

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....	ii
I. Statement of the Issues on Appeal.....	1
II. Statement of the Case.....	2
III. Statement of the Facts.....	4
IV. Legal Arguments and Authorities.....	8
A. The ALC’s conclusion that the Sumerel Poultry Farm is a “small animal feeding operation” subject to the lesser 200 foot property line setback is not supported by the substantial evidence presented at trial and affected by errors of law	8
1. The preponderance of reliable evidence presented at trial established that the average exit weight for the Sumerel facility would be at least 9 pounds making it a “large animal feeding operation”.....	10
2. The ALC’s conclusion on the average live weight issue relies upon double hearsay testimony.....	19
3. The ALC erred in excluding Dr. Parkhurst’s Testimony regarding the AgraMetrics Report.....	21
4. The applicable regulatory definitions makes the Sumerel Poultry Farm a “large animal feeding operation”	22
B. The ALC erred in finding that DHEC conducted a full and adequate evaluation of the proposed animal feeding operation as required under the applicable statutes and regulations, including S.C. Reg. 61-43 Part 200.70(F)	23
1. Dr. Hargett’s expert opinion on water impacts is not	
2. Undermined by Appellants’ poultry science expert.....	32
3. DHEC failed to consider the “slope of the land” as required Under S.C. Reg. 61-43 Part 200.70(F)(7).....	33
4. The CNMP’s Odor and Vector Abatement provisions Are insufficient.....	35
V. Conclusion.....	38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Leventis v. South Carolina Dep't of Health and Envtl. Control</i> , 340 S.C. 118, 132-33, 530 S.E.2d 643, 651 (Ct. App. 2000).....	8
<i>South Carolina Coastal Conservation League v. South Carolina Dept. Health and Envt'l Control</i> , 2003 WL 22873168 at *18 (Jan. 9, 2013)	9
<i>Frazier v. Fraizer</i> , 228 S.C. 149, 89 S.E.2d 225, 235 (1955).....	9
<i>Hill v. S.C. Dep't of Health & Envtl. Control</i> , 389 1, 9, 698 S.E.2d 612, 616 (210).....	10
<i>Risher v. South Carolina Dep't of Health and Envtl. Control</i> , 393 S.C. 198, 211, 712 S.E.2d 428, 434 (2011) (<i>internal citations omitted</i>)	10
<i>State v. Hutto</i> , 325 S.C. 221, 481 S.E.2d 432,436 (1997).....	22

Statutes & Regulations

S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-310 <i>et seq.</i>	2
S.C. Code Ann. § 44-1-60	2
S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-330(1), 600(A)(5) Supp. 2008)	8
S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-380 (Supp. 2012)	9
S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-610(B) (Supp. 2012)	10
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.80.....	10
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 50(WW).....	11
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 50(K).....	11
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 50(HHH).....	11,23
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 50(NN).....	11, 23
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.80 (A)(5).....	11
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.80(A)(6).....	11
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Parts 200.50(A), 200.70(D).....	24

S.C. Reg, 61-43 Parts 200.60(A).....	24
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.70	24
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.70(E).....	24, 26
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.60(F).....	25
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Parts 200.70(A) and (C).....	25
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.80(A)(2).....	27
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.80(C)(3).....	27
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.70(F)(7).....	34
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.50(B)(4) – (6).....	35
S.C. Reg, 61-43 Part 200.150(A).....	36
S.C. R. Evid. 805, 802-804	20

Other Authorities

Black Law Dictionary (9 th ed. 2009).....	9
Sanders § 9.5 <i>Quantum of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> citing <i>Frazier v. Frazier</i> , 228 S.C. 149 (1955)	9
32A C.J.S. Evidence §727, at 82-83 (1996).....	9



**Roe Cassidy
Coates & Price PA**

JOSEPH O. "JOSH" SMITH
(864) 404-3140
JSMITH@ROECASSIDY.COM

June 16, 2015

RECEIVED

JUN 17 2015

SC Court of Appeals

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Re: Rick Still, Donice Still, Christine Orr and Terry Orr v. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control and Lisa Sumerel and Sumerel Poultry Farm
Appellate Case No. 2015-000700
RCCP 2015.0002

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find two (2) copies of the Table of Contents and Table of Authorities for the Appellants' brief in the above-referenced matter.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to call. Thank you for your assistance.

With kind regards, I am

ROE CASSIDY COATES & PRICE

Ellen S. Griffin
Paralegal to Joseph O. Smith

Enclosures: (as stated above)

cc: Stephen P. Hightower w/o enclosures
Thomas E. Hite, III w/o enclosures

1052 North Church Street
Greenville SC 29601

PO Box 10529
Greenville SC 29603

p 864 349 2600
f 864 349 0303

RECEIVED

Express

From: (864) 349-2609
Ellen Griffin, Paralegal
Roe Cassidy Coates & Price, PA
1052 North Church Street

Origin ID: LQKA

FedEx
Express



J151215022303uv

SHIP TO: (803) 734-1890

BILL SENDER

Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk
SC Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street

COLUMBIA, SC 29201

Ship Date: 16JUN15
Act/Wgt: 0.5 LB
CAD: 3385032/INET3610

Delivery Address Bar Code




Ref # RCCP 2105.0002 (Still Appeal)
Invoice #
PO #
Dept #

RECEIVED

JUN 17 2015


SC Court of Appeals WED - 17 JUN 10:30A
PRIORITY OVERNIGHT

TRK# 7738 3990 8355
0201



XH USCA

29201
SC-US
CAE



537.11 8A0F5E4R

A
8355
06.17

1
10:30

RT 103
FZ B20