



Dorothy Sistrunk

423 Bayne Street • Orangeburg, SC 29115 • Ph: 803-268-0716 • Fx: 803-534-6727

**Correspondence with Court Reporter
Re: Transcripts**

June 4, 2015

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
1015 Sumter Street
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
Re: Civil Case No. 2011-CP-38-1392
Appellate Case No. 2015-001112

RECEIVED
JUN 10 2015
SC Court of Appeals

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Pursuant to Rule 207(a)(1), SCACR, that clearly states in pertinent parts;

“[A]ppellant shall contemporaneously furnish all counsel of record, the Office of Court Administration, and the clerk of the appellate court with copies of all correspondence with the court reporter. Unless the parties otherwise agree in writing, appellant must order a transcript of the entire proceedings below.”

This correspondence shall conclude my relationship with the Court Reporter for the February 18, 2015 hearing. I will not be ordering any transcripts because my evidence was not discussed on February 18, 2015 or during any other hearing over a 4 year period. Therefore, there is no need to order transcripts. In addition, Rule 56(c), SCRCR clearly states in pertinent parts;

“[T]he judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law.”

I must submit a **Statement of the Evidence**. My filed Notarized and/or Verified Pleadings; along with my filed Exhibits and Affidavits have more than enough evidentiary material for Appellate review. My Pleadings, Affidavits and Exhibits prove conclusively summary judgment should have been denied and this case submitted to a jury. This is in accordance with;

Wercinski v. International Business Machines Corp., 982 F. Supp. 449 (Dist. Court, S.D. Tex.1997) “[T]he nonmoving party must come forward with ‘specific facts showing that there is a *genuine issue for trial.*’” Matsushita, 475 U.S. at 586-87, 106 S.Ct. at 1356 (quoting FED.R.CIV.P. 56(e)) (emphasis in original); Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 2552, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986); Leonard, 828 F.2d at 294. To sustain the burden, the nonmoving party must produce evidence admissible at trial. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 255, 106 S.Ct. at 2514; Thomas v. Price, 975 F.2d 231, 235 (5th Cir.1992) (“To avoid a summary judgment, the nonmoving party must adduce admissible evidence which creates a fact issue....”).”

On June 1, 2015, I called Judge Jackson’s office. The phone number is 803-533-6286. I was told by his secretary that there was no transcript and I would have to contact the Court Reporter. Judge Jackson’s secretary gave me the phone number; 803-252-3445 and the address; 1230 Richland Street, Columbia, SC 29201.

Also on June 1, 2015, I called 803-252-3445 and spoke to Crystal Kaminer at approximately 1:56 pm. Ms. Kaminer stated that Moore & Van Allen paid \$150.00 for the Court Reporter; however, the transcript was not transcribed. Ms. Kaminer stated I could have it transcribed. She gave me an estimate of about \$5.25 per page. According to Ms. Kaminer, a page is about 1 minute long. Therefore, for an hour or an hour and a half, it would be about 60 pages, and the cost would be approximately \$300.00. However, she needed to talk with the Court Reporter to see how long the hearing lasted and instructed me to call back tomorrow. Tomorrow was June 2, 2015.

At approximately 12:44 pm, on June 2, 2015, I called Crystal Kaminer. However, she was out of the office. On June 3, 2015, I was busy at work and did not call. At approximately 12:40 pm, on June 4, 2015, I called Ms Kaminer and she gave me the following information. I could have a transcript of the February 18, 2015 hearing for \$350.00. Since my evidence was not discussed, there is no need for me to pay \$350.00 for a document that has no relevance to my defense or this appeal.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dorothy Harley Sistrunk
Dorothy Harley Sistrunk

423 Bayne Street

Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115

(803) 268-0716

cc: Moore & Van Allen, PLLC
Reid E. Dyer
78 Wentworth Street
Office Box 22828 (29413-2828)
Charleston, SC 29401-1428
Ph: 843-579-7045 Fx: 843-579-8754
Attorney/s for Respondent South Carolina Federal Credit Union (SCFCU)

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, SC 29115

COLUMBIA SC 290

08 JUN 2015 PM 2 L



RECEIVED

JUN 10 2015

SC Court of Appeals

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

29211162929

