fact that no one
20 can tell you there's muddy tracks leaving Roseanna or
21 any other place that they can tie back to this car.
22 So the fact that the car has mud on it and by the way
23 other than testimony you got nothing to substantiate
24 that.

25 How about this, we got a murder. We are trying to

1 figure out who does it. We come to Mr. Blackmon's
2 house. We look all through his car. We are at this
3 time looking through the ashtray, we are taking swabs
4 of the steering wheel, we are dusting for prints, we
5 are trying to get fingerprints, DNA, whatever it is we
6 can get. We believe this car was used in this murder
7 and the people who went there and did this thing went
8 to the scene and left with this vehicle. And then
9 they leave the car with Pomp Blackmon who then sells
10 it. Once we get wind of this being sold we get it
11 back. No one knows how we get it back but we get it
12 back and then in March -- March, all right, March we
13 decide maybe we need to go check for blood and that's
14 when we get the seat belt and that's when we get the
15 car seat. And that stuff gets sent on to SLED;
16 cigarette butts, steering wheel swabs still sitting in
17 Lancaster.

18 Now, let's suppose that there is some game of
19 chance where you can go to some convenience store and
20 wager two dollars for a chance to win several million
21 dollars, and your odds on that -- I don't know, one in
22 couple hundred million of winning. You might take
23 that bet. How does that relate to this DNA? Well,
24 you do have DNA and it does tell you something. It
25 tells you a whole lot and the first thing I want you

1 to look at is item number 1. This is the one and only
2 shell casing. The bullet is from a 380 gun. There is
3 one 380 casing in this house. It is in fact right
4 here on the couch over here. That is where it is
5 found behind the couch. Not in blood. Right here.
6 (Indicating). The only DNA on that shell casing is
7 Jenika Jones and not by a little bit. One in 8.9
8 quadrillion. Quadrillion. That is like 15 zeroes
9 past the nine. One in eight quadrillion. If you
10 trying to bet that you will find somebody else to
11 match that you ain't going to put two bucks on that.
12 One in eight quadrillion, that is Jenika Jones's DNA.
13 Not blood. SLED said they didn't find any blood on
14 the shell casing. Her DNA. How is her DNA the only
15 DNA on this bullet. Not the shooter. Not the man who
16 comes in here with the gun who presumably would have
17 loaded the gun, bullet by bullet and fired it. His
18 DNA is not on it at all, nor is Mr. Green's. Nor is
19 anybody else associated with the case. It is only
20 Miss Jones. Why? I don't have the first clue ladies
21 and gentleman, but that is the first part of looking
22 at reasonable doubt in this case. Why? If we think
23 this is the man who did it why is her DNA the only DNA
24 and by a lot. About as certain as you can get that's
25 her DNA.

1 Item number three, this is a storm door handle.
2 Remember it's January. Store door is closed, the
3 front door is probably closed. Somebody has got to
4 open the door. You know what the SLED report tells
5 you on that, it can not be Devatee Clinton. Can not.
6 Excluded. No way. Could be some of these other
7 people maybe, maybe not. I don't know. But it is not
8 Devatee Clinton.

9 Next item you got there, number three, the front
10 door, front door handle, and what it says on that is
11 well, I can't say whether it is or isn't Devatee
12 Clinton. Can't say. Not going to rule him in, not
13 going to rule him out. Just can not tell and because
14 we can not tell there are no odds on that. You
15 certainly not going to put two dollars down on a bet
16 like that.

17 All right, 6.1, remember now this jumpsuit -- I am
18 not going to drag it out quite yet. I will do that in
19 a minute. This jump suit which was SLED's 6.1, is a
20 cutting from this jump suit. This a jumpsuit that we
21 know is Devantee Clinton. We have no question that
22 this is it. We had somebody get up there and testify
23 that's the jumpsuit. This is his jumpsuit, right?
24 Well, maybe. All right, 6.1, that is not his DNA.
25 Can be excluded. Not his on his own jumpsuit. It's

1 somebody's because they say it is somebody's. You got
2 this report. You will be able to read it back there.
3 Six point two another cutting from this jumpsuit.
4 Profile developed from 6.2 was an identified male
5 individual. It ain't anybody's in this case that you
6 heard about. It's somebody entirely different. On
7 that blue jumpsuit that we are so sure is Devantee
8 Clinton's. Six point three, maybe, maybe not. It is
9 a mixture. Could be his DNA, but the odds of finding
10 somebody that matches that DNA profile well, it is one
11 in 580. All right, let's assume there is at the time
12 of this event about 76,000 people in Lancaster County
13 alone. That is 130 other people could be any of them,
14 that is bet you put money on it as to find someone who
15 matched that. Six point four, ain't it ironic one in
16 12. One in 12 chance. That could be any number of
17 people in this courtroom whose profile potentially
18 matches what they find on six point four. And then
19 they got other stuff. Remember it is 14 cuttings.
20 They find what they determine to be human blood on a
21 few of these. On other ones they find stuff that is
22 probably blood but it is not human blood.

23 Now, if you recall I asked and you probably thought
24 it was an odd question, could it chicken blood. SLED
25 agent said, yeah, it could be chicken blood. Do you

1 remember what else Pomp Blackmon gave them, an ID from
2 Tyson. It is up the road in Monroe. The chicken
3 plant in Monroe. This (indicating) just happens to be
4 with this. That's not important. The forensics
5 aren't important. The physical evidence is not
6 important in this case. You look at the witnesses is
7 what the solicitor says. We will get to them in just
8 a second. Twelve point two I believe is the seat belt
9 and what it tells you is it ain't anybody that you
10 heard about here. Got no idea who that person is.
11 So, somebody else is here. Somebody else is here
12 whose DNA has not been submit. The DNA tells you a
13 lot and what it tells you is that State can not tell
14 you that it was Devatee Clinton. Can not. That is
15 what that DNA tells you.

16 Witnesses, oh yeah, we got this great conversation.
17 We are over at Miss Tinsley's house. Apparently folks
18 are over there a couple different times. We're
19 hanging out eating breakfast, which is fine. Miss
20 Tinsley tells you she hears no conversation about a
21 robbery. Nothing. Miss Davis tells you, oh yeah, oh
22 they were sitting there, and Tate was sitting there
23 talking to Al and he said hey, let's go do this lick.
24 Can't tell you where. Can't tell you when. Can't
25 tell you who they talked about. They're just sitting

1 around before breakfast talking about doing a robbery.
2 Except she says that people are there that say -- they
3 get up later and say they weren't even there. So, I
4 don't know. You get to determine whether you are
5 going to believe it or not. But you take a look at
6 what they said and what they didn't say and who they
7 said wasn't there and that's how you weigh whether
8 they are telling you the truth or not.

9 Jamal Twitty says, I wasn't there. I was there for
10 a couple of minutes. I wasn't there for no
11 conversation about breakfast time, but we were hanging
12 out between the two apartments about ten o'clock that
13 night. Apparently Mr. Clinton is interviewing folks
14 for this robbery because everybody who walks up he is
15 asking do you want to hit a lick. That's what Miss
16 Twitty says. He is standing there and Mr. Clinton is
17 there and he wants to go hit a lick. He is telling
18 everybody he has got a gun and so when Mr. Twitty
19 walks up he said hey man, do you want to go with me
20 and Mr. Twitty in fact is the one that talked him out
21 of doing it that evening. That was his testimony.

22 Mr. Green walks up and he decides he needs to
23 talk to Mr. Green about it. Hey, let's interview him.
24 That's the conversation. Now even if you want to
25 believe that this conversation occurred, you find that

1 credible, you still have no idea what the heck they
2 are talking about because no one can say oh, this is
3 where they were talking about going. This is who they
4 were talking about robbing. When? No idea, except
5 Mr. Twitty is saying I talked him out of doing it that
6 night.

7 All right, now back to the car. We got Mr.
8 Blackmon. He is loaning his car out. He says he has
9 got two folks, two black guys come up and he loans the
10 car and he gives the keys to the one he thought had a
11 driver's license. As the car drive's off two more
12 guys get in the car. Now we got four people in the
13 car. All right. Then you got Miss Montgomery and
14 Miss Nelson all they were trying to do was get down
15 Roseanna Lane to go visit someone and a car comes
16 flying out. A car with its lights off. Kind of
17 boxy-looking car. Not entirely sure it was Cadillac
18 or Oldsmobile. That was her testimony and it is
19 flying out. Can't see who is in it. No idea.
20 Couldn't tell you whether it black people, white
21 people, purple people or orange people. Couldn't tell
22 you anything except about this car. There are no
23 street lights up and down Roseanna. You will see that
24 in the picture. All we know is that a car was there.
25 Okay. But we don't know who is in there. But here's

1 the issue and why I am bringing this up lady and
2 gentleman, I can't recall which one, but one of them
3 gets up there and says I saw that car the next day in
4 front of Piggly Wiggly and I ask how do you know it's
5 that car because that's the car I saw. How are you
6 sure? Because its was white Cadillac. And her
7 testimony was that is only white Cadillac she'd ever
8 see. Okay, ladies and gentleman that is for you to
9 decide whether you want to believe it or not, but I
10 submit it is not credible evidence.

11 Let's talk now about this jumpsuit. All right, we
12 talked about the DNA, we have talked about the several
13 different cuttings and how they can not if this is the
14 jumpsuit that he is wearing they can't put his DNA on
15 it. Can't find it on it. All you got is, well, it
16 might be his. One in 580 it could be somebody else's.
17 One in 12 it could be somebody else's. So what the
18 State has to rely on is Miss Stradford. Now, Miss
19 Stradford told you that Miss Jones was a friend of
20 hers. In fact she said at one point was a home girl.
21 That is a friend. That's a close friend. That is a
22 good friend. Maybe you don't hang out every day but
23 you see each other regularly and she just heard that
24 her home girl had been killed. She is understandably
25 upset about that situation. And then she says she

1 sees Mr. Clinton over at the Crenco on South Main and
2 she tells him about this and he is not upset at all.
3 He just wants a cigarette. But what the State puts
4 her up to do is to go Miss Stradford have you ever
5 seen this jumpsuit and I swear as soon as Mr. Barfield
6 got about right here (indicating), yeah, that is the
7 one Tate was wearing that night. Now she said she
8 seen him. She knows him. He wears a blue jumpsuit or
9 camo a jumpsuit regularly. That's his normal
10 clothing. Folks one, she is going in the store after
11 her good friend had been murdered. I's sure she
12 wasn't paying a whole lot of attention necessarily as
13 to what Devatee Clinton was wearing that night. But
14 she didn't even look at it. She makes no observation.
15 As soon as it's pulled out of the box, two years
16 removed from the incident from the night not a doubt
17 in her mind, that is his jumpsuit. Ladies and
18 gentleman that is not at all credible. That is not at
19 all credible. It could have been any jumpsuit. It
20 just happens to be one in the courtroom right now.
21 That's why she says it is his.

22 Now, lets talk about Mr. Blakeney. Mr. Blakeney is
23 important and the solicitor just stood up here and
24 told you that is the best evidence they got in this
25 case. And I agree that is probably the best evidence

1 they got in this case. Let's talk about that evidence
2 because what they don't have the forensics, but what
3 they got to do is build this case and they are trying
4 to build in this situation is like an arch. Mr.
5 Blakeney is going to be there keystone to whole this
6 arch together. Well, lets talk about their keystone.
7 Here is Mr. Blakeney, gives absolutely inconsistent
8 statements. Tells law enforcement the day he gets
9 arrested, talks as soon as he gets arrested, and says
10 it was two people. Two people were in the car. In
11 his statement the cops catch him in a lie and he
12 starts correcting it by the end of the statement
13 before he leaves that day. He has already correcting
14 errors. What didn't he correct? Well, he said it was
15 two people at the time. It's later. Later once we
16 heard a little bit more about it and once we had a
17 little bit of time to think about it there were three
18 people in that car. I just sat in the car. No, there
19 was other people, Delrico. Delrico was there. That's
20 right. Why didn't you say -- why didn't you say that
21 before? Well, I couldn't remember his name. That's a
22 reason not to put a person there. You can not
23 remember there name so you just leave them out. Well,
24 I was scared. Okay. I mean, why is it any better now
25 then it was then, if you were scared then why aren't

1 you scared now. This is where you get to look at his
2 credibility, ladies and gentleman. When you lie about
3 things there is no reason to lie about. That's how
4 you determine credibility. He gets up on this stand,
5 sits up here and swears that after all this stuff
6 happened, as he is driving Devatee Clinton back home
7 over to Roseanna drive, that Clinton was not driving
8 the car, that he was driving the car. That Blakeney
9 was driving the car. Yet, when confronted because it
10 clearly said in his statement, Clinton was driving the
11 car at that point. Why do you lie about that?
12 Because you are lying about everything else that's
13 why.

14 Mr. Blakeney would also have you believe -- and by
15 the way, as I talk about his statement I am not saying
16 that you should find him at all credible. What I am
17 trying to explain to you is why you should not find
18 his statement credible at all. These are the issues.
19 He said Devantee Clinton didn't tell him anything.
20 Devantee Clinton who stands around interviewing
21 potential co-workers for this robbery doesn't tell the
22 dude who is driving them around a thing, except I need
23 to go get some money. So they hop in the car and they
24 drive down 200, pass the ATM over there in front of
25 the Food Lion at Airport Crossroads and says oh, no,

1 no, no, go down to this trailer park. And he says I
2 saw Devantee had a gun. I knew he had a gun. Man
3 with a gun gets in your car and says I want to go to
4 get some money. It's about ten something at night.
5 Says turn into this trailer park, go to this trailer
6 and you don't ask one question. Not a thought crosses
7 your mind. Man hops out of your car and other people
8 hop out of your car with a gun go to a trailer and you
9 sit there and wait for him. Okay. Doesn't question
10 anything on the scene. When they come back and get
11 into the car still doesn't have any questions and then
12 we got inconsistencies of what is even said inside of
13 the car. He said Devatee gets in the car and says
14 chill. Chill.

15 Now, I don't know maybe it is because I'm not from
16 Lancaster, but in Winnsboro chill means stay calm.
17 How are you suppose to chill and go, go, go at the
18 same time? But that's what he says these folks say
19 when he gets in the car and he takes off. Again, this
20 is something that you don't need to lie about. Just
21 tell what happened. Why do you lie about things you
22 don't have to lie about because everything you say is
23 a lie. That is why.

24 So, they head back over to the Hole in the Wall.
25 Now you saw Mr. Blakeney up here yesterday. He gets

1 up on the stand, he tells how terrible this whole
2 incident was. He even cries on the stand in
3 demonstration of how shook up this whole thing made
4 him. He was devastated, horrified. He was so upset
5 by this situation on that night that he drove back to
6 the bar and hung out with them for a couple more
7 hours. And after they hang out there, everybody is
8 ready to go home so he starts driving around, dropping
9 people off. They go to Newtown dropping people off.
10 Drop Mr. Green off. Maybe some other people off. Mr.
11 Clinton is still in the car with him.

12 Let's talk about this for a second. Okay. He says
13 he is hanging out at the Hole in the Wall with
14 Devantee Clinton until late in the night. All right.
15 They don't get back over to Roseanna until between
16 five and six in the morning. So they had to have been
17 there for a long time. Had to be hanging out together
18 for a long time. Well, Vivian Stradford said she saw
19 Devatee Clinton over at Crenco somewhere around 11
20 o'clock to midnight. Well, I mean, is he in two
21 places at once. See this is why I say she was in no
22 position to be observing. She doesn't remember what
23 she saw. She was not observing that. She was worried
24 about what had happened to her friend. So it is
25 either Mr. Blakeney is correct or Miss Stradford is

1 correct or none of them are correct, because you can't
2 believe all of that together. It doesn't make sense.
3 Who is he hanging out with, or is he even there.

4 All right. So this terrible thing has occurred. I
5 am sitting outside while these people run in. They
6 come running back out. One of them tells me to chill.
7 One says go. I take out driving. They end up at a
8 bar. And apparently nobody talks about anything at
9 the bar. I mean, they are hanging out for a couple
10 hours and no one says anything. Word is getting
11 around town because people are making phone calls.
12 You hear Miss Stradford say she heard about it. She's
13 down at the gas staying. No one is saying a thing
14 while they're hanging out at the Hole in the Wall and
15 let's all drive home. We will drive home. All right.
16 Mr. Blakeney drives off. Drops everybody off.
17 Clinton just sitting in the car with him. One story
18 driving. One story not driving. But he's in the car
19 with him. At some point he says, hey, can you keep a
20 secret? Mr. Clinton who up to this point hadn't been
21 worried about keeping anything secret according to any
22 of the State's witnesses, all of a sudden wants to
23 keep a secret. And his secret is, I killed that
24 bitch. Mr. Blakeney, who is so upset that he got up
25 on that stand and cried for you yesterday, continued

1 driving. Didn't ask any questions. Now, certainly
2 that would be shocking. Someone just told you that,
3 that would be shocking. He still doesn't ask any
4 questions.

5 Now, how much concern does he really have. Well,
6 one, he is driving Mr. Clinton right back to the very
7 place where he has reason to believe he sat up there
8 and told you he had this funny feeling. He thought
9 something wasn't going right. Something was bad.
10 Tate got out with a gun. Went in there. Came out.
11 They are driving back to the same place and he doesn't
12 have one bit of hesitation. Just pulled right in
13 drops Tate off. No problem. Then he says, Clinton
14 looks at him and says hold this gun. Still Mr.
15 Blakeney tells you he doesn't do anything. Well, so
16 what does he do? What does he do then? He drives
17 home. Cars is about out of gas, is what he said. The
18 car is about out of gas. Parks over at the Piggly
19 Wiggly just up the road from Roseanna and then kind of
20 reminds me of a no old move I remember. When they get
21 out the car the guy looks at one fella and says take
22 the cannoli leave the gun, except this time it's take
23 the gun, leave the car. This guy has now been told
24 that his suspicions are correct. He drives Clinton
25 over there and these other people over there and

1 something bad did happen because he said he did
2 something bad. He's now got the gun in his
3 possession. He's now worried about this situation so
4 what does he do? He puts the gun in his pocket and
5 goes walking down the road. Couple days later, he
6 says, within a day or two he gets information about
7 what happened. He now knows who got killed. He now
8 believes Devatee Clinton is the one who killed Jenika
9 Jones because he has got all these factors in his
10 head. And it has been pieced together and now knows
11 what happened over at Roseanna and he is upset. He is
12 concerned. He is worried. Someone he knew got
13 killed. He comes up here two years later on the stand
14 visibly upset about it and when he is in the moment,
15 he is so upset, so distraught, that he sells the gun
16 for some money to his cousin, or some relative.
17 Nothing about his story makes sense except for one
18 thing. One thing. He sits up there with the same
19 exact charge and he tells you I want to be a guidance
20 counselor. I'm going to school. I want to be a
21 guidance counselor. He tells you the punishment for
22 murder and I ask him, so you don't expect to spend the
23 next thirty years in prison, do you? Nope, he
24 doesn't. You know why? Because he expects to get a
25 deal. He's in trouble. He is up to it further then

1 he wants to admit. The best thing he can do is throw
2 Devatee Clinton under the bus and help himself out.
3 So, does he have deal, does he not have a deal? Well,
4 that is part of your consideration when you want to
5 think about, whether you want to believe a single word
6 that came out of that man's mouth. Did he get some
7 consideration? Yeah, he did not have to stand in this
8 courtroom in front of a judge and beg for a bond. The
9 State gave him one. Gave him one. And then they
10 stand up here and say oh, we didn't promise him
11 anything. We are not going to say that we are or
12 aren't going to help him. He then goes on and says I
13 expect to be treated fairly. There's a motivations,
14 ladies and gentleman. There's your motivation.
15 Blakeney is in trouble and he needs to sacrifice
16 somebody. Good old Tate sitting there. Throw him
17 under the bus.

18 He is the keystone, ladies and gentleman. Without
19 Mr. Blakeney the State has nothing else. It all
20 crumbles. They have no case. Mr. Blakeney is not at
21 credible. Can not believe anything he told you except
22 for the fact that he expects to be treated fairly.
23 That's what you can expect from him.

24 So, to sum up -- I am almost done, I promise --
25 the State has got to prove to you beyond a reasonable

1 doubt that the Devantee Clinton committed murder.
2 That he went over to Jenika Jones's house and killed
3 her and they got to do that with competent evidence.
4 What is competent evidence? Well, my estimation of
5 competent evidence is credible evidence. Believable
6 evidence. Not, could be his DNA; maybe, probably, I
7 don't know. Well, it could be one of 130 other people
8 in Lancaster County, or every 12th person in Lancaster
9 County or wherever.

10 Competent evidence is not a man who gets up on the
11 stand and throws someone under bus to save his own
12 hide. That is not competent evidence. They have to
13 have competent evidence before you can find Mr.
14 Clinton guilty.

15 Reasonable doubt. Reasonable doubt, I mean, I will
16 tell you what I think it's not. It is not I've been
17 sitting here all week. I have been looking at Mr.
18 Clinton. I don't know, he kind of looks like he might
19 carry around a gun and go shoot people. It's not
20 maybe he did it. It's not I think. It has got to be
21 there is no other reasonable explanation and there is
22 plenty other reasonable explanation in this case as to
23 what happened besides it being Devatee Clinton. They
24 have got to show you by the high burden of beyond a
25 reasonable doubt. Not more likely. Not I think. Not

1 possibly.

2 If you go back there and you looked at everything
3 that you got and you believe that there is a real
4 possibility that Devatee Clinton didn't do it, a real
5 possibility that he didn't do it, then your job is to
6 find him not guilty.

7 Now the State I told you Monday, that they stand up
8 here and say, we don't really know who did it, but it
9 doesn't really matter and that's what they continue to
10 tell you. The solicitor is going to tell you oh yeah,
11 it is Devatee Clinton. Not one shred of evidence can
12 support that conclusion. The only evidence they got
13 is well, we think it is one of them two and if you
14 think one of them did it then both of them did it. So
15 they are relying on a principal called accomplice
16 liability. Okay. So, if you that point, if you reach
17 the point that you think these two gentleman were
18 involved then you are going to have have look at
19 accomplice liability. That means the State is telling
20 you we don't know who did it. It doesn't matter who
21 did it, but they both participated. Well, you got to
22 participate. You got to be there. You got to do
23 something with it. What it is not -- what accomplice
24 liability is not, is simply being there. If you
25 believe -- if you believe Devatee Clinton is standing

1 around in conversations about a robbery, if you
2 believe he's in a car where somebody commits a
3 robbery, just because he's in that car -- and by the
4 way, I am not in any way suggesting that you should
5 even reach that point because I don't think there is
6 one shred of evidence that supports that. But I got
7 to argue what you might go to and this is a
8 possibility that you might go to giving the evidence
9 that has been presented to you. So if you reach that
10 point -- which I don't think you will -- they got to
11 prove to you that he was more than merely there or
12 mere knowledge. They got to prove of participation.

13 Ladies and gentleman, once you go back there and
14 look at it, you are going to find that Devatee Clinton
15 was not there. Did not participate in any
16 conversations. Was thrown under the bus by Wayne
17 Blakeney because he was an easy target and Devantee
18 Clinton is not guilty. I want to thank you for your
19 time and your attention and your service.

20 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much Mr.
21 Frick.

22 Miss Raney.

23 MS. RANEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 I know this has been a long week and a long day.
25 Hang in with me a little bit longer because this case

1 is important to Jenika Jones's family who have been
2 here all week and lost a daughter way too soon. But
3 it is also important to the young, Mr. Green, my
4 client, who is on trial for murder. What I think,
5 where I think the evidence really begins in this case
6 is with my client's sister, Latoya Green, who was
7 called to testify in this case by the State. The
8 government. And she gets on that stand and what did
9 she tell you? She has been friends with Jenika Jones
10 since they were kids. She was so close with Jenika
11 Jones that she is the God momma to her three babies.
12 She tells you that in 2011 she, Latoya Green, her
13 brother; my client, Al Green, lived with Jenika Jones
14 and not just with Jenika Jones, but her three small
15 children. And I asked her, were those kids there all
16 the time and she said, yes. They were there every
17 day. Fast forward, not that far down the road. Miss
18 Jones is murdered January of 2012. January 19th of
19 2012, and I believe it was Investigator Thompson or it
20 might have been SLED Agent Green yesterday when we
21 were talking to the police about how they conduct
22 their investigations. I believe it was one of them
23 that said yesterday when a crime is first committed we
24 cast a big wide net out there first and as we work
25 through our investigation we narrow it down. What did

1 SLED agent Green tell you yesterday. He talked to my
2 client, Al Green, just days after Miss Jenika Jones's
3 murder. I am not going to pull all this evidence out.
4 You will all have it back with you in the jury room to
5 go through and look at for yourself. But the State
6 put in evidence that Miranda or waiver of rights form
7 with a date on it that shows they were talking to my
8 client within days of Miss Jones being murdered. And
9 my client tells them I was at the Hole in the Wall.
10 Not a crime to be at the Hole in the Wall. His
11 girlfriend gets up there Miss Tinsley and they ask
12 her, where did Al Green tell you he was at on January
13 19, 2012? Well, he said he was at his mommas house
14 and then he went to the Hole in the Wall and he met up
15 with Tate there. Consistent with the same thing that
16 my client told the police. But if you also remember
17 what we talked about with Investigator Thomsson
18 yesterday when I was asking him about going to Marcus
19 Barnes the gentleman than Wayne Blakeney said had
20 given the gun to, I was asking Investigator Thomsson,
21 well, did you go to Mr. Barnes's house? Did you look
22 for the gun at Marcus Barnes's house? Did you ask for
23 consent to go look for the gun, and he said he didn't
24 really get anywhere with Mr. Barnes when he went
25 looking for the gun. If you remember at that point I

1 asked Investigator Thomspen, what about a search
2 warrant? I had him explain to you all the process of
3 getting a search warrant. Where they, the police
4 officers can go to the judge and ask the judge to sign
5 off a search warrant so they can go in that house and
6 look for evidence and remember what he said. Well,
7 you know, that was in March and a couple of months had
8 passed by that time. So, I didn't really think the
9 judge would go for it. So I didn't even bother asking
10 the judge. Well, that wasn't the case was it. On
11 January 25th, just days, less than a week after Miss
12 Jones was murdered when the police were talking to Al
13 Green, did you hear any officer say, hey, you know we
14 are talking to Al Green. Obviously they consider him
15 a person of interest in this case, it is within days
16 of this woman being murdered. Maybe we should ask Al
17 Green if he will let us go take a look around his
18 house. SLED Agency Green didn't tell you that, did
19 he? Did you hear one officer say after the police
20 talked with Al Green within days, hey -- hey judge,
21 can we have a search warrant? This horrible crime
22 happened just a few days ago. We think this guy might
23 be involved in it so we want to go in his house and
24 look for evidence. And what kind of things could they
25 tell the judge they were looking for. Well, just a

1 few days after this we have a missing car key from
2 Pomp Blackmon's car. We have a missing murder weapon.
3 They haven't found that. I would think the police
4 would be interested since they think Mr. Green is the
5 person of interest in this case and what he was
6 wearing that night. Collecting that. Putting that in
7 evidence. Seeing if there is any type of forensics
8 they can find off that. Maybe interested in Mr.
9 Green's cell phone. Mr. Green was right there in the
10 police station meeting with the police. Did you ever
11 hear SLED Agent Green tell you he asked my client if
12 he could look through his phone? Everyone I know,
13 well, accept for the 14 of you because I know you
14 can't bring the phone in the courtroom -- everybody
15 when they are not stuck on jury duty carries their
16 phone around with them and certainly if it is not with
17 them you leave it at your house. Obviously the police
18 think phones are important evidence. They collected a
19 phone. Bothered to put it in for evidence from Miss
20 Jones's house. Never even asked, never even looked
21 for my client's to see if there is anything
22 incriminating on there. Any kind of text messages.
23 Nothing. Don't even look.

24 Then we heard from the first responding officers at
25 the scene. We heard from Officer Weiss and David

1 Belk. They tell you they get there really shortly
2 after all this happens. I believe it was around 10:15
3 and I ask them what's it like when you pull up. You
4 know, what do you see when you pull up to Miss
5 Jones's house, and I honestly don't remember which one
6 of them say it, but I remember an officer telling me
7 in response to those questions, well, I remember there
8 was at least eight or ten other police officers there.
9 There is patrol cars there. There are blue lights.
10 We know CSI team is out there. We have three people
11 from the Lancaster County CSI team alone that
12 testified that they were out there that night. We
13 know that SLED was involved. I asked Officer Green
14 when did you first get involved and he said it was
15 real early on in that case. So we got SLED out there.
16 We got the CSI team out there. We got EMS out there.
17 We got Officer Weiss out there. We got Officer Belk
18 and ten -- eight to ten more. So there is a lot of
19 people out there. And what did they tell you they did
20 those first responding officers? Well, one thing they
21 told us is that they spent from about 10:15 at night
22 until 5:30 in the morning they are investigating this
23 case. They also told us this happened on January
24 19th, 2012. Over two years ago. Over two years now
25 to collect evidence and prepare the case against my

1 client, Al Green. And do you remember me asking
2 Officer Weiss, well, what did you do when you got
3 there? And said he was tending to those children.
4 Jenika Jones's three small children; a four-year-old a
5 two-year old and then her youngest boy. And that all
6 three of those children had blood on there clothes.
7 There mom's blood. Did you ever hear him tell you or
8 any of the other officers that the State put forward
9 on the stand that they talked to the oldest child
10 about what happened? Did they ever ask this
11 four-year-old, this four-year-old that lived with my
12 client in 2011 and this incident happens in January of
13 2012? They don't ask him, hey, do you know Al? Hey,
14 here is a line-up, here is a photo of him, do you know
15 this guy? Was he in your house on January 19th of
16 2012? We don't know the answer to that because we
17 don't have any evidence in this case that the police
18 even bothered to ask him that, Mr. Blakeney.

19 Mr. Frick commented on the fact and the judge is
20 also going to tell you when witnesses are up on the
21 stand testifying you are suppose to look at their
22 demeanor and that is a factor just like it is in your
23 every day life when you are talking to people and
24 deciding whether or not you are believing what they
25 are telling you. And Mr. Blakeney was clearly upset.

1 He was tearful, emotionally torn, absolutely, but when
2 you were watching him and listening to him testify and
3 seeing him shed those tears and repeat over and over
4 again, I repeatedly heard him say, I am scared. I am
5 scared. I am so scared. I bet he is scared. He is
6 charged with murder. You think those tears he was
7 shedding were for Jenika Jones's family. You think
8 those tears he was shedding was for those three
9 children of who has been robbed of momma to early in
10 life, or were those tears he was shedding for himself
11 because he is in a heck of of mess isn't he. He feels
12 so bad about this situation, so shook up about this
13 situation, that he lets months, months pass and never
14 goes to the police. In fact the only time he talks to
15 the police is after one of his own friends rats him
16 out. That's what he told you. He gets arrested for
17 murder. Well, then he decides I better start talking.
18 And even then when he is trying to safe his own behind
19 he's not honest at first and he did admit to that.
20 But look at his actions. Another way we judge whether
21 people are telling us the truth or not in real live is
22 we not only look at what they say but also what they
23 do. If somebody comes up to you and says, hey Suzy,
24 she's a heck of a great girl. She's really nice. Are
25 you just going to judge suzy on people saying she's

1 nice or are you going to look at what Suzy does to
2 make that determination for yourself if she's a nice
3 person. You know how the saying is actions speak
4 louder than words.

5 The guy that feels so bad about all this, so
6 scared, so shook up, spends all of that time he said
7 with Mr. Clinton in the car that night after all the
8 others are dropped off. He says Mr. Clinton tells him
9 here you go. I killed that bitch and here's the gun.
10 Does he take it to the police, no. He ditches the car
11 because it ran out of gas. He holds on to that gun he
12 says for a couple of weeks and then he sells it to
13 make a couple of bucks. Sounds real broken up about
14 Miss Jones and her family. He's ditching what he has
15 allegedly told us is the murder weapon to make a
16 couple of buck. Sounds like someone is out to
17 themselves to me.

18 When SLED was up on the stand testifying they told
19 you they took a look at the shell cases and the bullet
20 that was recovered from Miss Jones's head and when
21 they are looking at those items they are looking for
22 markings to see if there is enough markings on the
23 shell casings on the bullet to determine whether or
24 not that if they even had a gun they would be able to
25 match it up. And the SLED firearms's expert told you

1 yeah, there was marking on both of these items and if
2 I had a weapon I could have test fired it to see if it
3 matched up with these marking and then we would have
4 known whether or not it was the murder weapon. But
5 Mr. Blakeney he got rid of it for a couple of bucks so
6 we don't know, do we.

7 When Mr. Barfield was talking about the
8 conversation I heard his say repeatedly to you in
9 closing argument; they, they, they, they. Is that
10 what the witness's stood up here and told you because
11 that is not what I remember hearing and I know you all
12 heard a lot and nobody can remember everything. So I
13 will tell you if when you get back there and you are
14 discussing this and you can't remember, because nobody
15 can remember everything exactly what somebody said you
16 do have the opportunity to rehear testimony.

17 What I remember Mr. Blakeney saying is there was no
18 they involved. He says when they get to the club he
19 don't know what Mr. McDow and Mr. Green are doing. He
20 couldn't even tell me if they were drinking. He says
21 Mr. Clinton came up to him and said I need to go get
22 some money, pick up money. Something to that effect.
23 He doesn't say that my client was there when Mr.
24 Clinton made this statement. He doesn't say Mr. McDow
25 was there when my client made the statement. He

1 wasn't even hanging out with my client then. Simply
2 we got to the club I don't know what they were doing.
3 I don't what they were drinking, but hey, Mr. Clinton
4 asked me to go ride him over to get me some money.
5 Where is the conspiracy, the aiding, the planning of
6 this crime by Mr. Green.

7 Mr. Blakeney says the four on of them get in the
8 car and what is discussed, what is even mentioned on
9 the way over to Roseanna Lane. Well, there is not one
10 word about Mr. Green saying anything. Not one word
11 about Mr. Green having a weapon. Not one world about
12 a robbery, a killing, a shooting. No one is pulling
13 out a gun on the way there and cocking it, checking to
14 make sure it is loaded. No one is putting on masks.
15 No one is putting on gloves to prevent leaving DNA
16 behind when they are touching things. No one is doing
17 anything suspicious like that. Wayne Blakeney says
18 it's just Mr. Clinton giving him directions on where
19 to go, not Al Green giving him directions. And he
20 says they roll up in at Roseanna Lane the four of them
21 in this car and three of them get out. How convenient
22 he is not one of those three. He says that he sits in
23 that car about ten minutes. He doesn't remember, I
24 asked him can you show me exactly where you parked
25 when he out there that night and he is not sure. But

1 he sure was sure when I asked him did you hear a
2 gunshot because you are telling us when Mr. Clinton
3 tells you, I shot her, that you didn't believe it. So
4 did you hear a gunshot that night? Nope, didn't hear
5 a gunshot. Well, did you see which way they went?
6 Nope. Could you see the door to Miss Jones's trailer
7 that all the State's evidence point to someone went
8 into the front door of her trailer? Nope. They
9 disappeared was the word I heard him say. So he can't
10 say whether the three of them stayed together. He
11 can't say which one of them, two of them, three of
12 them, any of them if they actually went inside of Miss
13 Jenika Jones's trailer. He could not see it. He says
14 ten minutes later they come back and I believe my
15 notes when he was testifying said they were in a
16 little bit of a hurry. They get in the car and Mr.
17 Clinton says chill. Well, at this point Mr. Blakeney
18 tells you he don't know anything that is going on at
19 all. He has no idea. He just thinks they are going
20 over there to pick up some money. Doesn't hear a a
21 gunshot. I asked did you hear any loud or unusual
22 noise? What did he say, he said no. So why would Mr.
23 Clinton get in the car and tell him to chill.
24 According to him he is not freaking out. He don't
25 even know anything is going on. And what does he say

1 he hears from the back seat where allegedly my client,
2 Mr. Green is and Delrico McDow, go, go. You notice
3 there was no explanation about that. We don't know
4 whether go, go means hurry up because I got to get to
5 back the club because I'm hitting on this girl and I
6 don't want her to leave because she was hot. We don't
7 know if it means hey, we got around the back side of
8 the the trailer, headed towards Jenika Jones's trailer
9 and Tate pulls out a gun and Mr. Green is like, whoa,
10 I did not the sign up for this and he's just wanting
11 to get the heck out of there. Cause what happens when
12 they leave Roseanna Lane? Well, for one, Mr. Blakeney
13 says he drives fast, but did you notice what he didn't
14 say? He never said he was driving with no lights on.
15 He never said that he almost ran another car off the
16 road. Like Miss Nelson and Miss Montgomery told you.
17 He didn't say any of those things. He says they go
18 back to the club, back to the Hole in the Wall, that
19 he is still at this point has never seen my client
20 with a weapon. Never heard him talking about a
21 robbery. Doesn't see him come back with anything in
22 his hands that could have been taken out of that
23 bedroom that you all have pictures of that are
24 ransacked. Nothing. And they hang out for a little
25 bit at the club and then he takes my client home.

1 Who does he spend all this quality time with that
2 evening, not Al Green.

3 He says as they are riding back to the club that
4 night he doesn't say anything about my client acting
5 freaked out, nervous, amped-up. Adrenaline pumping.
6 I think if you were there when all this goes down
7 someone that you use to live that has has know your
8 sister for ages you would be a little fired up about
9 that. He doesn't say anything unusual at all about
10 Mr. Green's demeanor. Nothing. Didn't hear him say
11 anything on the way back. Hang out for a little while
12 at the club. He takes all these people home, other
13 guys we don't even know who they are in addition to
14 Mr. McDow and Mr. Green. And spend all of this time
15 until six in the morning with Mr. Clinton the next day
16 and he says not when my client is in the car. Not
17 when Mr. McDow or anybody else is in the car. That
18 suddenly Mr. Clinton feels like talking and says I
19 killed her and he is in such a confessing mood
20 apparently, Mr. Clinton, I can't think of a more
21 incriminating statement to come out of someone's mouth
22 other than I killed her. Pretty damning. Really
23 putting yourself in a lot of trouble saying something
24 like that. But you know what he doesn't say? He is
25 willing to go that far and throw himself out there

1 under the bus, but he never says, oh, and Mr. McDow
2 and Mr. Green helped me do it. Oh, and they were in
3 on it the whole time. Oh, they were inside that
4 trailer with me. He is going to hold that back, but
5 confess to murder. Does that make be sense?

6 Motivation. People's reasons for saying what
7 they say. Mr. Barfield aruges that it makes Mr.
8 Blakeney a more credible witness that he doesn't have
9 a deal. Really? Think about that using your common
10 sense as several of us have asked you to do. If I am
11 hired to cut someone's lawn and they say right up
12 front here you go. I'm going to pay \$100 to do my
13 yard today and they gave me the money at seven o'clock
14 that morning. I got the money in my hand. Don't
15 matter how good or how bad a job I do cause I already
16 got the money. I got my deal. But if they say, hey,
17 go cut my lawn and I will pay you for your work when
18 the job is done, doesn't that give me a little extra
19 motivation to do a good job. Maybe spend a little
20 more time on what I am doing. Pay more attention to
21 detail; edging and blowing away all the grass
22 clippings when I am done. Making it look real nice
23 and pretty if I haven't got my money yet and I am
24 earning it.

25 Mr. Barfield also told you, oh, the defense is

1 going to talk about forensics. That is just fancy TV
2 stuff and you know, it is all real confusing. SLED
3 told you that. There is mixtures of people. What I
4 say to you ladies and gentleman you are going to have
5 all the SLED reports back there with you. Take a look
6 at them. I don't there is any confusion in SLED's
7 testimony or their reports. You will get to see what
8 they say, nothing they got is a match to Al Green. I
9 don't see any confusion there. And why is forensics
10 and DNA important, the same reason that I said at the
11 beginning of this trial. Yes, witness's testimony is
12 important. I want you to pay attention and consider
13 what all of the witnesses told you, but forensic
14 evidence, physical evidence, not even just forensic,
15 things like pictures and the trim off the car and all
16 this stuff that is going to come back to the jury room
17 is important because it can back up what someone says
18 or it can contradict it. To help you all do your job
19 to determine what the facts are in this case. So Mr.
20 Barfield wants you to pay attention to the physical
21 evidence in DNA when it helps you. When it helps your
22 case, but not when his case ain't so good. Then it's
23 just fancy T.V. stuff. Forensics and collecting
24 physical evidence isn't important, really. Our
25 Lancaster County County Sheriff's office, they had

1 three CSI people out there that night just on this
2 case. Why they spending all this money to pay those
3 people? We had at least three people from SLED
4 testify. Why are we putting all this money in those
5 people and in SLED in general if that stuff is just
6 fancy TV stuff. Just not that important. Does that
7 make sense.

8 I am not going to take at this out of this box and
9 I am sure there are pictures in this pile here too.
10 It certainly does appear that a piece of trim from
11 this car was on Roseanna Lane. Mr. Clinton lives on
12 Roseanna Lane. I don't how many times if it was this
13 car or not and how times it went in and out of that
14 Roseanna neighborhood that night. No idea. I can
15 tell you that Miss Montgomery and Miss Nelson say they
16 think they see that car there that night and Blakeney
17 drives it -- says he drove it through there twice.
18 And if a piece of trim is found at Roseanna Land that
19 does match the car, well great, the car is not on
20 trial here. We need more than that. The inquiry
21 doesn't stop with whether or not the car was there
22 that night. That's not the issue here. Was Mr. Green
23 in that car. Again, it doesn't stop there. Did Mr.
24 Green plan with Mr. Clinton or whoever else to commit
25 a robbery, plan a lick. Did he do something other

1 than merely be present? You are going to hear those
2 words, mere presence from the judge when he gives you
3 the law. Just being there is not enough to be a
4 criminal act. You have to do something to aid,
5 assist, some overt act to help further along what is
6 going on. I didn't hear testimony from a single
7 witness that he helped do that and the State says oh,
8 but wait a minute. We got it all figured out from the
9 day before. Remember Dominique Davis came up here and
10 told you up he has been planning this for at least a
11 day. And that's when Mr. Barfield started to use that
12 "they" language there again. They were planning this.
13 They were talking about this, but what I remember
14 Dominique Davis saying is Mr. Clinton asked Mr. Green,
15 or was telling Mr. Green about doing a lick. Not that
16 the two of them came up with this idea at the same
17 time. That it was a question or brought up by Mr.
18 Clinton. That's what I remember her saying. She says
19 that when she hears this conversation between Mr.
20 Green and Mr. Clinton that she's talking to Miss
21 Tinsley. So Miss Tinsley is close enough to all this,
22 to be having a conversation with Miss Davis. She
23 can't be that far away and Miss Davis can focus on
24 that conversation with Miss Tinsley and this
25 conversation going on over here between Mr. Green and

1 Mr. Clinton. Miss Tinsley, called by the State, tells
2 you I did not hear any such conversation. And Mr.
3 Twitty who tells you he stopped by there that morning
4 said when I got to the house I didn't even see Mr.
5 Clinton there and did not hear any discussion about a
6 robbery or a lick. And even if Miss Davis is -- if we
7 believe that what Miss Davis is telling you the truth
8 what does she say my client said about that. She said
9 my client said, are you talking about the girl that
10 works all the time with the black car. It's kind of
11 like what are you talking about. She didn't say I
12 heard him say yeah, let's go do it, or yeah, how are
13 we going to get this done. Yeah, I got a gun I can
14 bring to this, or yeah, I'm going to get some money
15 off that girl. None of that. She never says she
16 heard Mr. Green agree to go along with this.

17 I never heard any testimony that Miss Jones has a
18 black car. I heard mention of a Jeep somewhere. Not
19 sure from who. You are going to have the pictures at
20 her residence. There is no black car there. That's
21 all there in evidence. No talk at all that day about
22 who they are talking about. That a huge assumption
23 there. Miss Davis said I didn't hear names, who,
24 what, what they were going to steal, who they were
25 going to go after, or where they were going to do

1 this. None of that. . So then the State says, oh, wait
2 but we got Mr. Twitty too and he can show Mr. Green
3 was more than just a sweet innocent babe in the woods.
4 He helped plan all this at least a day in advance.
5 And what does Mr. Twitty tell you. There's a lot of
6 "they" talk again when the solicitor talked about it.

7 Mr. Twitty tells you it is Mr. Clinton. That my
8 client is not even there and Mr. Twitty tells you
9 Clinton comes up to him and it's like, hey man, want
10 to do a lick? And what does Mr. Twitty tell you his
11 response was to that question posed by Mr. Clinton,
12 yeah whatever. Then Mr. Twitty says my client comes
13 up. Comes out of Miss Tinsley's house or whatever he
14 was in the neighborhood. Again, my client is not even
15 there hanging out with Mr. Clinton when this
16 conversation first starts. He just strolls up on Mr.
17 Clinton and Mr. Twitty's conversation and then when
18 Mr. Green rolls up on the conversation Mr. Twitty says
19 he hears Mr. Clinton hey man, do you want to do a
20 lick? What does he say my client said, yeah,
21 whatever. The same response that Mr. Twitty gave. If
22 Mr. Clinton and Mr. Green had already planned to do a
23 robbery that morning why later on that night is Mr.
24 Clinton asking my client again about the very same
25 thing if they already have this big agreement. That

1 don't make any you sense. And then who keeps pushing
2 up. Did you hear any testimony from Mr. Twitty that
3 my client is like, yeah, well how are we going to get
4 there? I will try to get a car and I'm going to get a
5 gun and this is how we are going to do it. No, he
6 didn't hear my client say anything like that. He says
7 it is Mr. Clinton pushing him, Mr. Twitty, to go get a
8 car and Mr. Twitty is like, no, man, my car is parked
9 for the night. Well, you don't hear my client jump in
10 say oh, let me see if I can get a car. Pushing that
11 along.

12 I asked Mr. Twitty, well, you said yeah, whatever.
13 Whenever Mr. Clinton asked you to do the lick did you
14 ever go do you a lick, robbery or any crime with Mr.
15 Clinton and he is like no.

16 Beyond a reasonable doubt. It is the State's job.
17 It is their burden to give you evidence beyond a
18 reasonable doubt in this case. I submit to you they
19 don't have it against Mr. Green. If after you heard
20 all of the evidence in this case, the judge is going
21 to describe for you reasonable doubt and give you the
22 charge on the law of what that means and I ask you pay
23 close attention when he does that. I believe he at
24 the beginning of this case did give you instruction on
25 reasonable doubt and said, you know, if they proved

1 their case beyond a reasonable doubt you will be
2 firmly convinced of Mr. Green's guilt. Are you? The
3 judge has also reminded you that just because these
4 two individuals; Mr. Clinton and Mr. Green are both
5 charged with murder and are both being tried at the
6 same time that you have to evaluate all the evidence,
7 the case against Mr. Clinton and then the case against
8 Mr. Green.

9 The judge is not going to tell you, because that is
10 not the law, that you have to come up with the same
11 result or same verdict on both of them. You can.
12 They can be different or they can be the same. That
13 is for you all. You guys are fact finders in this
14 case. It is your job to hold the State to their
15 burden. They have not met it. The evidence is not
16 there and for that reason I ask you to find my client
17 not guilty..

18 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much Miss
19 Raney.

20 Mr. Foreman, ladies and gentleman of the jury, I
21 believe we will take about a 15 minute recess. During
22 this recess do not discuss this case with your fellow
23 jurors or anyone else. You may now go with the
24 bailiff.

25 THE COURT: All right. Court will be in recess 15

1 minutes. Short break.

2 (WHEREUPON, there was a short break.)

3 All right. Welcome back, Mr. Foreman, ladies and
4 gentlemen of the jury panel, it is now the portion of
5 the trial where I will charge or instruct you the law
6 that you are to apply to the facts of the case as you
7 find them to be. The manner in which I charge the law
8 in a criminal matter, in a criminal case, is I charge
9 the general law that applies to all criminal cases in
10 South Carolina first. After I charge the general
11 criminal law I will then charge the law of the crime
12 charged in the indictment of murder. I will then
13 charge hand of one, hand of all or accomplice
14 liability.

15 Finally, I will then charge or instruct you on the
16 form of the verdict and the verdict form itself that
17 you will have in the jury room with you, Mr. Foreman,
18 ladies and gentleman of the jury. Typically, I will
19 give you the caption of the section that I am fixing
20 to cover when I change from one topic or subject to
21 another, I will then give you that next caption to
22 follow along.

23 Charge, arrest, indictment not evidence. As you
24 know the indictments in this case of the defendants
25 charge each defendant with the crime of murder. I

1 remind you that the fact that the defendant were
2 arrested, charged and indicted in this case is not
3 evidence in this case and can not be considered as
4 evidence of guilt in this case, nor do the indictments
5 create any presumption or inference of guilt. These
6 documents again are simply the formal written
7 instruments which contain the charge made against each
8 of the defendants. It is the formal written document
9 by which the case is brought in to this court.

10 Multiple defendants, I charge you that there are two
11 defendants in this case. Each of them is charged with
12 the crime of murder. The case of each defendant and
13 the evidence and the law concerning that defendant
14 should be considered separately and individually.
15 Your verdict does not have to be the same for both
16 defendants. The fact that you may find one defendant
17 guilty or not guilty, should not control your verdict
18 as to the other of defendant.

19 Where more than one person is charged with a crime,
20 if the evidence warrants it, you may convict one and
21 acquit the other, or you may acquit both or you may
22 convict both. It will depend upon your view of the
23 testimony and the evidence and the facts in this case.
24 You must take each defendant and consider the evidence
25 as to that defendant and my instructions to you on the

1 law. You will then right a separate verdict of guilty
2 or not guilty as to each defendant.

3 Presumption of innocence, each defendant has plead
4 not guilty to the indictment and that plea puts the
5 burden on the State to prove the defendants's guilt.
6 A person charged with committing a criminal offense in
7 South Carolina, is never required to prove himself
8 innocent.

9 I instruct you that it is an important rule of the
10 law that a defendant in a criminal trial, no matter
11 what the seriousness of the charge may be, will always
12 be presumed to be innocent of the crime for which the
13 indictment was issued unless guilt had been proven by
14 evidence satisfying you of that guilt beyond a reason
15 doubt. This presumption of innocence does not end
16 when you begin your deliberations, but it accompanies
17 each defendant throughout the trial until you reach a
18 verdict of guilt based on evidence satisfying you of
19 that guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

20 The presumption of innocence has been said to be
21 like a robe of righteousness placed about the
22 shoulders of the defendant which remains with the
23 defendant until it has been stripped from the
24 defendant by evidence satisfying you of the
25 defendants's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

1 The presumption of innocence is not a mere legal
2 theory. It is not just a legal phrase. It is a
3 substantial right to which ever defendant is entitled
4 unless you, the jury, are satisfied from the evidence
5 of the defendants's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
6 What is a reasonable doubt in the law? A reasonable
7 doubt is the kind of doubt that would cause a
8 reasonable person to hesitate to act.

9 The State has the burden of proving the defendant's
10 guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Some of you may have
11 served as jurors in the past, in civil cases where you
12 were told that it is only necessary to prove that a
13 fact is more likely true then not true, such as by the
14 greater weight or the preponderance of the evidence.
15 In criminal cases, the State's proof must be more
16 powerful then that. It must be beyond a reasonable
17 doubt.

18 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that
19 leaves you firmly convinced of the defendants's guilt.
20 There are very few things that we know in this world
21 with absolute certainty, and in criminal cases the law
22 does not require proof that overcomes every possible
23 doubt. If, based on your consideration of the
24 evidence, your firmly convinced that the defendant or
25 defendants is guilty of the crime charged you must

1 find the defendant or defendants guilty. If on the
2 other hand, you think there is a real possibility that
3 the defendant or defendants is not guilty, you must
4 give the defendant the benefit of the doubt and find
5 him not guilty.

6 Duties of trial jury and trial judge: I remind you
7 that during this trial you and I have certain duties
8 to perform. As to the trial judge, it is my
9 responsibility to preside over the trial of this case,
10 and I also have the duty to rule on the admissibility
11 of the evidence offered during the trial. You are to
12 consider only the competent evidence before you. You
13 are to consider only the testimony which has been
14 presented from the witness stand, and any exhibits
15 which have been made part of the record in this case
16 which you will have with you in your jury room during
17 your deliberations.

18 I have the additional duty to charge you the law
19 applicable to this case. As the presiding judge, I am
20 the soul judge of the law of this case, and it is your
21 duty as jurors to accept the law and to apply the law
22 as you now state it to you. If you already have any
23 idea as to what the law is, or what the law ought to
24 be and it does not agree with what I tell you the law
25 is, you must abandon, you must set aside your idea

1 because you are sworn. You have taken an oath to
2 accept the law and to apply the law exactly as I state
3 it to you.

4 In every case tried in this court before a trial
5 jury, the jury becomes the soul and exclusive judge of
6 the facts in a case. A trial judge cannot intimate,
7 state, comment on, or make any statement to a trial
8 jury about the facts in the case. Since you, the
9 jury, are the soul judges of the facts in this case,
10 you are not to infer from what I have said during the
11 progress of this trial in ruling upon the
12 admissibility of evidence, or otherwise, or anything
13 that I say now during the course of my instructions to
14 you, that I have any opinion about the facts in this
15 case. The law does not allow me to have an opinion
16 about the facts in this case. That is a matter solely
17 for you, the jury, to determine. As jurors, it is
18 your duty to determine the effect, the value, the
19 weight, and the truth of the evidence that has been
20 presented during this trial.

21 Direct and circumstantial evidence. There are two
22 types of evidence which are generally presented during
23 a trial, direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

24 Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who
25 claims to have actual knowledge of a fact, such as an

1 eyewitness or a earwitness. It is evidence which
2 immediately establishes the main facts to be proved.

3 Circumstantial evidence is proof of a chain of
4 facts and circumstances indicating the existence of a
5 fact. It is evidence which immediately establishes a
6 collateral facts from which the main fact may be
7 inferred. Circumstantial evidence is based on
8 inference and not on personal knowledge or personal
9 observation.

10 The law makes absolutely no distinction between the
11 weight or the value to be given to either direct or
12 circumstantial evidence. Nor is there a greater
13 degree of certainty required of circumstantial
14 evidence then of direct evidence. You should weigh
15 all of the evidence in the case. After weighing all
16 all of the evidence, if you are not convinced of the
17 guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you
18 must find the defendant not guilty. If on the other
19 hand you are convinced of the guilt of the defendants
20 beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant
21 guilty. Again, ladies and gentleman, there are two
22 types of evidence which are generally presented during
23 a trial, direct evidence and circumstance evidence.
24 Direct evidence directly proves the existence of a
25 fact and does not require deduction. Circumstantial

1 evidence is proof of a chain of facts and
2 circumstances indicating the existence of a fact.
3 Crimes may be proven by circumstantial evidence. The
4 law makes no distinction between the weight or value
5 to be given to either direct or circumstantial
6 evidence. However, to the extent the State relies on
7 circumstantial evidence all the circumstances must be
8 consistent with each other and when taken together
9 point conclusively to the guilt of the accused beyond
10 a reasonable doubt. If these circumstances merely
11 portray the defendants behavior at suspicious the
12 proof has failed.

13 The State has the burden of proving the defendant
14 guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden rests
15 with the State regardless of whether the State relies
16 on direct evidence circumstantial evidence or some
17 combination of both.

18 Credibility of witnesses. Necessarily, you must
19 determine the credibility of witnesses who have
20 testified in this case. Credibility as you all know
21 simply means believability. It becomes your duty as
22 jurors to analyze and to evaluate the evidence and
23 determine which evidence convinces you of its truth.
24 Against the backdrop of your individual and collective
25 good judgement, and common sense, in determining the

1 believability of witnesses who have testified in this
2 case, you may believe one over several or several
3 offer one. You may believe a part of the testimony of
4 a witness and reject the remaining part of the
5 testimony of that same witness. You may believe the
6 testimony of a witness in its entirety or reject the
7 testimony of a witness in it's entirety. You may
8 consider whether any witness has exhibited to you any
9 interest, bias, prejudice or other motive in this
10 case. You may consider whether or not the witness's
11 testimony has been corroborated by other witness's
12 testimony or has been contradicted or impeached by
13 other witness's testimony. Whether or not a witness
14 testimony is consistent within itself or there are
15 inconsistencies within the testimony. You may also
16 consider whether any witness has exhibited to you any
17 interest, any bias, any prejudice, or any other motive
18 in this case. You may also consider the demeanor of
19 the witness while testifying before you on the witness
20 stand. In other words, the appearance of the witness.
21 The manner of the witness while testifying before you
22 that was exhibited to you while the witness was on the
23 witness stand. You may consider anything that is in
24 the record that will help you to determine the
25 credibility, believability of the witnesses who have

1 testified before you from this witness stand.

2 Expert witnesses, and you heard me say this several
3 times throughout the trial. This is a little bit
4 longer than the ones I use in the trial, but not much.
5 Not much. The rules of evidence ordinarily do not
6 permit witnesses to testify to opinions or
7 conclusions. There is an exception to this rule for
8 witnesses that we call "expert witnesses". A witness
9 who, by education and experience has become an expert
10 in some art, science, profession, or calling may state
11 an opinion as to relevant and material matters, in
12 which the witness claims to be expert, and may also
13 state the reasons, or the basis for the opinion or
14 opinions.

15 You should consider any expert opinion -- you
16 should consider any expert opinion received in
17 evidence in this case and, like any other evidence
18 give it the weight you think it deserves. If you
19 decide that the opinion of an expert witness is not
20 based on sufficient education and experience, or if
21 you conclude that the reasons given in support of the
22 opinion are not sound or that the opinion is
23 outweighed by other evidence, you may disregard the
24 opinion entirely.

25 An expert witness's testimony is to be given no

1 greater weight then that of any witness simply because
2 the witness is an expert. Further, you are not
3 required to accept an expert's opinion, even though it
4 is not contradicted.

5 Statement of defendants. A statement alleged to
6 have been made by and I used defendant, defendants,
7 singular or plurally, has been admitted into evidence
8 in this case. While the Court has determined that the
9 statement is admissible, I instruct you that you make
10 the ultimate decision as to whether or not the
11 defendant made the statement. If the defendant did
12 make a statement, you must determine whether the
13 statement was made by the defendant voluntarily and of
14 his own free will. This means that the statement was
15 not caused by pressure, force, fear, threats,
16 coercion, or intimidation, or by hope or a promise of
17 leniency or a reward of any kind. In determining
18 whether the statement was voluntarily, you should
19 consider both the characteristics of the defendant and
20 the details of the questioning. Some of the factors
21 that you must consider are: The age of the defendant;
22 the defendant's education or lack of education; the
23 defendant's mental ability or capacity; the defendants
24 I.Q. or intelligence; the defendant's background and
25 environment; the place and length of the detention;

1 the nature of the questioning; and the advice, or lack
2 thereof to the defendant of his constitutional rights
3 including, but not limited to, the right to remain
4 silent; that any statement could be used against him
5 in a court of law; the right to have a lawyer present;
6 that if he could not afford a lawyer a lawyer would be
7 appointed to represent him without any cost; and that
8 he could stop making a statement at any time. You
9 must carefully consider all of the surrounding
10 circumstances before you give any weight to an alleged
11 statement.

12 The State has the burden of proving beyond a
13 reasonable doubt that the alleged statement was
14 voluntary. If you determine that it was voluntary you
15 may give the statement any further consideration that
16 you deem proper. You must decide what weight, if any,
17 should be given to the alleged statement. If you
18 determine an alleged statement was not the free and
19 voluntary statement of the defendant you should not
20 consider the statement at all.

21 I instruct you and emphasize that the fact the
22 defendants did not testify is not a factor to be
23 considered by you in any way in your deliberation and
24 in your consideration on the question of the guilt or
25 the innocence of the defendant. It must not be

1 considered by you in any manner whatsoever. A
2 defendant has the constitutional right to remain
3 silent, and the assertion of this right must not be
4 considered by you in your deliberations. I will
5 repeat, under your oath, you are to draw no conclusion
6 whatsoever from the fact that the defendants in this
7 case did not testify. The fact that these defendants
8 did not testify should not even be discussed in the
9 jury room. The burden of proof as I have stated to
10 you is on the State, the defendant are not required to
11 prove themselves innocent. The burden of proof
12 remains on the State to prove guilt beyond a
13 reasonable doubt.

14 Intent. In order to establish criminal liability,
15 criminal intent is required. For example, the mental
16 State required to be proven by the State for a
17 particular crime might be purpose, intent, knowledge,
18 recklessness, or criminal negligence. Criminal intent
19 must be proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt.
20 Criminal intent is always a matter that must be
21 determined by the jury from the circumstances
22 surrounding the situation. There is no way to prove
23 intent to a mathematical certainty. There is no way
24 medical science can dissect a person's brain and
25 determine what the person had in mind, so the law says

1 that criminal intent may be inferred from the
2 circumstances shown to have existed. This is how you
3 make your determination of whether or not the element
4 requiring in at any time was present. It is not
5 necessary to establish intent by direct and positive
6 evidence, but intent may be established by inference
7 in the same way as any other fact by taking into
8 consideration the acts of the parties and all the
9 facts and circumstances of the case.

10 Criminal intent, ladies and gentleman, is a mental
11 state. A conscious wrongdoing. It is up to you to
12 determine what the defendant or defendants intended to
13 do based on the circumstances shown to have existed.

14 Criminal intent can arise from action or a
15 failure to act. It may arise from negligence,
16 recklessness, or an indifference to duty or to
17 consequences that is considered by the law to be the
18 equivalent of criminal intent.

19 Ladies and gentleman, that is the general criminal
20 law that applies to all criminal cases in South
21 Carolina. Just to recap, the charge, arrest,
22 indictment not evidence; I charged you on multiple
23 defendants; the presumption of innocence; the burden
24 of proof being on the State beyond a reasonable doubt;
25 duties -- your duties as the trial jury, my duties as

1 the trial judge; direct and circumstantial evidence;
2 the credibility of the witnesses; expert witnesses;
3 statement of the defendant; not holding the fact that
4 neither of the defendants did not testify in this
5 case, nor even discuss it in the jury room, and the
6 issue of criminal intent.

7 I now go going to charge you specifically on the
8 elements of murder and accomplice liability, or as
9 some time called the hand of one is the hand of all.

10 Each defendant is charged with murder. The State
11 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the
12 defendant killed another person with malice
13 aforethought.

14 Malice is hatred, ill-will or hostility towards
15 another person. It is the intentional doing of a
16 wrongful act without just cause or excuse and with an
17 intent to inflict an injury or under circumstances
18 that the law will infer an evil intent.

19 Malice aforethought does not require that the
20 malice exist for any particular time before the act is
21 committed, but malice must exist in the mind of the
22 defendant just before and at the time the act is
23 committed. Therefore, there must be a combination of
24 the previous evil intent and the act.

25 Malice aforethought may be express or inferred.

1 Now these terms, ladies and gentleman, "expressed" and
2 "inferred" do not mean different kinds of malice but
3 merely the manner in which malice maybe shown to
4 exist. That is either direct evidence or by inference
5 from the facts and circumstances which are proven.
6 Expressed malice is shown when a persons speaks words
7 which express hatred or ill-will for another or when
8 the person prepared beforehand to do the act which was
9 later accomplished. For example, lying in wait for a
10 person or any other acts of preparation going to show
11 that the deed was within the defendant's mind would be
12 express malice.

13 Malice may be inferred from conduct showing a total
14 disregard for human life. Inferred malice may also
15 arise when the deed is done with a deadly weapon. A
16 deadly weapon is any article, instrument, or substance
17 which is likely to cause death or great bodily injury.
18 Whether a instrument has been used as a deadly weapon
19 depends on on the facts and circumstances of each
20 case.

21 The following are examples of instruments which may
22 be deadly weapons: A pistol, shotgun, rifle, dirk,
23 dagger, knife, sling shot, metal knuckles, razor,
24 gasoline. A gun may be a deadly weapon even if it is
25 not operating.

1 If facts are proven beyond a reasonable doubt
2 sufficient to raise inference of malice to your
3 satisfaction, this inference would be simply an
4 evidentiary fact to be considered by you, the jury,
5 along with the other evidence in the case and you may
6 give it the weight that you decide it should receive.

7 Under our law there is what is known as
8 accomplice liability, or as some time called the hand
9 of one is the hand of all. If a crime is committed by
10 two or more people who are acting together in
11 committing a crime, the act of one is the act of all.
12 A person who joins with another to commit an
13 unlawfully act is criminally responsible for
14 everything done by the other person which happens as a
15 probably or natural consequence of the acts done in
16 carrying out the common plan and purpose. For
17 example, two people can be guilty of of a burglary
18 when only one entered the residence. One could remain
19 outside and items could be stolen and handed out the
20 window to one outside. Both could be guilty of the
21 burglary although one entered the residence. A
22 lookout could be guilty of the burglary although he or
23 she did not go in the residence while another
24 individual went in the residence, stole items from the
25 residence and came back out. Two or more people are

1 together, acting together, assisting each other in
2 committing the offense the act of one is the act of
3 all or as it is some time said the hand of one is the
4 hand of all. Prior knowledge that a crime is going to
5 be committed without more is not sufficient to make a
6 person guilty of that crime. Mere knowledge that
7 another person is going to commit a crime, even if the
8 defendant is present when the crime is committed, is
9 not sufficient to convict the defendant as a
10 principal. Guilt as a principal is shown by actual or
11 constructive presence at the scene as a result of
12 prior arrangement.

13 Therefore, a finding of prior arranged plan or
14 common scheme is necessary for a finding of guilt as a
15 principal.

16 The State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt by
17 competent evidence the theory of the hand of one is
18 the hand of all. A principal in a crime is one, one
19 who either actually commits the crime, or two, one who
20 is present aiding, abetting, or assisting in the
21 committing of the crime. When a person does an act in
22 the presence of and with the assistance of another,
23 the act is done by both. Where two or more people
24 acting in a common plan or scheme are present at the
25 commission of a crime, it does not matter who actually

1 commits the crime. All are guilty. The hand of one
2 is the hand of all. Present at the commission of a
3 crime means to be sufficiently near to aid, and abet
4 and substance, in the commission of the crime.
5 However, mere presence at the scene of a crime is not
6 sufficient to convict one as a principal on the theory
7 of aiding and abetting.

8 Intent is also a necessary element. For there must
9 have been a common design or intent to commit the
10 crime and the crime must have been committed pursuant
11 thereto with the person present aiding and abetting by
12 some overt act. Intent means intending the result
13 which actually occurs not accidentally or involuntary.
14 Intent may be shown by acts and conduct of the
15 defendant and other circumstances from which you may
16 naturally and reasonably infer intent. The State must
17 prove these elements beyond a reasonable doubt. That
18 is the charge of murder and its accompanying charge of
19 accomplice liability, or the hand of one is the hand
20 of all.

21 Finally, ladies and gentleman, jury verdict. There
22 are two possible verdicts you may find in this case as
23 to each defendant; guilty or not guilty. There is
24 absolutely no significance whatsoever in the order in
25 which I state those possible verdicts. It is simply

1 one must be stated first.

2 You will have Mr. Foreman, ladies and gentleman, a
3 verdict form in the jury room with you, one as to Mr.
4 Clinton, one as to Mr. Green. They are
5 self-explanatory. It has the caption, the indictment
6 number, it says as to the murder we the jury
7 unanimously find the defendant, whichever name is at
8 the top, guilty or not guilty. After your
9 deliberation and your determination of the facts in
10 the case you take those facts and you apply them to
11 the law as I told you the law is, based on that you
12 find that the State has met its burden of proof,
13 beyond a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the
14 defendant, Clinton, I would ask you, Mr. Foreman, if
15 you check to the left of the word guilty and circle
16 both the check mark and the word guilty, date and
17 sign it. If after your determination of the facts of
18 the case as to the defendant Clinton, you find the
19 State has failed to meet its burden of proof beyond a
20 reasonable doubt I will ask you to check to the left
21 of the two words, not guilty, circle the check, circle
22 the two words, date and sign.

23 As to Mr. Green, if you find the State has met its
24 burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt your verdict
25 guilty, check to the left of the word guilty, circle

1 both, not guilty, check to the left, circle both, date
2 and sign it.

3 Ladies and gentleman, your verdict must be
4 unanimous. All 12 of you must agree as to the verdict
5 as to each defendant. Again, you can find both
6 guilty, you can can find both not guilty, you can find
7 one guilt and the other one not guilty. That is
8 entirely your decision, but the verdict as to each
9 defendant must be the unanimous verdict of the jury;
10 guilty or not guilty. Unanimous. Your verdict can
11 not be based on passion, prejudice, emotion or any
12 other factor not in evidence on this case.

13 Mr. Foreman, once the jury has reached a unanimous
14 verdict if you would then knock on the jury room door,
15 we will then receive you back in to the courtroom for
16 the presentation of the verdicts of the jury. I am
17 going to have to ask you one final time to go to the
18 jury room. Do not begin your deliberations at this
19 time. The law requires I meet with the attorneys one
20 final time in case I misspoken or have to additionally
21 instruct you on the law. If I do not have to bring
22 you back out, I will then bring the alternates out.
23 The evidence -- make sure all the evidence, I think
24 it's 1 through 63. The items of evidence would be
25 presented to you along with the verdict form. You

1 will then be informed by the clerk to begin your
2 deliberations with your fellow jurors. I believe they
3 brought lunch --

4 MR. BARFIELD: Yes.

5 THE COURT: And you can eat and deliberate or
6 however you want to do it. If you have any questions
7 during the course of your deliberations if you would
8 write me out a note, knock on the jury room door, Mr.
9 Knight will certainly give it to my attention. Again,
10 do not begin your deliberations until you are
11 instructed to do so by the clerk. You may now go with
12 your bailiff to the jury room.

13 (WHEREUPON, the jury leaves the courtroom.)

14 THE COURT: Any exceptions from the State?

15 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir.

16 THE COURT: From the defense, Mr. Frick?

17 MR. FRICK: None on behalf of Mr. Clinton.

18 THE COURT: Miss Raney?

19 MS. RANEY: None on behalf of Mr. Green.

20 THE COURT: All right. If you all will check one
21 through 63 and I do have the verdicts up here if you
22 like to look at it.

23 (WHEREUPON, the attorneys went through the
24 exhibits.)

25 THE COURT: All right, any objections?

1 MS. RANEY: No objection for Green.

2 MR. FRICK: No objection for Clinton.

3 MR. BARFIELD: No objection.

4 THE COURT: All right. We will be at waiting our
5 jury's verdict.

6 (Jury started deliberations at 1:38).

7 THE COURT: All right. I understand that we have a
8 verdict in this matter. I would remind the spectators
9 in the courtroom that I do not allow any show of
10 emotion whatsoever. I have found that this stage, the
11 taking of the verdict can be very emotional for
12 obviously the victim's family and also for the family
13 of the Mr. Clinton and Mr. Green. It can be emotional
14 for both sides. If you do not feel like you can
15 contain your emotions I would ask you to please leave
16 at this time. If you choice not to leave I will take
17 that as an indication that you can control your
18 emotions and if you stay and do not control them I
19 will ask the sheriff to take you into custody. I
20 consider that to be a contempt of court and will have
21 a contempt hearing after the taking of the jury's
22 verdict. So if anyone want to leave, leave now.

23 Thank you. Thank you, very much. All right. If
24 you bring us our jury panel please.

25 (Jury returns with verdict at 3:47)

1 THE COURT: All right, madame clerk.

2 All right, the jury verdict appears to be in
3 proper form, signed and date by presiding juror.
4 Madame clerk would you publish our verdict, please.

5 COURT CLERK: Yes, sir. Case number
6 2012-GS-29-616, State versus Devatee Tymar Clinton, we
7 the jury unanimously find the defendant guilty.
8 Indictment number 2012-GS-29-636, State of South
9 Carolina versus Al Martinez Green, we the jury
10 unanimous lie find the defendant guilty.

11 Ladies and gentlemen, is this your verdict so say
12 you all by the raising of your right hand.

13 (Jurors raise their hands.)

14 THE CLERK: Let there record reflect all jurors
15 rasied their hands.

16 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Thank you very
17 much. Anything for the jury from the State solicitor?

18 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir.

19 THE COURT: From the defendant Clinton?

20 MR. FRICK: Request polling, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: From the defendant Green?

22 MS. RANEY: Requesting polling, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right, madame clerk will you
24 explain that procedure to the jury?

25 THE CLERK: I am going to ask each one of you a

1 question and ask you if this is your verdict and is it
2 still your verdict and you need to respond.

3 Foreman, James M. Spicer, were these your
4 verdicts?

5 JUROR: Yes, ma'am,

6 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

7 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

8 THE CLERK: Taylor Shurley, are these your
9 verdicts?

10 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

11 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts.

12 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

13 THE CLERK: Robert Whitaker, are these your
14 verdicts?

15 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

16 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

17 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

18 THE CLERK: Brooke Parker, are these your
19 verdicts?

20 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

21 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

22 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

23 THE CLERK: Shahn Syfert, are these your verdicts?

24 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

25 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

1 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

2 THE CLERK: Michael Walker, are these your
3 verdicts?

4 JUROR: Yes.

5 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

6 JUROR: Yes.

7 THE CLERK: Alicia Flannigan, are these your
8 verdicts?

9 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

10 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

11 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

12 THE CLERK: Christopher Koutroumpis, are these your
13 verdicts?

14 JUROR: Yes.

15 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

16 JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

17 THE CLERK: Judy Max, are these your verdicts?

18 JUROR: Yes.

19 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

20 JUROR: Yes.

21 THE CLERK: David Cauthen, are these your verdicts?

22 JUROR: Yes.

23 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

24 JUROR: Yes.

25 THE CLERK: Joida Burtis, are these your verdicts?

1 JUROR: Yes.

2 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

3 JUROR: Yes.

4 THE CLERK: Carol Roger, are these your verdicts?

5 JUROR: Yes.

6 THE CLERK: Are they still your verdicts?

7 JUROR: Yes.

8 THE COURT: All right. It appears all jurors have
9 been polled as to the verdicts. They have answered
10 each question in the affirmative; are these your
11 verdicts and are they still your verdicts, as to Mr.
12 Clinton and as to Mr. Green.

13 Anything further for the jury from the defendant
14 Clinton, Mr. Frick?

15 MR. FRICK: No, sir.

16 THE COURT: The defendant Green, Miss Raney?

17 MS. RANEY: No, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much. Mr.
19 Foreman, ladies and gentleman of the jury panel,
20 momentarily I will release you from your jury service
21 for the remainder of the week. I know it has been a
22 long week for you. I think all the attorneys, who
23 were excellent trial lawyers, have told you it was
24 going to be a long, tedious and somewhat repetitive
25 week. I am sure when you got that notice in the mail,

1 however many weeks ago you got it about jury duty you
2 perhaps never imagined yourself being selected on the
3 case such as this lasting this length of time. Quite
4 frankly I never criticize nor commend a jury for its
5 verdict or verdicts, but I will say this, I have not
6 worked with a more hard working, focused attentive
7 jury then you have been and that is very important to
8 our system of justice. Our jury trial system is the
9 greatest judicial system ever created by man and many
10 people attack it from many points of views. Well may
11 be judges should decide. May be this, may be that.
12 It's like people don't trust the American people. But
13 if you watch the news, which I know many of us do, and
14 we tragedies that occur in our country like the Boston
15 marathon, like 911, like the violence that we see in
16 schools. Each and every time we see Americans running
17 towards the fire, running to help their fellow
18 Americans, going upstairs way of buildings that are on
19 fire, protecting students, teachers don't run they
20 protect their students. They save their lives and
21 many times give up their own lives. I don't know of a
22 better group to decide these cases between the State
23 and the defendants or between citizens and citizens or
24 citizens and business then the American people.

25 It's my pleasure and privilege and I sincerely mean

1 that to work with you you all. Besides being a daddy
2 it's probably the greatest honor of my life to work
3 with the citizens of South Carolina week end and week
4 out in either in our criminal or civil case and I
5 salute you for that.

6 You are now excused. Of course if you want to
7 remain I will take up some motions and probably also
8 sentence Mr. Green and Mr. Clinton today. You can
9 certainly as you go come back around and remain in the
10 courtroom if you like, but you are now excused. Thank
11 you. Thank you, very much.

12 (WHEREUPON, the jurors leave the courtroom.)

13 THE COURT: All right, any motions on behalf the
14 Mr. Clinton, Mr. Frick?

15 MR. FRICK: Yes, sir. At this time on behalf of
16 Mr. Clinton we would ask for a new trial based on our
17 objections and pretrial motions and probably motions
18 in trial that were denied regarding pretrial motions
19 and I think there was a bit of evidence that Your
20 Honor let in that I objected to understands 403
21 analysis; the statement of the conspiring, for lack
22 ever a better.

23 THE COURT: Of Miss Davis and --

24 MR. FRICK: That's correct.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Twitty?

1 MR. FRICK: Correct, and other pretrial motions
2 that we made.

3 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Frick. I
4 would respectfully deny the motion for a new trial. I
5 reaffirm all my previous of rulings, both pretrial,
6 most of which were -- most of which were rulings
7 against the State of South Carolina as far as 404(B),
8 Neil versus Biggers, although res gestae I think also,
9 but clearly your 403 and State's 11.

10 MR. FRICK: Yes.

11 THE COURT: All of that is clearly preserved and I
12 will renew all my previous rulings in that regard.

13 MR. FRICK: One additional motion, I y always make
14 after trial and I'm not entirely sure whether it
15 applies or not because I can't find adequate case law
16 to inform me, but I think it does apply in criminal
17 cases as well. I would ask the Court acting as 13th
18 juror to set aside the verdict having seen the
19 evidence that the State presented is not adequate for
20 them to have reached the verdict they did and in your
21 capacity as 13th juror you can set aside the verdict
22 and I you ask you to do so.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
24 Frick, I would respectfully deny than motion.

25 Miss Raney.

1 MS. RANEY: Thank you, Your Honor. On behalf of
2 defendant Al Green I would also renew all previous
3 objections and motions made throughout the course of
4 trial on his behalf and ask that a new trial be
5 granted and I don't know always term the 13th juror
6 motion as the judgement, notwithstanding the verdict
7 asking you to step in as Mr. Frick did and find that
8 there was not enough evidence and set aside the jury's
9 verdict, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Again, I would respectfully deny those
11 motions and I would reaffirm all my previous trial
12 rulings as to Mr. Green.

13 THE COURT: Any reason Mr. Frick sentencing could
14 not be imposed at this time?

15 MR. FRICK: I am not aware of any reason, no, sir.

16 THE COURT: Miss Raney?

17 MS. RANEY: I am not aware of any reason either.

18 THE COURT: All right. If they will come around
19 please. Solicitor

20 All right, anything further from the State
21 solicitor?

22 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor, I will give records on
23 these young men and also the victim's mom and stepdad
24 are here along with victim's dad and and stepmom, I
25 think. Are they here today? They are gone. There

1 some folks who I am sure will want to speak, but I
2 will give you the records first. I will tell you
3 about Mr. Green first. Your Honor his record is just
4 juvenile record. He has got adjudications in 2004 for
5 carrying a weapon on school property and in 2011 for
6 assault on a correctional facility employee. That
7 again is Mr. Green.

8 Your Honor, Mr. Clinton's record is 2005, assault
9 and battery of a high and aggravated nature and then
10 in 2012 he was convicted again of assault and battery
11 of high and aggravated nature. That conviction was
12 for a pre 2010 ABHAN, the old common law ABHAN. He
13 also had a possession of a pistol of a person
14 convicted of a violent crime, carrying a pistol,
15 pointing and presenting a firearm and burglary second
16 degree, violent.

17 THE COURT: And tell me about, were those all one
18 event?

19 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir. I think I can break it
20 down this way and I sure Mr. Frick and Mr. Clinton
21 will correct me if I am wrong. The first ABHAN was a
22 separate event. I believe that the second ADHAN and
23 the firearm, the possession of pistol by a person
24 convicted of a crime of violence and carrying the
25 pistol and pointing the pistol were a separate event.

1 and then the burglary conviction was the result of the
2 the Nard Roseborough armed robbery that we talked
3 about in camera in this case. So there were three
4 separate events, I believe, that led to those
5 convictions.

6 THE COURT: So the 2012 conviction is one assault
7 battery of high and aggravated nature?

8 MR. BARFIELD: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: And there is a second, that is
10 possession of a pistol by an individual convicted of a
11 crime of violence, carrying a pistol, pointing and
12 presenting a pistol.

13 MR. BARFIELD: Those four I think were one event.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 MR. BARFIELD: And then there was 2005 ADHAN and
16 then the 2012 burglary.

17 THE COURT: Tell me about the 2012 burglary?

18 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor, let me give you a
19 little bit of background. When this murder -- first
20 of all, the government did not know about the
21 burglary, armed robbery involving Jeffrey Lanard
22 Roseborough until they began investigating this
23 homicide.

24 THE COURT: What was the relationship, if any,
25 between Jeffrey Lanard Roseborough and Jenika Jones,

1 victim in this case?

2 MR. BARFIELD: They were boyfriend and girlfriend
3 and lived together some if not all of the time and
4 Jeffrey Lanard Roseborough was said to have lived at
5 [REDACTED] Roseanna Lane and if anybody screams, they will
6 scream; but there is some speculation in this case
7 that Jeffrey Lanard Roseborough was the target of the
8 planned robbery the night Miss Jones was killed. And
9 in November of 2011 approximately two months before
10 Miss Jones's death Jeffrey Lanard Roseborough was at a
11 different apartment in Azalea apartments with some
12 other folks and it was alleged that Mr. Green -- Mr.
13 Clinton, Mr. Green, a fella name Shabrey Truesdale and
14 may be one other, I can't recall -- came in to that
15 apartment all armed and robbed Mr. Roseborough of
16 money and drugs again back in November of 2011. That
17 event was brought to the attention of law enforcement
18 as they started talking to folks during the
19 investigation of Jenika Jones's murder. Mr.
20 Roseborough gave a statement concerning that event.
21 Another female who was in the apartment at that time
22 talked to the police and both Clinton and Green and
23 the others that I mentioned were both charged, I
24 believe with burglary first and armed robbery as a
25 result of that incident. Mr. Clinton plead to several

1 charges after that incident occurred including
2 burglary 2nd degree violent as a result of that
3 incident. I think we dismissed the armed robbery.

4 MR. FRICK: That's correct.

5 THE COURT: And was Mr. Clinton alleged to have
6 been armed at the time of the armed robbery of
7 Roseborough?

8 MR. BARFIELD: He was most definitely.

9 THE COURT: Was there any description of the
10 weapon that he was armed with?

11 MR. BARFIELD: Your Honor, I left that file up in
12 my office. Can you go up to my spare office and there
13 is a manila file jacket with that file in it. We will
14 tell you that in a minute. Well hang on --

15 I got a copy of Mr. Roseborough' statement
16 concerning that case in this file. Let look at it
17 real quick. Bare with me just a minute sir.

18 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

19 MR. BARFIELD: Yes, sir, Mr. Roseborough gave a
20 statement which indicated -- I will tell you about the
21 pistol in a minute. I will tell you what happened
22 first. Tate, meaning Clinton came out first and put a
23 pistol to my chest and Mr. Roseborough was asked later
24 during the taking of the statement, what kind of gun
25 did each of them have. He said Tate had a new looking

1 gun. It look to be a tauris 9 or 380. That is how he
2 described it.

3 THE COURT: And Mr. Green was allegedly there?

4 MR. BARFIELD: Yes, sir. He has not been
5 convicted of anything but he is charged with burglary
6 first and armed robbery, I believe.

7 THE COURT: And was he to have been armed?

8 MR. BARFIELD: He was alleged to have been armed
9 and again as you know Mr. Green's nickname is Capone,
10 and Mr. Roseborough's statement indicates Capone had a
11 Mac 9 for sure. It had a long extended clip for it.
12 It looked like a machine gun pistol.

13 THE COURT: So that takes care of all the records
14 of Mr. Clinton and all the records of Mr. Green?

15 MR. BARFIELD: Yes, sir.

16 THE COURT: All right. Anything further,
17 solicitor?

18 MR. BARFIELD: Well, other than the victim
19 information or victim comments when you are ready for
20 that.

21 THE COURT: I will be glad to hear from anyone you
22 want me to hear from.

23 MR. BARFIELD: Whoever wants to talk step up to
24 that microphone. State your name and your relationship
25 to Jenika and say what you like to.

1 MS. STEVENSON: Your Honor, my name is Rachelle
2 Stevenson. I am the mother of Jenika Jones. It has
3 been a long two years and I know that what happened
4 today would not bring her back and there are no
5 winners in this, but I stand here asking for the
6 maximum sentence that you can give.

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much.

8 THE COURT: Anybody else solicitor?

9 MR. BARFIELD: Anybody else want to speak. No,
10 sir.

11 THE COURT: That is all from the State.

12 THE COURT: Tell me solicitor the status of Miss
13 Jones's children?

14 MR. BARFIELD: Miss Jones's children, I believe are
15 being reared by Rachelle Stevenson and they are of
16 course two years older. They are probably three, four
17 and six now. Are you concerned -- you just want to
18 know where they are and whose taking care of them or
19 do you want to know how they are doing?

20 THE COURT: Well ---

21 MR. BARFIELD: Would you like to stand up and
22 address the judge about what effect this had had on
23 the children, I guess.

24 MS. STEVENSON: Your Honor the children are
25 somewhat doing good. They have had counseling

1 sessions. My granddaughter she is still having a hard
2 time. She is always crying for her mother. Wants to
3 know why this happened to her mother. Had even asked
4 to see pictures of the men who killed her mother, so
5 she understands that her mother is not here.

6 The oldest grandchild he at one time was having
7 real bad problems. He was having problems in school
8 with his -- he was emotional. Angry. Had one time
9 started acting out, but he seems to have come down of
10 that a little. My youngest grandson, he knows of his
11 mom, but, you know, he'll point to a picture. That's
12 Jenika. That's my momma, but hasn't shown no emotions
13 cause he was so small. Other than that they are doing
14 reasonably okay.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, very much.
16 Anything further solicitor?

17 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir.

18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Frick.

19 MR. FRICK: That you, Your Honor.

20 Your Honor, Mr. Clinton is 26 years of age. As you
21 are aware he is currently serving a ten-year sentence
22 in South Carolina Department of Corrections for the
23 last charge the solicitor spoke of which came out of
24 two events. The one event, the assault charge and
25 then the burglary charge which is Mr. Roseborough's

1 situation. In that situation Mr. Clinton has accepted
2 his responsibility. He has fully admitted his guilt
3 and his role in that situation. It is my estimation
4 that is what immediately made him a suspect in this
5 situation, in the case presently.

6 Your Honor is aware, and I just want to put it on
7 the record for the point of mitigation, that there
8 were other suspects in this case. Mr. Rashad Johnson
9 was immediately suspect because that was a name by
10 nickname that came out of the mouth of the youngest --
11 oldest child who got the attention of someone who
12 called law enforcement. Law enforcement did follow up
13 on that. There was an alibi, however, as it goes on
14 further the alibi didn't necessarily check out. But
15 there was no further investigation on that. I am not
16 saying that to bash law enforcement's investigation.
17 I am simply saying that there was another suspect as
18 is clear from the DNA that someone else was at the
19 scene and we have no idea who it was.

20 Regarding Mr. Delrico McDow, I don't think you
21 heard testimony on this, but Mr. Blakeney's testimony
22 is Mr. McDow was in the vehicle with them when it
23 occurred. Mr. McDow is on a GPS tracking device
24 probation, pardon and parol. The information that
25 probation and parol has about that tracking device was

1 it never at any point got close to Roseanna Lane at
2 any time during that evening. So, that does not
3 support the evidence that Mr. Blakeney presented here
4 today or during this trial.

5 Your Honor, I say all that in somewhat mitigation.
6 Obviously, we are not standing here and this is not a
7 situation where we are able to tell you that we are
8 sorry for what we did because we stand here before you
9 and maintain our innocence in this case. I have
10 talked to Mr. Clinton about this situation. I don't
11 think he is going to address Your Honor because I've
12 advised him that from this point of course give Notice
13 of Appeal and it goes on and I don't want him to say
14 anything that can be used against him. So I ask you
15 not to hold anything in that regard against him for
16 lack of remorse or what not because I advised him from
17 a legal standpoint that that is probably in his best
18 interest.

19 Your Honor, he has been incarcerated since really
20 before the warrant came on this charge. We plead. He
21 had this charge on him when we entered the plea on the
22 other matters for which he is in the Department of
23 Corrections. I would ask you to credit him back to
24 the time at which he was served the warrant in this
25 case. I think he is due that because if he had gotten

1 out on those other charges he would not have gone
2 home. He would have been in the detention center here
3 in Lancaster without bond. So I think the prevailing
4 case law would be he is entitled to that time. I
5 would ask you for that. I.

6 He does have family. I know his mother is present.
7 I don't know if she wants to address the court or not,
8 but I do want the Court to know he does have family
9 that loves him, has been no one contact with us about
10 him. His mother, grandmother, cousins, sister have
11 all been speaking with him. So he does have folks out
12 there for him. Understanding he does have some stuff
13 on his record. Your Honor and I both know it is not
14 the most egregious record that I have seen and it's
15 likely not the most egregious record that you have
16 seen, although it does have ABHAN and this burglary
17 situation.

18 Judge, I do want to say, and not just simply to
19 bash Mr. Roseborough, but to talk about that
20 situation. He of course as a said Mr. Clinton has
21 admitted to his role in that. Mr. Roseborough did not
22 contact law enforcement. As you heard his testimony
23 in the pretrial motion, he was involved in drug
24 activity and that's why he didn't report it. That's
25 what he told law enforcement in his statement. I

1 understand that does not excuse anything at all, but I
2 think the Court needs to be aware of that and what the
3 entire situation was when it takes into consideration
4 for sentencing. So, I ask you for what leniency you
5 can show for my client who has somewhat of a limited
6 record although having had some exposure in general
7 sessions court.

8 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Frick. And
9 I will ask is there anything you want to say Mr.
10 Clinton.

11 DEFENDANT CLINTON: No, sir.

12 THE COURT: Thank you. Miss Raney.

13 MS. RANEY: Thank you, Your Honor. As Your Honor
14 has heard throughout the course of this trial, my
15 client is 20 years old. He has a young daughter with
16 Miss Tinsley who you saw testify before you and a 9th
17 grade education. I have also similar to Mr. Frick
18 advised my client since he does wish to appeal to not
19 say anything during the course of sentencing as well.

20 I will say Your Honor, I actually just got to
21 know Mr. Green back in November of last year. He was
22 previously represented by a different attorney in our
23 office, so given that we had a trial date set when I
24 took over the case, frankly the bulk of my time with
25 Mr. Green has been spent focusing on this murder trial.

1 rather than the other pending charge that the
2 solicitor referenced.

3 I can tell you that in spending a lot of time with
4 Mr. Green preparing for trial he has always been
5 nothing but, you know, a model client for me to deal
6 with. I think this has been extremely difficult
7 situation not only for him but for his family. As
8 Your Honor learned during the course of the trial his
9 sister who he grew up with and all of Al's family
10 member including my conversations with Mr. Green
11 himself they viewed Miss Jones as family member. Even
12 though she was not biological so, he thought of her as
13 a sister. So this has been difficult on many levels
14 because of the personnel connection there.

15 Mr. Green's family has been here in support of him
16 all week. I looked back to his mother earlier before
17 we came up here. I think she is a little to upset to
18 address the Court but I did want to bring it to the
19 attention of the Court that he does have family
20 support. They have been in contact with me throughout
21 my representation of Mr. Green being supportive of
22 him. I would ask Your Honor to take all of that as
23 well as his young age and his minimal criminal record
24 and the bulk of the evidence pointing in this case to
25 him not being the shooter. I echo Mr. Frick's

1 previous statement that there were certainly several
2 triable issues in this case and you take that into
3 consideration as well and ask that you consider
4 showing him some leniency sentencing him in the range
5 of thirty years, Your Honor, and giving him credit for
6 the time he has been in jail which has been since he
7 has been arrested on this charge for about two years
8 now.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Raney. Anything you
10 like to say Mr. Green?

11 DEFENDANT GREEN: No, sir.

12 THE COURT: And Mr. Frick you are appointed?

13 MR. FRICK: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: And Miss Raney you are appointed?

15 MS. RANEY: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you both very much
17 for taking on this very important assignment.

18 I don't have my list, the number of murder cases I
19 have tried as judge, but I probably charged six in the
20 last eight or nine months. I probably handled
21 hundreds over the course of my career; pre-judge and
22 judge. Some things -- no murder case is less tragic
23 or more tragic, but many times there are certain facts
24 that come out during the course of a trial that you
25 can't turn a blind eye to.

1 I do take into account the young age of both of
2 these men, 26 and 20. Twenty?

3 MS. RANEY: Yes, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: They both have a 9th grade education.
5 You are correct Mr. Frick and of course Miss Raney,
6 they do not have a lengthy criminal history. The bulk
7 of the direct and circumstantial evidence of course
8 would point to Mr. Clinton as the -- lack of a better
9 work the trigger man, and point to Mr. Green under the
10 theory of the hand of one, hand of all as present
11 aiding and abetting. Technically this case would have
12 perhaps qualified as a death penalty case because it
13 appeared it would be a burglary first having gone in
14 the residence with the intent to commit a crime
15 whether they had consent or not. If they held the
16 victim captive for any period of time even on just a
17 sofa, under the State versus Hall that would be
18 kidnapping.

19 There are certain places in the world that we
20 should feel safe, the first place is our home.
21 Whether it be a trailer, condominium, a two-bedroom
22 home, or a mansion. Here you have a young lady taking
23 care of three children, ages four and under, and the
24 testimony is such that three individuals get out of a
25 borrowed or perhaps rented white Cadillac to go to an

1 unarmed innocent mother of three with her babies there
2 and place a nine millimeter so close to the left side
3 of her cheek and jaw that there is a muzzle
4 impression. You look at woman some times and you see
5 a beauty mark, but they put a death mark on her; that
6 muzzle impression. And you see funerals passing, you
7 see family members riding in Cadillacs behind the
8 hearse. In this case the Cadillac that show up was
9 like a funeral car. Her fate was sealed on the 18th.
10 And then her children endured walking around in their
11 mother's blood; from there clothes, on there feet, on
12 there body. Some wounds never heal. Some stains can
13 never be removed. And then that young woman is
14 described as quote that bitch end quote.

15 2012-GS-29-616, Devatee Tymar Clinton, the jury
16 having convicted the defendant of the crime of murder,
17 the defendant is committed to the State Department of
18 Corrections for the term of life without parole.

19 2012-GS-29-636, Al Martinez Green, the jury having
20 convicted the defendant for the crime of murder, the
21 defendant is committed to a State Department of
22 Corrections for a term of life without parole. One
23 wouldn't have happened without the other.

24 Good luck to you Mr. Green. Good luck to you Mr.
25 Clinton. Sheriff. Thank you again, Mr. Frick and Ms.

1 Raney for your service.

2 MS. RANEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

4 MR. BARFIELD: No, sir.

5 THE COURT: From the defense?

6 MR. FRICK: Nothing.

7 MS. RANEY: No, sir.

8 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much.

9 Court will be in recessed for the remainder of the
10 week.

11 (END OF TRANSCRIPT)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, the undersigned Aileen Butler, Official Court Reporter for the Seventh Judicial Circuit of the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate, and complete transcript of record of all the proceedings in the captioned case, in the Circuit Court for Lancaster County, South Carolina, on the 14th day of March, 2014.

I do further certify that I am neither of kin, counsel, nor interest to any party hereto,

May 24, 2014

Aileen Butler

AIDING AND ABETTING - ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY


THE STATE IS CONTENDING THAT DEFENDANT AL GREEN IS GUILTY OF MURDER ON A THEORY THAT HE WAS AN ACCOMPLICE. THE STATE HAS CHARGED MR. GREEN WITH MURDER. THE STATE HAS NOT CONTENDED THAT MR. GREEN FIRED ANY SHOTS, BUT THE STATE MAINTAINS THAT HE IS GUILTY AS AN ACCOMPLICE.

SO, I NEED TO EXPLAIN TO YOU THAT A PERSON CAN BE GUILTY OF A CRIME EITHER BY DIRECTLY PERPETRATING IT HIMSELF OR BY BEING PRESENT AT THE SCENE OF THE CRIME AND AIDING, ABETTING, OR ASSISTING IN THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME. THIS IS KNOWN AS ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY.

A PERSON WHO WAS PRESENT AT THE SCENE OF A CRIME AND INTENTIONALLY, OR THROUGH A COMMON PLAN, AIDED, ABETTED, OR ASSISTED IN THE COMMISSION OF THAT CRIME THROUGH SOME OVERT ACT IS GUILTY AS AN ACCOMPLICE.

TO BE LIABLE AS AN ACCOMPLICE, DEFENDANT GREEN MUST HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE OTHER PERSON'S ILLEGAL CONDUCT AND AID, ABET, OR ASSIST IN ITS COMMISSION. MERE PRESENCE AT THE SCENE OF THE CRIME IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH GUILT AS AN ACCOMPLICE. SO, A DEFENDANT'S MERE PRESENCE WHERE A CRIME IS BEING COMMITTED OR MERE ASSOCIATION WITH A PERSON WHO COMMITS A CRIME DOES NOT MAKE A DEFENDANT AN ACCOMPLICE OR AN AIDER OR ABETTOR.

TO BE PROVEN TO BE AN ACCOMPLICE, THE STATE MUST PROVE THAT THE DEFENDANT ENGAGED IN A WILLFUL, INTENTIONAL, AND KNOWING ACT OF AIDING, ABETTING OR



ASSISTING IN THE CRIME, WITH THE DEFENDANT BEING PRESENT. IT IS NOT NECESSARY THAT THERE BE ANY KIND OF FORMAL AGREEMENT. BUT IT MUST BE PROVEN BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT MR. GREEN KNEW THAT ALL THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE OF MURDER WERE OCCURING AND THAT HE INTENTIONALLY AND KNOWINGLY AIDED THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME THROUGH A JOINT EFFORT.

INTENTIONALLY MEANS TO ACT WILFULLY, INTENDING THE RESULT WHICH ACTUALLY OCCURS, NOT ACCIDENTALLY OR INVOLUNTARILY.

TO "AID" MEANS TO HELP, TO PROMOTE THE COURSE OR ACCOMPLISHMENT OF, TO GIE SUPPOR TO, OR TO GIVE ASSISTANCE TO.

TO "ABET" MEANS TO ENCOURAGE OR SUPPORT.

"ASSIST" IS A TERM THAT SHOULD BE GIVEN ITS PLAIN AND ORDINARY MEANING.

WHEN I USE THE TERM ACCOMPLICE THROUGHOUT THIS CHARGE, YOU SHOULD APPLY ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF MEETING THAT DEFINITION THAT I HAVE JUST DISCUSSED WITH YOU.

MERE PRESENCE

MERE PRESENCE AT THE SCENE IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO PROVE SOMEONE GUILTY OF A CRIME. A DEFENDANT'S PRESENCE WHERE A CRIME IS BEING COMMITTED OR MERE ASSOCIATION WITH A PERSON WHO COMMITS A CRIME DOES NOT MAKE A DEFENDANT AN ACCOMPLICE OR AN AIDER AND ABETTER OF THE PERSON COMMITTING THE CRIME.

THE BURDEN IS ON THE STATE TO PROVE EVERY ELEMENT OF THE CRIME CHARGED. IF YOU FIND, AFTER REVIEWING ALL THE EVIDENCE, THAT THE STATE HAS PROVED THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS ONLY PRESENT AT THE SCENE OF A CRIME, AND THAT THEY HAVE NOT PROVED, BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, ANY

OTHER PARTICIPATION IN THE CRIME, THEN YOU
MUST FIND THE DEFENDANT NOT GUILTY. THE
LAW IS THAT PROOF OF AT THE SCENE OF THE
CRIME IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO FIND SOMEONE
GUILTY.

HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL

IF A CRIME IS COMMITTED BY TWO OR MORE PEOPLE WHO ARE ACTING TOGETHER IN COMMITTING A CRIME, THE ACT OF ONE IS THE ACT OF ALL. A PERSON WHO JOINS WITH ANOTHER TO COMMIT AN UNLAWFUL ACT IS CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR EVERYTHING DONE BY THE OTHER PERSON WHICH HAPPENS AS A PROBABLE OR NATURAL CONSEQUENCE OF THE ACTS DONE IN CARRYING OUT THE COMMON PLAN AND PURPOSE. IF TWO OR MORE PEOPLE ARE TOGETHER, ACTING TOGETHER, ASSISTING EACH OTHER IN COMMITTING THE OFFENSE, THE ACT OF ONE IS THE ACT OF ALL OR, AS IT IS SOMETIMES SAID, "THE HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL".

PRIOR KNOWLEDGE THAT A CRIME IS GOING TO BE COMMITTED, WITHOUT MORE, IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO MAKE A PERSON GUILTY OF THAT CRIME. MERE KNOWLEDGE THAT ANOTHER PERSON IS GOING TO COMMIT A CRIME, EVEN IF THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT WHEN THE CRIME IS COMMITTED, IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO CONVICT THE DEFENDANT AS A PRINCIPAL. GUILT AS A PRINCIPAL IS SHOWN BY ACTUAL OR CONSTRUCTIVE PRESENCE AT THE SCENE AS A RESULT OF PRIOR ARRANGEMENT. THEREFORE, A FINDING OF A PRIOR ARRANGED PLAN OR COMMON SCHEME IS NECESSARY FOR A FINDING OF GUILT AS A PRINCIPAL. THE STATE MUST PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT BY COMPETENT EVIDENCE THE THEORY OF THE HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL.

A PRINCIPAL IN A CRIME IS ONE WHO EITHER ACTUALLY COMMITTS THE CRIME OR WHO IS PRESENT AIDING, ABETTING, OR ASSISTING IN COMMITTING THE CRIME. WHEN A PERSON DOES AN ACT IN THE PRESENCE OF AND WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF ANOTHER, THE ACT IS DONE BY BOTH. WHERE TWO OR MORE, ACTING WITH A COMMON PLAN OR INTENT, ARE PRESENT AT THE COMMISSION OF A CRIME, IT DOES NOT MATTER WHO ACTUALLY COMMITTS THE CRIME. ALL ARE GUILTY. THE HAND OF ONE IS THE HAND OF ALL.

PRESENT AT THE COMMISSION OF A CRIME MEANS TO BE SUFFICIENTLY NEAR TO AID AND ABET AND ASSIST IN THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME. HOWEVER, MERE PRESENCE AT THE SCENE OF A CRIME IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO CONVICT ONE AS A PRINCIPAL ON THE THEORY OF AIDING AND ABETTING. INTENT IS ALSO A NECESSARY ELEMENT, FOR THERE MUST HAVE BEEN A COMMON DESIGN OR INTENT TO COMMIT THE CRIME AND THE CRIME MUST HAVE BEEN COMMITTED PURSUANT THERETO WITH THE PERSON AIDING AND ABETTING BY SOME OVERT ACT. INTENT MEANS INTENDING THE RESULT WHICH ACTUALLY OCCURS; NOT ACCIDENTALLY OR INVOLUNTARY. INTENT MAY BE SHOWN BY ACTS AND CONDUCT OF THE DEFENDANT AND OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES FROM WHICH YOU MAY NATURALLY AND REASONABLY INFER INTENT. THE STATE MUST PROVE THESE ELEMENTS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT.

DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE:

There are two types of evidence which are generally presented during a trial—direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence directly proves the existence of a fact and does not require deduction. Circumstantial evidence is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances indicating the existence of a fact. Circumstantial evidence is based on inference and not on personal knowledge or observation

The law makes no absolutely no distinction between the weight or value to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. However, to the extent the State relies on circumstantial evidence, all of the circumstances must be consistent with each other, and when taken together, point conclusively to the guilt of the accused beyond a reasonable doubt. If these circumstances merely portray the defendant's behavior as suspicious, the proof has failed.

The State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden rests with the State regardless of whether the State relies on direct evidence, circumstantial evidence, or some combination of the two.

State v. Logan, 405S.C. 83 (2013)

WITNESSES

Catalano - LCSO #12-02271

Scump

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER/DOA

M742583 (DOA-3-9-12)

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE

Roger Mosley
Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: JUN 7 2012

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date:

DOCKET NO. 2012-GS-29-666

Frick

The State of South Carolina

County of Lancaster

2012 JUN -7 PM 12:24

CLANCASTER, SC

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

JUNE TERM 2012

**THE STATE
vs.**

Devatee Tymar Clinton

Wayne Blakeney, Jr. 607

Al Green 636

Indictment for

Murder

SC Code: §16-3-10
CDR Code: 0116
Class: Felony, EXM

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF LANCASTER)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on June 7, 2012, the Grand Jurors of Lancaster County present upon their oath:

mur. 2/1/12
Clinton **MURDER**

That Devatee Tymar ~~Clingen~~ did at [REDACTED] Roseanna Lane, in Lancaster County on or about January 19, 2012, feloniously, willfully, and of his malice aforethought kill and murder Jenika Jaraya Jones by shooting the victim in the head and the victim did die as the proximate cause thereof then and there, in violation of Section 16-3-10 of the *Code of Laws of South Carolina*.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



Douglas A. Barfield, Jr., SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

Catalano - LCSO #12-02271

Adams

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER/DOA

M742583 (DOA-3-9-12)

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

Foreperson of Grand Jury

Date:

VERDICT

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date:

MAR 06 2014

DOCKET NO. 2012-GS-29-616

The State of South Carolina

County of Lancaster

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

MARCH TERM 2014

THE STATE

vs.

Devatee Tymar Clinton

**Amended
Indictment for**

Murder

SC Code: §16-3-10

CDR Code: 0116

Class: Felony, EXM

R - 680

FILED
OFFICE OF CLERK
OF COURT

2014 MAR -6 PM 2:24

CLERK OF COURT
LANCASTER, SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF LANCASTER)

AMENDED INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on March 6, 2014, the Grand Jurors of Lancaster County present upon their oath:

MURDER

That Devatee Tymar Clinton did at [REDACTED] Roseanna Lane, in Lancaster County on or about January 19, 2012, feloniously, willfully, and of his malice aforethought kill and murder Jenika Jaraya Jones by shooting the victim in the head and the victim did die as the proximate cause thereof then and there, in violation of Section 16-3-10 of the *Code of Laws of South Carolina*.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



Douglas A. Barfield, Jr., SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF LANCASTER

STATE

VS.

Devatee Tymar Clinton

AKA:

Race: B Sex: M Age: 26

DOB: 87 SS#: [REDACTED]

Address: Roseanna Lane

City, State, Zip: Lancaster, SC 29720

DL# SID#

*CDL Yes ☐ No ☐ CMV Yes ☐ No ☐ Hazmat Yes ☐ No ☐In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was
TO: Murder

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2012-GS-29-616

A/W#: M742583

Date of Offense: 1/19/12

S.C. Code §: 16-3-10

CDR Code #: 0116

SENTENCE SHEET

In violation of § 16-3-10 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0116

☐ NON-VIOLENT ☒ VIOLENT ☐ SERIOUS ☒ MOST SERIOUS ☐ Mandatory GPS ☐ §17-25-45(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act)The charge is: ☒ As indicted, ☐ Lesser Included Offense,☐ Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.

(def.'s initials)

The plea is: ☐ Without Negotiations or Recommendation,☐ Negotiated Sentence,☐ Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:

514

Solicitor

SC Bar #

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

SC Bar #

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the ☒ State Department of Corrections ☐ County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of LWOP days/months/years or ☐ under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed _____ years
and/or to pay a fine of \$ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years and or payment
of \$ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*, the balance is suspended with probation for _____
months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are
incorporated by reference.

☐ CONCURRENT or ☐ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____☐ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State
Department of Corrections.☐ The Defendant is to be placed on Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal
Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

☐ RESTITUTION: ☐ Deferred ☐ Def. Waives Hearing ☐ Ordered

PTUP: _____

Total: \$ _____ plus 20% fee: \$ _____

_____ days/hours Public Service Employment

Payment Terms: _____

☐ Set by SCDPPPS

Recipient: _____

*Fine:

§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%)	\$	
§14-1-211 (A)(1)(Conv. Surcharge)	\$100	\$
§14-1-211 (A)(2)(DUI Surcharge)	\$100	\$
§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	\$12	\$
§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	\$25	\$
Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob)	\$500	\$
§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	\$25	\$
§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	\$150	\$
§50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee)	\$50	\$
§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	\$40/ea	\$
Proviso 90.5 (SCCA Surcharge)	\$5	\$
3% to County (if paid in installments)	\$	\$
TOTAL	\$	\$

Clerk of Court/Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter: [Signature]Obtain GED ☐

Attend Voc. Rehab. Or Job Corp. _____

May serve W/E beginning

Substance Abuse Counseling ☐Random Drug/Alcohol Testing ☐

Fine may be pd. in equal consecutive weekly/monthly

pmts. of \$ _____ Beginning

\$ _____ Paid to Public Defender Fund

Other: _____

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel,
\$47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk
during probation.

Presiding Judge [Signature]Judge Code: 12145Sentence Date 14 March 14

SOUTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

FORENSIC SERVICES LABORATORY REPORT

NIKKI R. HALEY
Governor



MARK A. KEEL
Chief

Lt. Christy Rogers
Lancaster County Sheriff's Office
P.O. Box 908
Lancaster, SC 29720

FIREARMS DEPARTMENT

March 15, 2012
SLED LAB: L12-01205
Your Case No: 1202271
Incident Date: 1/19/2012
[V-Deceased] Jenika Jones

This is an official report of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division Forensic Services Laboratory and is to be used in connection with an official criminal investigation. These examinations were conducted under your assurance that no previous examinations of person(s) or evidence submitted in this case have been or will be conducted by any other laboratory or agency.

Mark A. Keel, Chief
South Carolina Law Enforcement Division

ITEMS OF EVIDENCE:

Item: 1 One fired 380 Auto caliber cartridge case.

RESULTS:

Item 1 may be suitable for identification with a specific firearm.


Item: 4 One fired bullet (taken from victims head at autopsy).

RESULTS:

Examination of Item 4 revealed that it was most consistent with bullets loaded into some 380 Auto caliber cartridges and may be suitable for identification with a specific firearm. Based on the general rifling characteristics, the only currently known firearm that could have fired Item 4 was a Hi-Point.

Item 1 was entered into the Integrated Ballistics Identification System (IBIS). Should any "hits" be developed against this entry, you will be notified. Please retain this item for a minimum of two years in order to maintain its availability for any future comparisons related to IBIS activity.

Item 2 was not entered into the Integrated Ballistics Identification System (IBIS) as it did not meet the current SLED criteria for entry.



P.O. Box 21398, Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398 Phone (803) 896-7300 Fax (803) 896-7351

R - 683



Suzann F. Cromer
Forensic Scientist



SOUTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

FORENSIC SERVICES LABORATORY REPORT


NIKKI R. HALEY
Governor



MARK A. KEEL
Chief

Lt. Christy Rogers
Lancaster County Sheriff's Office
P.O. Box 908
Lancaster, SC 29720

DNA ANALYSIS
February 15, 2012
SLED LAB: L12-01205
Your Case No: 1202271
Incident Date: 1/19/2012
[V-Deceased] Jenika Jones



This is an official report of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division Forensic Services Laboratory and is to be used in connection with an official criminal investigation. These examinations were conducted under your assurance that no previous examinations of person(s) or evidence submitted in this case have been or will be conducted by any other laboratory or agency.

Mark A. Keel, Chief
South Carolina Law Enforcement Division

SEROLOGY ANALYSIS

Items Submitted:

Results of Examinations:

1	.380 casing	1	See DNA analysis.
2	Swabs from underside of storm door handle-exterior	2	See DNA analysis.
3	Swabs from door knob on main door-exterior	3	See DNA analysis.
6.1	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.1	No human blood identified. See DNA analysis.
6.2	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.2	No human blood identified. See DNA analysis.
6.3	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.3	No human blood identified. See DNA analysis.



P.O. Box 21398, Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398 Phone (803) 896-7300 Fax (803) 896-7351

Items Submitted:

6.4 Swab from jumpsuit
6.5 Debris from jumpsuit
6.6 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.7 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.8 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.9 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.10 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.11 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.12 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.13 Cutting from jumpsuit
6.14 Cutting from jumpsuit
8 Buccal swabs from Ponp Blackmon
9 Blood standard from Jenika Jones
9.1 Dried blood standard from Jenika Jones

Results of Examinations:

6.4 See DNA analysis.
6.5 No hair suitable for STR PCR DNA analysis.
6.6 No human blood identified.
6.7 No human blood identified.
6.8 No human blood identified.
6.9 No human blood identified.
6.10 No human blood identified.
6.11 No human blood identified.
6.12 No human blood identified.
6.13 No human blood identified.
6.14 No human blood identified.
8 See DNA analysis.
9 See DNA analysis.
9.1 No analysis performed.

DNA ANALYSIS

ITEMS ANALYZED:

8 Buccal swabs from Ponp Blackmon
9 Blood standard from Jenika Jones

1 .380 casing
2 Swabs from underside of storm door handle-exterior
3 Swabs from door knob on main door- exterior
6.1 Cutting from jumpsuit



- 6.2 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.3 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.4 Swab from jumpsuit

EXAMINATIONS

DNA analysis was performed on the items above. The results of Short Tandem Repeat (STR) PCR DNA analysis are shown in Table 1.

RESULTS

The DNA profile developed from item 1 matches the DNA profile of Jenika Jones. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual having a DNA profile matching this item is approximately 1 in 8.9 quadrillion.

The DNA profile developed from item 2 is a mixture of at least three individuals. Jenika Jones cannot be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon can be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 3 is a mixture of at least two individuals. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon can be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.1 is a mixture of at least three individuals. Jenika Jones and Ponp Blackmon can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.2 is from an unidentified male individual.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.3 is a mixture of at least three individuals. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon can be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture.


The DNA profile developed from item 6.4 is a mixture of at least four individuals. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon can be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture.



SLED LAB No. L12-01205
February 15, 2012

Page 4 of 5

Note: Any remaining evidence and/or packaging will be returned to the requesting agency.



Maryann E. Boehm
Forensic Scientist



P.O. Box 21398, Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398 Phone (803) 896-7300 Fax (803) 896-7351

R - 688

Table 1 – Identifier

Items	D8S1179	D21S11	D7S820	CSFPO	D3S1358	TH01	D13S317	D16S539	D2S1338	D19S433	VWA	TPOX	D18S51	D5S818	FGA	Amelogenin
8- Pomp Blackmon	10,15	28,35	7,10	10,11	15,16	7	11	10,12	16,24	12,2,16	15,17	8	13,16	11,13	23	XY
9 Jenika Jones	14	28,30,2	8	10,12	15,17	8,9	12	12	21,22	12,2,16,2	15,18	8	17,18	10,11	23,24	X
1 380 casing	14	28,30,2	8	10,12	15,17	8,9	12	12	21,22	12,2,16,2	15,18	8	17,18	10,11	23,24	X
2 Storm door	12,13; 14,16	28,(29), 30,30,2, 33,2	8,(9), 10,(11), 12	(8),10, 12,13	15,16, 17	7,8, 9	8,11, 12,(13)	9,10, 11,12	(21),22, 23	(12),12,2, 13,14, (15,2), (16,2)	15,16, 17,18, 19	8,11, 12	13,16, 17,(18), 19	10,11, 12,13	(18),20, 21,24, (25)	XY
3 Main door	12,(13), 14,(16)	28,(29), (30)	8,(9), (10)	(10),12	15,16, 17	6,(7), 8,9	(11),12	(11)	(22)	12,2,14, 16,2	15,(16), (17), (18)	(8),(12)	(18)	10,(11), 12	(23)	XY
6.1 Jumpsuit	12,13, 14,(15), 16	(28),29, 30	(8),(9), 10,(11)	(10),11, 12	(14),(15), 16,(17)	(6),7, 8	(11),12	9,(11), (12)	(18),19, (22),(23)	(12,2),13, 14	15,(16), (18)	8,(9), 11	15,(16), (18)	(8),11, 12,(13)	(19), (23)	XY
6.2 Jumpsuit	14	28	10,11	11,12	14	7,8	11,12	11,(13)	(19),(24)	13,2,14	16,17	6,11	(15),18	13	25,26	XY
6.3 Jumpsuit	11,12, 13,14, (15)	28,29, 30,33,2	8,9, 10	7,10, 11,12	14,15, 16,17	6,7, 8,(9)	11,12, (13)	(10),11, 12,13, 14	15,17, (18),19, 21,(22), (25)	12,2,13, 14,16,2	15,(16), 17,18	8,11, 12	15,16, 17,18	8,10, 12,13	19,20, 22,23	XY
6.4 Jumpsuit	11,12, 13,14, 15,16	27,28, 29,30, (31)	8,9, 10,11, (12)	7,10, 11,12	14,15, 16,17	6,7, 8,9	11,12, (13)	9,11, 12,13, 14	15,17, 18,19, 20,21, 22,23, 24	11,(12), 13,13,2, 14,16,2	15,16, 17,18	6,8, (9),10, 11,12	15,16, 17,18	8,10, 11,12, 13	19,(20), (21),22, 23,24, 25	XY

() = alleles between 75 and 149 rfu



P.O. Box 21398, Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398 Phone (803) 896-7300 Fax (803) 896-7351

SOUTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

FORENSIC SERVICES LABORATORY REPORT

NIKKI R. HALEY
Governor



MARK A. KEEL
Chief

Lt. Christy Rogers
Lancaster County Sheriff's Office
P.O. Box 908
Lancaster, SC 29720

DNA ANALYSIS
January 10, 2014
SLED LAB: L12-01205
Your Case No: 1202271
Incident Date: 1/19/2012
[V-Deceased] Jenika Jones
[S] Wayne Blakeney
[S] Delrico Mcdow
[S] Al Green

This is an official report of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division Forensic Services Laboratory and is to be used in connection with an official criminal investigation. These examinations were conducted under your assurance that no previous examinations of person(s) or evidence submitted in this case have been or will be conducted by any other laboratory or agency.

Mark A. Keel, Chief
South Carolina Law Enforcement Division

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

DNA ANALYSIS

ITEMS ANALYZED:

- 8 Buccal swabs from Ponp Blackmon
- 9 Blood standard from Jenika Jones
- 11 Buccal swabs from Wayne Anthony Blakeney, Jr.
- 16 Buccal swabs from Delrico Mcdow
- 17 Buccal swabs from Al Martinez Green
- 18 Buccal swabs from Devatee Tymar Clinton
- 1 .380 casing
- 2 Swabs from underside of storm door handle-exterior
- 3 Swabs from door knob on main door- exterior
- 6.1 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.2 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.3 Cutting from jumpsuit



P.O. Box 21398, Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398 Phone (803) 896-7300 Fax (803) 896-7351

- 6.4 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 12.2 Cutting from portion of seat belt

EXAMINATIONS

DNA analysis was performed on the items above. The results of Short Tandem Repeat (STR) PCR DNA analysis are shown in Table 1.

RESULTS

The DNA profile developed from item 1 matches the DNA profile of Jenika Jones. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual having a DNA profile matching this item is approximately 1 in 8.9 quadrillion.

The DNA profile developed from item 2 is a mixture of at least three individuals. Jenika Jones and Delrico Mcdow cannot be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual who could have contributed to this mixture is approximately 1 in 27. Ponp Blackmon, Wayne Blakeney, Jr, Al Martinez Green, and Devatee Tymar Clinton can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 3 is a mixture of at least two individuals. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones or Devatee Tymar Clinton as possible contributors to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon, Wayne Blakeney, Jr., Delrico Mcdow, and Al Martinez Green can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.1 is a mixture of at least three individuals. Jenika Jones, Ponp Blackmon, Wayne Blakeney, Jr., Delrico Mcdow, Al Martinez Green, and Devatee Tymar Clinton can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.2 is from an unidentified male individual.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.3 is a mixture of at least two individuals. Devatee Tymar Clinton cannot be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual who could have contributed to this mixture is approximately 1 in 580. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon, Wayne Blakeney, Jr., Delrico Mcdow, and Al Martinez Green can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.


The DNA profile developed from item 6.4 is a mixture of at least four individuals. Devatee Tymar Clinton cannot be excluded as a possible contributor to this mixture. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual who could have contributed to this mixture is approximately 1 in 12. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones, Delrico Mcdow, or Al Martinez Green as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blackmon and Wayne Blakeney, Jr. can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.



January 10, 2014

The DNA profile developed from item 12.2 is from a second unidentified male individual.

Note: Any remaining evidence and/or packaging will be returned to the requesting agency.



Maryann E. Boehm
Forensic Scientist



Table 1 - Identifier

Items	D8S1179	D21S11	D7S820	CSF1PO	D3S1358	TH01	D13S317	D16S539	D2S1338	D19S433	vWA	TPOX	D18S51	D5S818	FGA	Amelogenin
8 Ponp Blackmon	10,15	28,35	7,10	10,11	15,16	7	11	10,12	16,24	12,2,16	15,17	8	13,16	11,13	23	XY
9 Jenika Jones	14	28,30,2	8	10,12	15,17	8,9	12	12	21,22	12,2,16,2	15,18	8	17,18	10,11	23,24	X
11 Wayne Blakeney Jr.	8,12	30,32	8,10	11,12	14,16	6,8	12	11,14	20,23	13,14	17	8,11	12,20	11,12	24,27	XY
16 Delrico Meadow	13,14	28,33,2	10,12	10,13	16	7	12,13	9,11	23	13	15,17	8,11	13,17	11,13	20,21	XY
17 Al Green	11,14	30,32	10	7,11	16,17	7	12	9,13	19,21	11,14	15,19	9,12	18,19	8,12	23,24	XY
18 Devafee Clinton	12	28,30	8,9	7,12	16,17	6,8	12	11,14	15,17	14,16,2	15,17	11,12	16,18	12	19,23	XY
1 .380 casing	14	28,30,2	8	10,12	15,17	8,9	12	12	21,22	12,2,16,2	15,18	8	17,18	10,11	23,24	X
2 Storm door	12,13, 14,16	28,(29), 30,30,2, 33,2	8,(9), 10,(11), 12	(8),10, 12,13	15,16, 17	7,8, 9	8,11, 12,(13)	9,10, 11,12	(21),22, 23	(12),12,2, 13,14, (15,2), (16,2)	15,16, 17,18, 19	8,11, 12	13,16, 17,(18), 19	10,11, 12,13	(18),20, 21,24, (25)	XY
3 Main door	12,(13), 14,(16)	28,(29), (30)	8,(9), (10)	(10),12	15,16, 17	6,(7), 8,9	(11),12	(11)	(22)	12,2,14, 16,2	15,(16), (17), (18)	(8),(12)	(18)	10,(11), 12	(23)	XY
6.1 Jumpsuit	12,13, 14,(15), 16	(28),29, 30	(8),(9), 10,(11)	(10),11, 12	(14),(15), 16,(17)	(6),7, 8	(11),12	9,(11), (12)	(18),19, (22),(23)	(12,2),13, 14	15,(16), (18)	8,(9), 11	15,(16), (18)	(8),11, 12,(13)	(19), (23)	XY
6.2 Jumpsuit	14	28	10,11	11,12	14	7,8	11,12	11,(13)	(19),(24)	13,2,14	16,17	6,11	(15),18	13	25,26	XY
6.3 Jumpsuit	11,12, 13,14, (15)	28,29, 30,33,2	8,9, 10	7,10, 11,12	14,15, 16,17	6,7, 8,(9)	11,12, (13)	(10),11, 12,13, 14	15,17, (18),19, 21,(22), (25)	12,2,13, 14,16,2	15,(16), 17,18	8,11, 12	15,16, 17,18	8,10, 12,13	19,20, 22,23	XY



Table 1 – Identifier

Items	D8S1179	D21S11	D7S820	CSF1PO	D3S1358	TH01	D13S317	D16S539	D2S1338	D19S433	vWA	TPOX	D18S51	D5S818	FGA	Amelogenin
6.4 Jumpsuit	11,12, 13,14, 15,16	27,28, 29,30, (31)	8,9, 10,11, (12)	7,10, 11,12	14,15, 16,17	6,7, 8,9	11,12, (13)	9,11, 12,13, 14	15,17, 18,19, 20,21, 22,23, 24	11,(12), 13,13.2, 14,16.2	15,16, 17,18	6,8, (9),10, 11,12	15,16, 17,18	8,10, 11,12, 13	19,(20), (21),22, 23,24, 25	XY
12.2 Seat belt	14,16	28	11,12	11,12	14,16	7,9	11,12	11,12	21	12,13.2	18	7,11	18,19	12,13	23	X

() = alleles between 75 and 149 rfu

- = no results

Bold = major contributor

Inc = inconclusive



P.O. Box 21398, Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398 Phone (803) 896-7300 Fax (803) 896-7351

SOUTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

FORENSIC SERVICES LABORATORY REPORT

NIKKI R. HALEY
Governor



MARK A. KEEL
Chief

Lt. Christy Rogers
Lancaster County Sheriff's Office
P.O. Box 908
Lancaster, SC 29720

DNA ANALYSIS
July 19, 2012
SLED LAB: L12-01205
Your Case No: 1202271
Incident Date: 1/19/2012
[V-Deceased] Jenika Jones
[S] Wayne Blakeney
[S] Delrico McDow

This is an official report of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division Forensic Services Laboratory and is to be used in connection with an official criminal investigation. These examinations were conducted under your assurance that no previous examinations of person(s) or evidence submitted in this case have been or will be conducted by any other laboratory or agency.

Mark A. Keel, Chief
South Carolina Law Enforcement Division

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

SEROLOGY ANALYSIS

Items Submitted:

Results of Examinations:

1	.380 casing	1	See DNA analysis.
2	Swabs from underside of storm door handle-exterior	2	See DNA analysis.
3	Swabs from door knob on main door-exterior	3	See DNA analysis.
6.1	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.1	No human blood identified. See DNA analysis.
6.2	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.2	No human blood identified. See DNA analysis.



Items Submitted:

Results of Examinations:

6.3	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.3	No human blood identified. See DNA analysis.
6.4	Cutting from jumpsuit	6.4	See DNA analysis.
8	Buccal swabs from Ponp Blackmon	8	See DNA analysis.
9	Blood standard from Jenika Jones	9	See DNA analysis.
11	Buccal swabs from Wayne Anthony Blakeney, Jr.	11	See DNA analysis.
12.1	Cutting from portion of seat belt	12.1	No human blood identified.
12.2	Cutting from portion of seat belt	12.2	See DNA analysis.
13	Cutting from stained fabric from front passenger seat	13	No human blood identified.
14	Cutting from stained fabric from front driver seat	14	No human blood identified.
15	Swabs of red/brown stains from rear seat		
15.1	Swabs of red/brown stains from rear seat- seat section	15.1	No human blood identified.
15.2	Swabs of red/brown stains from rear seat- back rest	15.2	No human blood identified.
15.3	Swabs of red/brown stains from rear seat- back rest	15.3	No human blood identified.
16	Buccal swabs from Delrico Mcdow	16	See DNA analysis.



DNA ANALYSIS

ITEMS ANALYZED:

- 8 Buccal swabs from Ponp Blackmon
- 9 Blood standard from Jenika Jones
- 11 Buccal swabs from Wayne Anthony Blakeney, Jr.
- 16 Buccal swabs from Delrico Mcdow

- 1 .380 casing
- 2 Swabs from underside of storm door handle-exterior
- 3 Swabs from door knob on main door- exterior
- 6.1 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.2 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.3 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 6.4 Cutting from jumpsuit
- 12.2 Cutting from portion of seat belt

EXAMINATIONS

DNA analysis was performed on the items above. The results of Short Tandem Repeat (STR) PCR DNA analysis are shown in Table 1.

RESULTS

The DNA profile developed from item 1 matches the DNA profile of Jenika Jones. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual having a DNA profile matching this item is approximately 1 in 8.9 quadrillion.

The DNA profile developed from item 2 is a mixture of at least three individuals. Jenika Jones and Delrico Mcdow cannot be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture. The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual who could have contributed to this mixture is approximately 1 in 27. Ponp Blankmon and Wayne Blakeney Jr. can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 3 is a mixture of at least two individuals. No conclusive statement can be made regarding the inclusion or exclusion of Jenika Jones as a possible contributor to this mixture. Ponp Blankmon, Wayne Blakeney Jr., and Delrico Mcdow can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.

The DNA profile developed from item 6.1 is a mixture of at least three individuals. Jenika Jones, Ponp Blackmon, Wayne Blakeney Jr., and Delrico Mcdow can be excluded as possible contributors to this mixture.



Table 1 – Identifier

Items	D8S1179	D21S11	D7S820	CSF1PO	D3S1358	TH01	D13S317	D16S539	D2S1338	D19S433	vWA	TPOX	D18S51	D5S818	FGA	Amelogenin
8 Pomp Blackmon	10,15	28,35	7,10	10,11	15,16	7	11	10,12	16,24	12,2,16	15,17	8	13,16	11,13	23	XY
9 Jenika Jones	14	28,30,2	8	10,12	15,17	8,9	12	12	21,22	12,2,16,2	15,18	8	17,18	10,11	23,24	X
11 Wayne Blakeney Jr.	8,12	30,32	8,10	11,12	14,16	6,8	12	14,14	20,23	13,14	17	8,11	12,20	11,12	24,27	XY
16 Delrico McDow	13,14	28,33,2	10,12	10,13	16	7	12,13	9,11	23	13	15,17	8,11	13,17	11,13	20,21	XY
1 380 casing	14	28,30,2	8	10,12	15,17	8,9	12	12	21,22	12,2,16,2	15,18	8	17,18	10,11	23,24	X
2 Storm door	12,13, 14,16	28,(29), 30,30,2, 33,2	8,(9), 10,(11), 12	(8),10, 12,13	15,16, 17	7,8, 9	8,11, 12,(13)	9,10, 11,12	(21),22, 23	(12),12,2, 13,14, (15,2), (16,2)	15,16, 17,18, 19	8,11, 12	13,16, 17,(18), 19	10,11, 12,13	(18),20, 21,24, (25)	XY
3 Main door	12,(13), 14,(16)	28,(29), (30)	8,(9), (10)	(10),12	15,16, 17	6,(7), 8,9	(11),12	(11)	(22)	12,2,14, 16,2	15,(16), (17), (18)	(8),(12)	(18)	10,(11), 12	(23)	XY
6.1 Jumpsuit	12,13, 14,(15), 16	(28),29, 30	(8),(9), 10,(11)	(10),11, 12	(14),(15), 16,(17)	(6),7, 8	(11),12	9,(11), (12)	(18),19, (22),(23)	(12,2),13, 14	15,(16), (18)	8,(9), 11	15,(16), (18)	(8),11, 12,(13)	(19), (23)	XY
6.2 Jumpsuit	14	28	10,11	11,12	14	7,8	11,12	11,(13)	(19),(24)	13,2,14	16,17	6,11	(15),18	13	25,26	XY
6.3 Jumpsuit	11,12, 13,14, (15)	28,29, 30,33,2	8,9, 10	7,10, 11,12	14,15, 16,17	6,7, 8,(9)	11,12, (13)	(10),11, 12,13, 14	15,17, (18),19, 21,(22), (25)	12,2,13, 14,16,2	15,(16), 17,18	8,11, 12	15,16, 17,18	8,10, 12,13	19,20, 22,23	XY



Table 1 – Identifier

Items	D8S1179	D21S11	D7S820	CSFPO	D3S1358	TH01	D13S317	D16S539	D2S1338	D19S433	vWA	TPOX	D18S51	D5S818	FGA	Amelogenin
6.4 Jumpsuit	11,12, 13,14, 15,16	27,28, 29,30, (31)	8,9, 10,11, (12)	7,10, 11,12	14,15, 16,17	6,7, 8,9	11,12, (13)	9,11, 12,13, 14	15,17, 18,19, 20,21, 22,23, 24	11,(12), 13,13.2, 14,16.2	15,16, 17,18	6,8, (9),10, 11,12	15,16, 17,18	8,10, 11,12, 13	19,(20), (21),22, 23,24, 25	XY
12.2 Seat belt	14,16	28	11,12	11,12	14,16	7,9	11,12	11,12	21	12,13.2	18	7,11	18,19	12,13	23	X

() = alleles between 75 and 149 rfu



THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM LANCASTER COUNTY
Court of General Sessions

R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

Case No(s): 2012-GS-29-616

The State of South Carolina,
Devatee Tymar Clinton,

v.

Respondent.
Appellant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Devatee Tymar Clinton appeals his conviction and sentence in this case. The sentence was imposed by the Honorable R. Knox McMahon on March 14, 2014.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT this appeal shall be upon a case and exceptions to be served upon you and filed hereafter in accordance with the rules of the court and laws in such cases made and provided.

Date: March 18, 2014


William P. Frick, Esq.
Public Defender
Post Office Box 1809
Lancaster, SC 29721
SC Bar #69739

Other Counsel of Record:
Douglas A. Barfield, Jr.
Solicitor
6th Judicial Circuit
Post Office 607
Lancaster, SC 29720

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2014

SC Court of Appeals

FILED
OFFICE OF CLERK
OF COURT
2014 MAR 18 PM 2:45
CLERK OF COURT
LANCASTER, SC

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM LANCASTER COUNTY
Court of General Sessions

R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

Case No(s): 2012-GS-29-616

The State of South Carolina,

Respondent.

Devatee Tymar Clinton,

v.

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on the Respondents by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on March 18, 2014, addressed to Douglas A. Barfield, Jr., Solicitor, Office of the Solicitor, 6th Judicial Circuit, Post Office Box 607, Lancaster, SC 29720.

Date: March 18, 2014

Leah Stinger

Leah Stinger
Secretary for William P. Frick, Esq.
Post Office Box 1809
Lancaster, SC 29721

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2014

SC Court of Appeals

FILED
OFFICE OF CLERK
OF COURT
2014 MAR 18 PM 2:45
CLERK OF COURT
LANCASTER, SC

**SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE**

Post Office Box 1809
Lancaster, South Carolina 29720
Telephone/Fax No.: (803) 285-5585

March 18, 2014

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State of South Carolina, Respondent vs. Devatee Tymar Clinton, Appellant

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Please be advised that with this letter I am filing:

1. Notice of Appeal and two (2) copies; and
2. Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and two (2) copies

Please return clocked copies of the Notice of Appeal and Proof of Service in the envelope provided.

Yours very truly,


William P. Frick
Attorney for the Appellant

Enclosures

cc: Douglas A. Barfield, Jr., Solicitor, Sixth Judicial Circuit (w/ enclosures)

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2014

SC Court of Appeals

**Public Defender's Office
Sixth Judicial Circuit**

Post Office Box 1809
Lancaster, SC 29721

CHARLOTTE NC 282

13 MAR 2014 PM 1 T

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2014


SC Court of Appeals

The Hon. Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
PO Box 11629
Columbia SC 29211



R - 703

2921131629



THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM LANCASTER COUNTY
R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2014-000594

The State, ...Respondent,


v.

Devatee Tymar Clinton, ...Appellant.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

The undersigned hereby certifies that the Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties, material required by Rule 210(c), SCACR, and not any other material.

Chad N. Johnston
WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.
Post Office Box 8416
Columbia, South Carolina 29202-8416
(803) 252-3300

By: 

Robert M. Dudek
Chief Appellate Defender
**SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION
ON INDIGENT DEFENSE**
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(803) 734-1330

Attorneys for Appellant

Columbia, South Carolina
May 27, 2015

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

 ORIGINAL

Appeal from Lancaster County

R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

MAY 27 2015

SC Court of Appeals
RESPONDENT,

THE STATE,

V.


DEVATEE TYMAR CLINTON,

APPELLANT


APPELLATE CASE NO. 2014-000594

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true copy of the Record on Appeal in the above referenced case has been served upon William Edgar Salter, III., Esquire, at the Rembert Dennis Building, 1000 Assembly Street, Room 519, Columbia, SC 29201 this 27th day of May, 2015.


Cruise Mitchell
Administrative Specialist

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me
this 27th day of May, 2015.

 (L.S.)
Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission Expires: October 30, 2022.