

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Respondent,

v.

Dorothy Sistrunk, Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL

July 17, 2015

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Exhibit

THE RECORD ON APPEAL
5 VOLUMES

2008-2014

VOLUME I OF V - PART 1

Orders, Decrees, Judgments, Decisions, Complaint,
Answer, Motions, Objections & Demand For Trial By Jury Filed In 2008

MASTER INDEX TO VOLUMES I-V

<u>Year/s</u>	<u>Volume/s</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
	RECORD ON APPEAL [1 pg]	1
	PREPARATION [1 pg]	2
	MASTER INDEX [2 pgs]	3-4
2008-2015	VOLUME I - PART 1 (Orders, Decrees, Judgments & Demand for Jury Trial), [1 pg]	5
	Index to Volume I - Part 1., [2 pgs]	6-7
	Subject Matter., [173 pgs]	8-180
	VOLUME I - PART 2 (Complaint, Answer & Responses or Objections to Wells Fargo's Motions), [1 pg]	181
	Index to Volume I - Part 2., [2 pgs]	182-183
	Subject Matter., [237 pgs]	184-420
	Total Pages in Volume I: [420]	
2009-2010	VOLUME II - PART 1 (<i>The Massive Filing Fraud</i> - During the Stay or Temporary Restraining Order/TRO - Re: South Carolina's Supreme Court Administrative Order No. 2009-05-22-01 - Case Status Updates & Replies/Responses to Wells Fargo's Case Status Updates), [1 pg]	421
	Index to Volume II - Part 1., [2 pgs]	422-423
	Updates & Replies/Responses., [160 pgs]	424-583
2010-2011	VOLUME II - PART 2 (<i>The Massive Filing Fraud</i> - During the Stay or Temporary Restraining Order/TRO - Re: South Carolina's Supreme Court Administrative Order No.'s 2009-05-22-01 & 2011-05-02-01 Case Status Updates, Replies/Responses to Case Status Updates & Pleadings (Motion to Dismiss, Objections to Wells Fargo's Notices, Motions, Statements and/or Arguments), [1 pg]	584
	Index to Volume II - Part 2., [2 pgs]	585-586
	Updates & Replies/Responses., [163 pgs]	587-749
	Total Pages in Volume II: [329]	
2011-2013	VOLUME III (Pleadings, i.e., Objections & Responses/Replies to Wells Fargo's Statements, Notices, Motion/s and/or Arguments), [1 pg]	750
	Index to Volume III., [1 pg]	751
	Pleadings (Replies & Objections), [228 pgs]	752-979
	Total Pages in Volume III: [230]	

2014 - VOLUME IV – PART 1 (Pleadings, i.e., Responses/Objections to Wells Fargo's Statements, Notices, Motions and/or Arguments),
[1 pg]980

Index to Volume IV – Part 1., [1 pg]981
Pleadings (Responses/Replies & Objections), [248 pgs]982-1229

VOLUME IV – PART 2 (Pleadings, i.e., Responses/Objections to Wells Fargo's Statements, Notices, Motions and/or Arguments, & **The Statement of the Evidence**), [1 pg]1230

Index to Volume IV – Part 2., [1 pg]1231
Pleadings (Responses & Objections), [81 pgs]1232-1312

Statement of the Evidence., [150 pgs]1313-1462

Total Pages in Volume IV: **[483]**

2007-2010 - VOLUME V – PART 1 (Appellant's Description and/or Explanation of Exhibits 1-390) - [1 pg]1463

Index of Volume V – Part 1 (Pleading, Opening Remarks & Explanations and/or Descriptions of Exhibits), [12 pgs]1464-1475

Pleading – [70 pgs]1476-1545

Exhibit Explanation and/or Description., [98 pgs]1546-1643

2007-2008 - VOLUME V – PART 2 – (Appellant's Description and/or Explanation of Wells Fargo's - Exhibits WF00001-WF00134) - [1 pg]1644

Index to Volume V - Part 2., [32 pgs]1645-1676
Exhibits WF00001-WF00134., [134 pgs]1677-1810

Total Pages Volume V: **[348]**

Sum Total of Pages Volumes I-V – Record on Appeal: [1810]

Proof of Service., [1 pg]1811

Notary Certification., [1 pg]1812

Appellant's Certification., [1 pg]1813

THE RECORD ON APPEAL
5 VOLUMES

2008-2014

VOLUME I OF V - PART 1

Orders, Decrees, Judgments, Decisions, Complaint,
Answer, Motions, Objections & Demand For Trial By Jury Filed In 2008

Index to Volume I - Part 1
Orders, Decrees, Judgments and/or Decisions

<u>Subject Matter/Court Action</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
Wells Fargo's Order of Reference., (Also Exhibits 90-91) [4 pgs] - Dated: August 1, 2008	8-11
Demand for Jury Trial. , (Also Exhibit 341) [20 pgs] - Dated: August 12, 2008	12-31
Judge O. Davie Burgdorf's - Signed Order Substituting Counsel., (Also Exhibit 93) [2 pgs] - Dated: August 27, 2008	32-33
Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's - Signed Order Tolling Time to Answer Defendant's Counterclaims., (Also Exhibit 95) [1 pg] - Dated: August 28, 2008	34
Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's - Signed Protective Order., (Also Exhibit 303) [1 pg] - Dated: November 18, 2008	35
The Supreme Court of South Carolina Administrative Order 2009-05-22-01., (Also Exhibit 96) - [5 pgs] - Dated: May 22, 2009	36-40
Judge Edgar W. Dickson's - Signed Order of Stay., (Also Exhibit 97) [1 pg] - Dated: June 24, 2009	41
Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's - Signed Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery, Issuing Stay, and Granting Motion for Continuance., (Also Exhibit 255) - [2 pgs] - Dated: March 7, 2012	42-43
Judge Edgar W. Dickson's - Signed Consent Scheduling Order., (Also Exhibit 349) - [2 pgs] - Dated: December 2, 2013	44-45
Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's - Signed Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment., (Also Exhibit 329) [7 pgs] - Dated: March 27, 2014	46-52
Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's - Signed Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend., (Also Exhibit 354) - [1 pg] - Dated: April 7, 2014	53
Judge James B. Jackson, Jr., - Signed Order of Reference to Master in Equity., (Also Exhibit 109) - [1 pg] - Dated: May 5, 2014	54
Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's - Signed Order Denying Motion for a New Trial and Motion to Vacate Plaintiff's Partial Summary Judgment Order., (Also Exhibit 355) - [1 pg] - Dated: July 11, 2014	55
Appellate Court - Order Granting Motion to Substitute Counsel., (Also Exhibit 365) [2 pg] - Dated: August 13, 2014	56-57
Appellate Court - Order Denying Respondent Motion to Dismiss., (Also Exhibit 371) - [1 pg] - Dated: 10/24/14	58

Appeals Court – Order Granting James H. Burn Withdrawal as Counsel, (Also Exhibit 380) - [1 pg] - Dated: 13 Aug. 2014	59
Appellate Court – Order Granting Respondent Extension Time for Initial Brief and Designated of Matters to January 2, 2015., [1 pg] – Dated: December 4, 2014	60
Appellate Court – Order Granting Respondent Extension Time for Initial Brief and Designated of Matters to February 2, 2015., (Also Exhibit 381) [1 pg] – Dated: January 8, 2015	61
Appellate Court – Order Granting Motion to Strike Appellant’s 18 Appendices., (Also Exhibit 390) - [1 pg] – Dated: 04/03/15	62
Appellate Court – Ordering Denying Appellant’s Motion to Remand., [1 pg] – Dated: 07/1/2015	63
Notice of Appeal., [1 pg] – Dated: July 30, 2014	64
Certificate That No Transcript Will Be Ordered By Appellant., [4 pgs] – Dated: July 30, 2014	68
Wells Fargo's Complaint., (Also Exhibit 105) - [4 pgs] - Dated: June 26, 2008	69-72
Wells Fargo's Lis Pendens., [2 pgs] - Dated: June 26, 2008	73-74
Defendant's Answer to Complaint., (Also Exhibit 297) - [16 pgs] - Dated: July 18, 2008	75-90
Defendant's First Amendment to Answer to Complaint with Counterclaims., (Also Exhibit 298) - [65 pgs] - Dated: July 31, 2008	91-155
Wells Fargo’s Motion for Protective Order., (Also Exhibit 228) [9 pgs] – Dated: October 31, 2008	156-164
Defendant's Response to Wells Fargo's Motion for Protective Order., (Also Exhibit 107) - [16 pgs] - Dated: November 3, 2008.....	165-180

Index Volume I - Part 2
Motions & Affidavits

<u>Year</u>	<u>Subject Matter</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2008 -	Wells Fargo Motion to Extend Time to Answer Counterclaims., (Also Exhibit 94) - [2 pgs] – Dated: August 15, 2008	184-185
2011-	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss With Prejudice., (Also Exhibit 98) - [2 pgs] – Dated: 08/13/11	186-187
	Wells Fargo Motion for Continuance & Entry of Scheduling Order., (Also Exhibit 99) - [2 pgs] – Dated: October 14, 2011	188-189
	Wells Fargo Motion to Compel Discovery by Deposition of Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk & Third Party Witness George Sistrunk., (Also Exhibit 100) - [4 pgs] – Dated: October 14, 2011	190-193
2012 -	Second Motion to Compel Discovery by Deposition of Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk & For Sanctions., (Also Exhibit 316) - [6 pgs] – Dated: March 6, 2012	194-199
	Plaintiff’s Motion for Sanctions., (Also Exhibit 331) - [8 pgs] – Dated: May 15, 2012	200-207
2013 -	Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.’s Motion for Summary Judgment., (Also Exhibit 332) - [26 pgs] – Dated: June 27, 2013	208-233
2014 -	Plaintiff’s Motion for Order of Reference to Master in Equity., (Also Exhibit 104) - [2 pgs] – Dated: March 18, 2014	234-235
	Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk’s Notice of Motion & Motion to Alter or Amend the Partial Summary Judgment & Rescind the Order of Reference Pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRPC. (Also Exhibit 108) - [5 pgs] – March 25, 2014	236-240
	Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk’s Notice of Motion & Motion to Vacate Plaintiff’s Partial Summary Judgment Order Pursuant to Rule 60(b), SCRPC for Extrinsic Fraud upon the Court by Officers of the Court in Conjunction with 28 USC § 455 & 42 USC § 1983., (Also Exhibit 111) - [7 pgs] – Dated: May 28, 2014.....	241-247
	Notice of Motion & Motion for a New Trial with a Jury Pursuant to Rules 38(a)-(d), 59(a)(2) & 60(b)(1), SCRPC for Extrinsic Fraud upon the Court by Officers of the Court in Conjunction with 28 USC § 455 & 42 USC § 1983., (Also Exhibit 103) - [7 pgs] – Dated: June 9, 2014	248-254

Index Volume I - Part 2
Affidavits & Depositions

<u>Year</u>	<u>Affidavit, Reply or Rebuttal</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2009	- Affidavit of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. by Elizabeth S. Hodgson, Senior Counsel., (Also Exhibit 235), [1 pg] – Dated: May 15, 2009	255
2009	- Response to Plaintiff's Affidavit. , (Also Exhibit 266) [5 pgs] – Dated: May 26, 2009	256-260
2011	- Affidavit of George M. Sistrunk – Background and History the Signed Broker's Agreement. , (Also Exhibit 245) [11 pgs] - Dated: March 31, 2011	261-271
2012	- Dorothy Sistrunk's Affidavit of Facts, Material Facts & Truth to Refute Allegations by Wells Fargo's Personnel & Attorney/s. , (Also Exhibit 92) - [19 pgs] – Dated: May 25, 2011	272-290
2013	- Affidavit in Support of Wells Fargo's Motion for Summary Judgment, by Amanda Weatherly., (Also Exhibit 343) [3 pgs] – Dated: June 4, 2013.....	291-293
	Dorothy Sistrunk's Rebuttal and Counter Affidavit of Facts & Truth That Refutes & Opposes the Affidavit of Amanda Weatherly Wells Fargo's Vice President for Loan Documentation for Wells Fargo Bank, N. A., in Support of Wells Fargo's Motion for Summary Judgment. , (Also Exhibit 253) - [25 pgs] – Dated: July 17, 2013	294-318
2014	- Dorothy Sistrunk's Affidavit of Facts & Truth Regarding Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's violation of South Carolina's and the United States Constitution's Due Process Clauses., (Also Exhibit 377) - [3 pgs] – Dated: May 28, 2014	319-321
	Dorothy Sistrunk's Affidavit of Facts & Truth Regarding Debra C. Galloway & Stephanie at the Closing on December 21, 2007 at the Player Law Firm; 1415 Broad River Rd, Columbia, SC 29210 & Other Pertinent Material Matters. , (Also Exhibit 378) [7 pgs] – Dated: May 28, 2014	322-328
	George M. Sistrunk's Affidavit Regarding Judge Diane Shafer Goodstein's Refusal to Let Me Give Testimony & Evidence that Refutes Her Statements, the Statements of Wells Fargo's Attorneys, Personnel & Their Misrepresentation of Facts. , (Also Exhibit 379) [18 pgs] – Dated: May 28, 2014	329-346
 <u>Year</u> <u>Depositions</u>		
2012	- Deposition of Dorothy Sistrunk - [17 pgs] – Dated: March 2, 2012	347-363
	Deposition of Dorothy Sistrunk - [57 pgs] – Dated: September 6, 2012 ...	364-420

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,		Respondent,
	v.	
Dorothy Sistrunk,		Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME II OF V - PART 1

July 17, 2015

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Index to Volume II - Part 1

Note: **MMF** means “Massive Filing Fraud” - **RTFF** means “Response to Filing Fraud”.

<u>Updates & Replies/Responses</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2009 - Elizabeth Scott Moise's, Case Status Update, for June of 2009., (Also MMF Exhibit 101) - [2 pgs] - Dated: June 15, 2009	424-425
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update, for June of 2009., (Also RTFF Exhibit 101) [9 pgs] - Dated: June 29, 2009	426-434
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for July of 2009., (Also MMF Exhibit 102) - [2 pgs] - Dated: July 15, 2009	435-436
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for August of 2009., (Also MMF Exhibit 103) - [2 pgs] - Dated: August 17, 2009	437-438
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 08/17/2009., (Also RTFF Exhibit 103) [8 pgs] - Dated: September 15, 2009	439-446
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for September of 2009., (Also MMF Exhibit 104) [2 pgs] - Dated: September 15, 2009	447-448
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for October of 2009., [2 pgs] - Dated: October 15, 2009, Certificate of Service, (Also MMF Exhibit 105) - Dated: October 16, 2009	449-450
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 10/16/2009., (Also RTFF Exhibit 105) - [13 pgs] - Dated: November 16, 2009	451-463
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for November of 2009., (Also MMF Exhibit 106) - [2 pgs] - Dated: Dated: November 16, 2009 ...	464-465
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for December of 2009., (Also MMF Exhibit 107) - [2 pgs] - Dated: December 16, 2009	466-467
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 12/16/2009., (Also RTFF Exhibit 107) - [18 pgs] - Dated: January 19, 2010.....	468-485
2010 - James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for January of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 108) - [2 pgs] - Dated: January 21, 2010	486-487
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for February of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 109) - [2 pgs] - Dated: February 17, 2010	488-489
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 02/16/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 109) - [11 pgs] - Dated: March 15, 2010	490-500

Index to Volume II - Part 1

Note: MMF means “Massive Filing Fraud” - RTFF means “Response to Filing Fraud”.

<u>Updates & Replies/Responses</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2010 - James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for March of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 110) - [2 pgs] - Dated: March 17, 2010	501-502
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for April of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 111) - [2 pgs] - Dated: April 15, 2010	503-504
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 04/15/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 111) - [11 pgs] - Dated: May 14, 2010	505-515
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for May of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 112) - [2 pgs] - Dated: May 17, 2010	516-517
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for June of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 113) - [2 pgs] - Dated: June 15, 2010	518-519
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 06/15/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 113) - [16 pgs] - Dated: July 2, 2010	520-535
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for July of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 114) - [2 pgs] - Dated: July 16, 2010	536-537
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for August of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 115) - [2 pgs] - Dated: August 17, 2010	538-539
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 08/17/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 115) - [16 pgs] - Dated: September 7, 2010	540-555
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for September of 2010., Also MMF Exhibit 116) - [2 pgs] - Dated: September 15, 2010	556-557
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for October of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 117) - [2 pgs] - Dated: October 18, 2010	558-559
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 10/18/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 117) - [24 pgs] - Dated: November 12, 2010	560-583
Plaintiff's Case Status Update, for November of 2010., [0 pgs]	None Filed
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update, for November of 2010., [0 pgs]	None Filed

Index to Volume II of IX - Part 1

Note: MMF means "Massive Filing Fraud" - RTFF means "Response to Filing Fraud".

Updates & Replies/Responses

Page Number/s

2010 - James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for January of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 108) - [3 pgs] - Dated: January 21, 2010	558-560
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 01/21/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 108) - [14 pgs] - Dated: February 17, 2010	561-574
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for February of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 109) - [3 pgs] - Dated: February 17, 2010	575-577
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 02/16/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 109) - [11 pgs] - Dated: March 15, 2010	578-588
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for March of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 110) - [3 pgs] - Dated: March 17, 2010	589-591
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 03/17/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 110) - [10 pgs] - Dated: April 14, 2010	592-601
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for April of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 111) - [3 pgs] - Dated: April 15, 2010	602-604
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 04/15/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 111) - [11 pgs] - Dated: May 14, 2010	605-615
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for May of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 112) - [3 pgs] - Dated: May 17, 2010	616-618
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 05/18/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 112) - [11 pgs] - Dated: June 14, 2010	619-629
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for June of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 113) - [3 pgs] - Dated: June 15, 2010	630-632
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 06/15/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 113) - [16 pgs] - Dated: July 2, 2010	633-648
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for July of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 114) - [2 pgs] - Dated: July 16, 2010	649-650
Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Case Status Update 07/16/2010., (Also RTFF Exhibit 114) - [14 pgs] - Dated: August 12, 2010	651-664

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,

Respondent,

v.

Dorothy Sistrunk,

Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME II OF V - PART 2

July 17, 2015

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Index to Volume II - Part 2

Note: MMF means “Massive Filing Fraud” - RTFF means “Response to Filing Fraud”.

<u>Subject Matter & Responses</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2010 - James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for December of 2010., (Also MMF Exhibit 118) - [2 pgs] - Dated: December 20, 2010	587-588
2011 - Plaintiff's Case Status Update, for January of 2011., [0 pgs]	None Filed
Defendant's Notice of the Plaintiff's Failure to File a Case Status Update for 12/15/2010 to 01/15/2011., (Also RTFF Exhibit 000-A) - [4 pgs] - Dated: January 26, 2011	589-592
Plaintiff's Case Status Update, for February of 2011., [0 pgs]	None Filed
Defendant's Notice of the Plaintiff's Failure to File a Case Status Update for 01/15/2011 to 02/15/2011., (Also RTFF Exhibit 000-B) - [4 pgs] - Dated: February 22, 2011	593-596
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, Filed on February 28, 2011., (Also MMF Exhibit 119) - [2 pgs] - Dated: February 28, 2011	597-598
Defendant's Response to the Plaintiff's Case Status Update 02/28/2011 That is No Longer Pursuant to the May 22, 2009 Supreme Court of South Carolina Order for Foreclosure Cases., (Also RTFF Exhibit 119) - [4 pgs] - Dated: March 3, 2011	599-602
James H. Burns' Case Status Update, for April of 2011., (Also MMF Exhibit 120) - [2 pgs] - Dated: April 4, 2011	603-604
Plaintiff's Case Status Update, for May of 2011., [0 pgs]	None Filed
Defendant's Notice of Plaintiff's Failure to Comply with the May 22, 2009 Supreme Court of South Carolina Order for Foreclosure Cases., (Also RTFF Exhibit 000-C) - [5 pgs] - Dated: May 19, 2011	605-609
Plaintiff's Notice of Mortgagor's Right to Foreclosure Intervention Pursuant to S.C. Supreme Court Administrative Order No. 2011-05-02-01., (Also MMF Exhibit 121) - [3 pgs] - Dated: May 26, 2011	610-612
Defendant's Response to Notice of Mortgagor's Right to Foreclosure Intervention Pursuant to S.C. Supreme Court Administrative Order No. 2011-05-02-01., (Also RTFF Exhibit 121) [11 pgs] - Dated: June 21, 2011	613-623
Elizabeth Scott Moise's Letter, Re: "Plaintiff's policy, process and/or procedure for settling a 'Fraudulent, Loan, Note and Mortgage'"., (Also MMF Exhibit 123) - [2 pgs] - Dated: June 29, 2011	624-625

Index to Volume II - Part 2

Note: MMF means “Massive Filing Fraud” - RTFF means “Response to Filing Fraud”.

Subject Matter & Responses

Page Number/s

Defendant's Notice of Plaintiff's Failure to Qualify for Participation in Foreclosure Intervention Pursuant to S.C. Supreme Court Administrative Order No. 2011-05-02-01, Re: June 29, 2011 Letter., (Also RTFF Exhibit 122) - [13 pgs] - Dated: July 11, 2011626-638

James H. Burns' Certificate of Compliance with Administrative Order 2011-05-02-01., (Also MFF Exhibit 122) [4 pgs] - Dated: August 1, 2011639-642

Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk Legal Memorandum in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice., [40 pgs] - Dated: August 13, 2011643-682

Objection to Notice of Taking Deposition of Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk; Issued by Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP; Demand Protection of This Court Pursuant to SCRCP 45(c)(1)., [14 pgs] - Dated: September 30, 2011683-696

Legal Memorandum Supporting the Defendant's Objection to Notice of Taking Deposition of Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk; Issued by Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP; Filed on September 30, 2011; and Supporting the Defendant's Demand Protection of This Court Pursuant to SCRCP Rules 45(c)(1) and 26(c)., [21 pgs] - Dated: October 10, 2011.....697-717

The Defendant Objects to Motion to Compel Discovery by Deposition of Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk and Third-Party Witness George Sistrunk Subject to Rule 38(b) A Priority Matter., [24 pgs] - Dated: October 21, 2011718-741

Defendant Objects to Case Management & Scheduling Order. The Proposed Date of Transfer to the Jury Trial Roster is June 25, 2012. Subject to Rule 38(b) a Priority Matter, [8 pgs] - Dated: October 21, 2011742-749

Index to Volume III

<u>Subject Matter & Responses</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2011 - The Defendant Objects to Motion of Continuance and Entry of Scheduling Order. The Proposed Date of Transfer to the Jury Trial Roster is June 25, 2012; Subject to Rule 38(b). A Priority Matter.,[15 pgs] - Date Filed: October 24, 2011	752-766
Objection to Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Compel and Motion for Continuance and Scheduling Order, Subject to Rule 38(b). A Priority Matter. Attachments A-C, [44 pgs] - Dated: December 16, 2011	767-810
2012 - Objection to Notice of Taking Deposition of Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk., [8 pgs] - Dated: April 27, 2012	811-818
The Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk Filed Objections to the Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and Demands for an Oral Only Deposition Subject to Rule 38(b) A Priority Matter., [29 pgs] - Dated: May 25, 2012	819-847
2013 - Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk's Demand for Jury Trial and Legal Memorandum Supporting Opposition to Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N. A., Motion for Summary Judgment. Judicial Notice Requested Pursuant to Rule 201, SCRE., [35 pgs] - Dated: July 17, 2013	848-882
Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk's Opposition to Plaintiff's Wells Fargo Bank, N. A., Motion for Summary Judgment and Demand Jury Trial. Judicial Notice Requested Pursuant to Rule 201, SCRE., [97 pgs] - Dated: July 17, 2013	883-979

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Respondent,
v.
Dorothy Sistrunk, Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME IV OF V - PART 1

July 17, 2014

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Index to Volume IV – Part 1

<u>Pleading Responses & Objections</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2014 - Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk's Legal Memorandum Supporting Notice of Motion & Motion to Alter or Amend the Partial Summary Judgment & Rescind the Order of Reference., [35 pgs] - Dated: March 25, 2014	982-1016
The Defendant Objects to the Plaintiff's Motion and Order of Continuance. A Rule 41(b), SCRCPP Dismissal Was Filed on 08/15/2011 That Has Never Been Properly Opposed by the Plaintiff and Qualifies for Judgment Pursuant to Rule 56(c), SCRCPP., [34 pgs] - Dated: March 28, 2014	1017-1050
Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk Objects to the Motion for Order of Reference. There is No Valid Mortgage on 423 Bayne Street Due to False Certification., [17 pgs] - Dated: March 31, 2014	1051-1067
Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk Objects to the Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment, Prejudicial Treatment & to the Violation of Rule 30(b)(2), SCRCPP & Enters a Formal Protest into the Record.,[22 pages] - Dated: March 31, 2014	1068-1089
Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk Objects to the Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment, Due to the Plaintiff's Misstatement of Facts, Mischaracterization of Facts and in Some Instances Outright Lies., [54 pgs] - Dated: April 7, 2014	1090-1143
Statement of Uncontested Facts and Material Facts in Support of Motion and Legal Memorandum of Law and Authorities to Vacate the Plaintiff's Judgment Order Pursuant to Rule 60(b), SCRCPP for Extrinsic Fraud Upon the Court by Officers of the Court in Conjunction with 28 USC § 455 & 42 USC § 1983., [86 pgs] - Dated: May 28, 2014	1144-1229

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Respondent,
v.
Dorothy Sistrunk, Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME IV OF V - PART 2

July 17, 2015

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Index to Volume IV - Part 2

<u>Pleading Responses & Objections</u>	<u>Page Number/s</u>
2014 - Legal Memorandum of Law and Authorities to Support My Notice of Motion and Motion to Vacate Plaintiff's Partial Summary Judgment Order Pursuant to Rule 60(b), SCRCP for Extrinsic Fraud upon the Court by Officers of the Court in Conjunction with 28 U.S.C. § 455 & 42 U.S.C. § 1983., [18 pgs] - Dated: May 28, 2014	1232-1249
Legal Memorandum of Law and Authorities to Support My Notice of Motion and Motion for a New Trial with a Jury Pursuant to Rules 38(a)-(d), 39, 59(a)(2) & 60(b)(1), SCRCP for Extrinsic Fraud upon the Court by Officers of the Court in Conjunction with 28 U.S.C. § 455 & 42 U.S.C. § 1983., [37 pgs] - Dated: June 9, 2014	1250-1286
Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk Objects to Notice of Motion for Continuance and Any Proposed Order Granting a Continuance Due to Extrinsic Fraud upon the Court by Officers of the Court, Wells Fargo's Mortgage is Defective and Filed Motions to Vacate the Plaintiff's Partial Summary Judgment Order, Rescind the Order of Reference and a New Trial with Jury Pursuant to Rules 38(a)-(d), 39, 59(a)(2) & 60(b)(1), SCRCP., [26 pgs] - Dated: June 9, 2014.....	1287-1312
Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk's Statement of the Evidence to be Included in the Record on Appeal Re: 2014-001683., [150 pgs] - Filed: August 14, 2014	1313-1462

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Respondent,
v.
Dorothy Sistrunk, Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME V OF V - PART 1

July 17, 2015

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Exhibit 4 – Proof: Documentation verifying the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: Her Fax Memo - Are We The Victims of Fraud? - that was sent to Wells Fargo, Thomas Jacobs, David Terrell/Golden Gate Mortgage and the Player Law Firm., [9 pgs] - Dated: February 5, 2008 ⇨ {See SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (3), pg 14 & ¶13(a)-(i)(1)-(6), pgs 65-67}1546-1554

Exhibit 5 – Proof: Documentation verifying the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: Rogers Townsend & Thomas, PC., Summons & Complaint on pg 2, ¶ #8 provides additional evidence that the Appellant was not the property owner on October 8th & 9th, in 2007 as stated on Wells Fargo's Exhibits WF00014-26., [5 pgs] - Dated: June 26, 2008 ⇨ {See SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (4), pg 14}1555-1559

Exhibit 6 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (5), pg 14}

Exhibit 7 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (6), pg 14}

Exhibits 8–14 – Proof: Documentation verifying the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: A second appraisal from Wells Fargo Home Mortgage was faxed to the Appellant on February 5, 2008. Vol. II of IX, Exhibits WF00001-26, pgs 2334-2338 are the documentation of the evidence of the fraudulent misrepresentations on Jim H. Austin, III's [7-59] Appraisals that were done for the Seller - Thomas Jacobs. Jim H. Austin, III did not appraise 423 Bayne Street on October 7, 8 or 9th in 2007., [7 pgs] - Dated: February 5, 2008 ⇨ {See SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (7), pg 14 & ¶13(a)(i)(1)-(6), pgs 65-67}1560-1563

Exhibit 15 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (8), pg 15 & ¶98(a)(7), pg 144.

Exhibit 16 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (9), pg 15 & ¶98(a)(8)-(9), pg 144.

Exhibit 17 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (10), pg 15.

Exhibit 18 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (11), pg 15 & ¶43 & Subparts 43(a)-(c)(2), pgs 101-102.

Exhibit 21 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal, however, for a description see SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (12), pgs 15-16 & ¶14 Subparts (1)-(25), pgs 67-69.

Exhibit 25 - The same as Wells Fargo's Exhibit WF00131.

Exhibit 26 Proof: Document from Orangeburg County's Tax Assessor's Office verifying no Central Air is at 423 Bayne Street, no office, patio, or fireplace and the year built 1950., [1 pg] - Dated: January 3, 2008 ⇨ {See SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (13), pg 16; & 12 CFR § 1731.2(c), ¶4(e)(3), pg 6}1564

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals,

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Shafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Respondent,
v.
Dorothy Sistrunk, Appellant.

RECORD ON APPEAL
VOLUME V OF V - PART 2

July 17, 2015

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
(803) 268-0716

Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
803) 799-2000

Elizabeth Scott Moise
SC Bar No. 012945
151 Meeting Street / Sixth Floor
Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)
Charleston, SC 29401 -2239
(803)853-5200

Index to Volume V – Part 2

Explanation and/or Description of Wells Fargo's Exhibits - WF00001-134

Explanation and/or Description of Wells Fargo's Exhibits

WF00001 - Appraisal Fraud that verifies the witness **Statement of Fact** that Jim H. Austin, III did not appraise 423 Bayne Street in Oct. of 2007. Whatever photographs Jim H. Austin, III used were from a much earlier date and time. This is proven by the absence of the Black Berry of Black Cherry Tree in front of 423 Bayne Street that is over 30 feet tall. If Jim H. Austin, III had taken photographs of 423 Bayne Street in October of 2007, his camera could not have missed a 30 foot tree., [1 pg] - Dated: October 8, 2007 ⇒ {See Answer to Complaint, pgs 1-4; 12 CFR § 1731.2(c); Statement of Uncontested Facts, ¶8 & 8(a)-(c)(1)-(2), pgs 13-14; Reasons for Opting Out of the Fladell Settlement, ¶¶12-14 & Subpart ¶14(1)-(12), pgs 26-31; §§ 16-13-10, 34-3-110 & 40-58-70(1)-(3) - SC Code of Laws}

WF00002 - Evidence of Appraisal Fraud: In ¶ #3, Jim H. Austin, III claims, "This report is based on a physical analysis of the site and improvements." This statement is patently false and a fraudulent misrepresentation of a material fact because Jim H. Austin, III did not do a physical analysis of 423 Bayne Street on October 8, 2007 and there are no improvements. The witness was there all day from morning till night. Jim H. Austin, III never showed up. [1 pg] - Dated: October 9, 2007 {See 12 CFR § 1731.2(c); 18 USC § 1001(a), 1344 & § 40-58-70(1)-(3)}

WF00003 - Documentation of Jim H. Austin, III's Forgery by Substitution and Appraisal Fraud. The 7-59 file number identifies this document as a duplicate from the 7-59 Appraisal {WF00014-26} that was ordered for the Seller & Owner - Thomas Jacobs by David Terrell /Goldengate Mortgage {WF00027} The Appellant was not the borrower on October 8 or 9 in 2007. David Terrell did not order an appraisal for the Appellant. The itemized improvements cited in the 7-59 Appraisals do not exist in reality, actuality or fact. Even Jim H. Austin, III's falsified appraisals identify the year built as 1950, thus verifying the Appellant's "**Statement of Fact**" that 423 Bayne Street was built before 1978. [1 pg] - Dated: 10/8/2007 {See 24 CFR Part 35 - Subpart A; & Review § 40-58-70(1)-(3); 12 CFR § 1731.2(c) & 18 USC § 1001(a)}

WF00004 - Document Fraud, Falsified Value and Appraisal Fraud. Documentation that verifies the witness' **Statement of Fact**. Jim H. Austin, III did not appraise 423 Bayne Street in October of 2007. The \$75,000.00 and \$78,000.00 values are complete **Fabrications**; as well as, the living space of 423 Bayne Street. The 7-59 file number identifies this document as a duplicate page from the 7-59 Appraisal {WF00014-26} that was fabricated for the Seller & Owner - Thomas Jacobs by Jim H. Austin, III. {WF00078} The same way a garage is a garage regardless of what a homeowner does to improve it on the inside; a storeroom is a storeroom and a meeting room is a meeting room regardless of how it looks on the inside. According to the City of Orangeburg Building Inspector, the storeroom is the only authorized construction at 423 Bayne Street., [1 pg] - Dated: October 8, 2007 {See §§ 16-13-10, 34-3-110, 36-3-305(a)(1)(ii)-(iii); 40-58-70(3)-SC Code of Laws; 18 USC §§ 1001(a), 1344 & UCC Article 3 § 3-305(a)(1)(ii)-(iii)}

***Important Note Relative to Exhibit WF00004*:** It is important to note that the 2900+ square footage of living space and \$75,000.00 value stated on Jim H. Austin, III's 7-59 Appraisals are the same values David Terrell/Goldengate Mortgage/Golden Gate Mortgage, Inc., stated in his letter to SCDCA. {See Exhibit 49, pg 1, ¶1, Sents. #1-#4; 12 CFR § 1731.2(c); 18 USC § 1344 & § 40-58-70(2)(3) SC Code of Laws}

WF00005 - The 7-59 file number identifies this document as a duplicate page from WF00014-26, pgs 2635-2647. **WF00001-WF00013** is the same appraisal that was Fabricated for the Seller & Owner - Thomas Jacobs {WF00088} by Jim H. Austin, III, {WF00078} that was ordered by David Terrell/Goldengate Mortgage. [1 pg] - Not Dated Separately: {See Exhibits 37, 49, WF00027; 12 CFR § 1731.2(c); 18 USC § 1001(a), 1344 & 40-58-70(3)- SC Code of Laws}

WF00006 - Document Fraud and Appraisal Fraud. Documentation that verifies the witness' Statement of Fact. Since Jim H. Austin, III did not appraise 423 Bayne St., in Oct. of 2007, the statement in Section #5, under STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTION AND LIMITING CONDITIONS is an ABSOLUTE LIE. **Note:** The INTENDED USER of Jim H. Austin, III's 7-59 Appraisal is the lender/client, i.e., Goldengate Mortgage/ David Terrell or Thomas Jacobs. The 7-59 file number identifies this document as a duplicate from the appraisal that was Fabricated for the Seller & Owner Thomas Jacobs that was ordered by David Terrell/ Goldengate Mortgage and referred to by David Terrell in his letter to SCDCA. Jim H. Austin, III did not note any needed repairs or deterioration at 423 Bayne Street and he did not inspect the property on October 7th, 8th or 9th in 2007. [1 pg] - Not Dated Separately: ⇒ {Review 12 CFR § 1731.2(c); 18 USC §§ 1001(a), 1344, 1346; §§ 16-13-10; 34-3-110 & 40-58-70(2)(3) SC Code of Laws}

WF00007 - Document Fraud and Appraisal Fraud. Documentation of Jim H. Austin, III's Appraisal Fraud. Since Jim H. Austin, III did not appraise 423 Bayne Street in October of 2007, all the identified statements under APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION are ABSOLUTE LIES. Since Jim H. Austin, III did not visually inspect the property on October 8th or 9th in 2007, he could not have compared houses in a similar deteriorated condition as 423 Bayne Street. He may have knowledge as an Appraiser but did not use it. He did not verify any information. There is no evidence he used any public records and he knowingly withheld the true condition of 423 Bayne Street from Wells Fargo. He identified the Lender for the appraisal as Goldengate Mortgage and the **Borrower as Jacobs**. The 7-59 file number identifies this document as a duplicate page that can be found in the 7-59 Appraisal that was Fabricated for the Seller & Owner - Thomas Jacobs {WF00014-26} that was ordered by Goldengate Mortgage/David Terrell {WF00027}; and referred to by David Terrell in his letter to SCDCA. {Exhibit 49} Jim H. Austin, III did not note any needed repairs or deterioration at 423 Bayne Street., [1 pg] - Not Dated. ⇒ {Review 12 CFR § 1731.2(c); 18 USC §§ 1001(a), 1344, 1346; §§ 16-13-10; 34-3-110 & 40-58-70(2)(3) - SC Code of Laws; Answer to Complaint, ¶1, pg 1, First Amendment to Answer to Complaint, ¶¶119-XIII - 134-XIII, pg 27-34, Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment, ¶¶1-12, pgs 1-4, Statement of Uncontested Facts, ¶¶8-9, pgs 13-15, Reasons for Opting Out of the Fladell Settlement, ¶¶1-15, pgs 1-34; & SOTE, ¶¶4-18, pgs 5-72}

Exhibit 328 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal.

Exhibit 329 - Proof: Judge Goodstein's signed "Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment" that was drafted by Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP, is replete with mischaracterized facts, false statements, misrepresented facts, and an outright lie on pgs. ⇨ {See SOTE, ¶¶43(a)-(c)(1)-(13), pgs 101-103; & ¶¶49-55, pgs 108-111} No attorney attended the closing with the Appellant on December 21, 2007, the Appellant's husband did not contact Thomas Jacobs about houses he had for sale, there were no negotiations to purchase 423 Bayne Street, Wells Fargo was advised concerning Thomas Jacobs', the Broker's, the Appraiser's and the Player Law Firm's misrepresentations and there is evidence verifying Wells Fargo's participation in the Mortgage Fraud [7 pgs] - Dated: 3-27-2014 ⇨ {See **Exhibit 329**, Vol. 1, Part 1, pgs 39-45 & SOTE, ¶12, Subparts (3)-(6), pgs 1334-1336}

Exhibit 330 - Proof: Brian A. Calub's misrepresentation of facts on pg 1, under INTRODUCTION, in ¶1, in his "Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Motion for Continuance and Scheduling Order". The seller never gave the Appellant an appraisal., [2 pgs] – Dated: Dec. 5, 2011 ⇨ {Vol. IV, SOTE, Part 1, ¶19(d), pgs 1367-1368}**1587-1588**

Exhibit 331 - Proof: Attorney Calub repeated the same intentional misstatement of fact in his "Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions", on page 1, in ¶ 1, under INTRODUCTION, the seller never gave the Appellant an appraisal., [2 pgs] - Dated: May 15, 2012 ⇨ {See, SOTE, ¶19(d), pgs 1367-1368}**1589-1590**

Exhibit 332 - Proof: Attorney James H. Burns' filed false statements and misstated facts in his "Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment". The Appellant never ever said the Seller and Appraiser conspired to mislead her. {See SOTE, ¶9(f), pgs 37-38} Certain repairs and other improvements to 423 Bayne Street were not done, therefore, the sale price for the home would be reduced at the closing and any contract for an unrepaired 423 Bayne Street would be cancelled. The Seller has not denied this statement in 6 years, not even in his letters to SCDCA. [26 pgs] – Dated: June 27, 2013., ⇨ {See **Exhibit 332**, Vol. 1, Part 2, pgs 198-223}

Exhibit 333 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal.

Exhibit 334 - Proof: Elizabeth Scott Moise LIED in her Case Status Update. The Massive Filing Fraud - Wells Fargo did not attempt to contact Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk to speak with her about eligibility and the Appellant; at no time, refused to speak with Wells Fargo. Wells Fargo made no future attempt to contact the Appellant during the Stay/TRO. Contact was initiated by the Appellant's husband with Green GreenPath in October of 2009., [2 pgs] - Dated: June 15, 2009. ⇨ {See, Vol. IV, Part 2, SOTE, ¶19(c), pgs 1365-1366; Vol. V, Part 1, Reasons for Opting Out of the Fladell Settlement, ¶¶16-17, pgs 1475-1480 & Exhibits 166-173}**1591-1592**

Exhibit 335 - Proof: James H. Burns LIED in his Case Status Update. The Massive Filing Fraud - Wells Fargo did not attempt to contact Defendant Dorothy Sistrunk to speak with her about eligibility and according to Ben Windust {Review Exhibit 198} the Appellant's loan was not under review for modification. Wells Fargo made no future at-

Exhibit 117 - See Vol. IV, Part 2, SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (53), pg 1325.

Exhibit 119 - See Vol. III, Objections to Motion for Sanctions, ¶¶1-9(B), pgs 801-806.

Exhibits 140 - 141 - Proof: Copy of Contract of Sale without forged initials that was sent by Janet Frotscher in June of 2008. Compare this Contract of Sale with Wells Fargo's WF00028-29 – that came from Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP in late Oct., or early Nov. of 2008. Both come from Wells Fargo. [2 pgs] - Dated: 11/26/07. Notation, Dated: 5/25/2014 ⇒ {See SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (54), pg 1325 & Vol. V, Part 1, Reasons for Opting Out of the Fladell Settlement, ¶¶18-27(1)-(3), pgs 1490-1493}1551-1552

Exhibit 152 – Proof: Document verifying the Appellant did file a complaint with SCDCA against Wells Fargo Bank on May 16, 2008. [2 pgs] - Dated: May 19, 2008 ⇒ {See Vol. IV, Part 2, SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (55), pg 1325}1553-1554

Exhibit 165 - See Vol. IV, Part 2, SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (56), pg 1325.

Exhibit 166 – Proof: Verifying the Appellant's Statement of Fact that it was her husband that contacted GreenPath Debt Solutions during the Stay/TRO and not Wells Fargo or attorneys James H. Burns or Elizabeth Scott Moise. [3 pgs] - Dated: 10/26/2009 ⇒ {See Vol. IV, Part 2, SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (57), pg 1325}1555-1557

Exhibit 169 – Proof: Documentation supporting the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: Wells Fargo, along with attorneys James H. Burns and Elizabeth Scott Moise were filing Bogus Case Status Updates during the Stay/TRO. Copy of Green Path's Facsimile Coversheet to WFHM for HMP loan modification., [1 pg]- Dated: 11/20/09 ⇒ {See, Vol. III, Objection to Motion For Sanctions, ¶¶32(A)-(H), pgs 17-18}1558

Exhibit 170 – Proof: Documentation supporting the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: Wells Fargo, along with attorneys James H. Burns and Elizabeth Scott Moise were filing Bogus Case Status Updates during the Stay/TRO. Copy Green Path's Final Instructions for completing Wells Fargo's loan modification package and Financial Worksheet., [2 pgs] - Dated: 11/20/09 ⇒ {See Exhibit 198}1559-1560

Exhibit-173 – Proof: Documentation supporting the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: Wells Fargo, along with attorneys James H. Burns and Elizabeth Scott Moise were filing Bogus Case Status Updates during the Stay/TRO. Copy of GreenPath's letter to George M. Sistrunk for Contact, Budget, Household Expenses and the proposed settlement of reducing the mortgage amount to the value of the unfinished home., [3 pgs] - Dated: 11/26/09 ⇒ {Also See Vol. II, Part 2, Defendant Objects to Motion to Compel, ¶¶44-45(B), pg 717}1561-1563

Exhibit 178 - Not included due to limited financial resources for this appeal.

Exhibits 179-180 – Proof: Documentation supporting the Appellant's Statement of Fact: Re: Wells Fargo, along with attorneys James H. Burns and Elizabeth Scott Moise were filing Bogus Case Status Updates during the Stay/TRO. GreenPath documents to use in Wells Fargo's Affordable Home Modification Plan, along with a handwritten description of the Mortgage Fraud associated with mortgage loan 0174072777., [3 pgs] - Dated: 12/10/09.1564-1566

Exhibit 229 – Proof: Attorney Elizabeth Scott Moise's false statement and false accusation in her Wells Fargo's Reply to Defendant's First Amendment to Answer to Complaint with Counterclaims, ¶119, sent. #2, on pg 15, the Appellant never sent Wells Fargo any documents. 0174072777 is a brokered loan. Therefore, the Appellant could not have sent Wells Fargo any documents., [3 pgs] - Filed: October 15, 2008. ⇨ {Also See, Vol. IV, Part 1, Statement of Uncontested Facts, ¶2(e)-(f), pgs 1130-1131}1579-1581

Exhibit 230 – Proof of Surgical Procedure: This is why the Appellant's husband was home 24/7 during the Stay/TRO., [1 pg] - Dated: 6/8/07.1582

Exhibit 231 - Proof: Leave from work to stay with sick son at hospital from Oct. 1, 2007 - Oct. 13, 2007. The Appellant and the Appellant's son were discharged on October 10, 2007., [1 pg] - Dated: 10/15/07.1583

Exhibit 236 – Proof: Documented evidence the Appellant was not the property owner of 423 Bayne Street on October 8 or 9, 2007 as stated on Appraisal 7-59 - WF00014-26., [1 pg] - Dated: 2 January 2008 {SOTE, ¶8, Subpart (15), pg 1306 & Vol. V, Part 1, Reasons for Opting Out of the Fladell Settlement, ¶6, Subpart 11(B), pg 1449}1584

Exhibit 255 – Proof: Judge Goodstein LIED and omitted facts on her "Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery, Issuing Stay, and Granting Motion for Continuance", in ¶2. Judge Goodstein did not consider any arguments, pleadings or objections from the Appellant and omitted the fact the witness was also present, even though he was not allowed to speak or present any evidence at the hearing on 12/06/11., [2 pgs] - Dated: 3/7/2012 ⇨ {See Vol. I, Part 1, **Exhibit 255**, pgs 35-36}

Exhibit 297 - Proof: In the Appellant's Answer to Complaint, the Appellant's Defensive Pleading is Defraud or Swindle, with falsified documents and not fraud., [16 pgs] - Filed: July 18, 2008 ⇨ {See, Vol. I, Part 1, Answer to Complaint, pgs 68-71}

Exhibit 303 - Proof: Rule 36(c), SCRPC allows for more than 20 admissions by leave of court and for "Good Cause" shown. In addition, Judge Goodstein LIED on the "Protective Order" in ¶1, Sent. #3, on pg 1. There were no genuine oral arguments, no evidence was presented to refute the Appellant's Statements of Fact that Wells Fargo's filed documents were falsified, forged, altered and GoldenGate Mortgage did not broker any loan and Attorney Burns misstated facts and made false statements in his filed argument., [2 pgs] - Dated: Nov. 24, 2008. {See **Exhibit 303**, Vol. I, Part 1, pg 28}

Exhibit 326 - Proof: Judge Goodstein violated Rule 30(b)(2), SCRPC. Letter from Judge Goodstein to attorney/s and the Appellant. Dated: March 13, 2014. Re: Summary Judgment Motion - The Appellant was not represented by counsel during the deposition, therefore, the deposition could not used against the Appellant. In addition, the deposition was not reviewed or signed by the Appellant. ⇨ {See SOTE, ¶44, pgs 103-104} Judge Goodstein misread the Appellant's Answer. The Appellant's Defensive Pleading was not based on accusing Wells Fargo of fraud. It is based on Defrauding or Swindling with the falsified, forged, altered and unauthorized documents of 2nd and/or 3rd parties, i.e., the Seller, Broker, the Appraiser, the Player Law Firm and Wells Fargo's own employees. [2 pgs] - Dated: March 13, 2014., ⇨ {See Vol. IV, Part 2, SOTE, ¶18, pgs 1362-1364 & **Exhibit 297**, Answer to Complaint, pgs 68-71}1585-1586

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

James B. Jackson, Jr., Master in Equity

2014-001683

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A.,

Respondent,

v.

Dorothy Sistrunk

Appellant.

CERTIFICATE OF RELEVANCE

Pursuant to Rule 210(g), SCACR, I certify "[t]hat the Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material." I have served a copy of this "Certificate of Relevance" on Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., by depositing a copy of it in United Parcel Service, UPS, postage prepaid, on July 21, 2015, addressed to Wells Fargo's attorney of record that has served a brief, pursuant to Rule 210(a), SCACR, and the said attorney is listed below.

Date: July 21, 2015

/s Dorothy Sistrunk
Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115
Ph: (803) 268-0716
Fx: (803) 534-6727

CC:

Attorney Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 799-2000

Attorney Elizabeth Scott Moise
(Has not served a brief.)

RECEIVED
JUL 24 2015
SC Court of Appeals

NOTARY VERIFICATION

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The undersigned, being duly *SWORN*, and under the *PENALTY OF PERJURY* declares the facts stated herein in the Record on Appeal in the Pleadings and all Indices in Volumes I-V are true and correct as of her own knowledge. When it comes to matters stated therein that are based upon information and/or belief; as to those matters, she believes them to be true. Accordingly, based on the stated facts, has signed, sealed and executed these attestations this 17th day of July in the year 2015 in the City and County of Orangeburg, in the State of South Carolina.

Appellant's Signature: Dorothy Sistrunk
Notary's Signature as Witness (1): William D. Buck

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the Presence of:

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG**

On July 17th 2015 before me appeared Dorothy Sistrunk and proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instruments (the Record on Appeal, Volumes I-V) and acknowledged to me that she executed the same in her authorized capacity, and that by her signature on this Verified Submission (the Record on Appeal, Volumes I-V) presents these document to the Appellate Court.

WITNESS My Hand and Official Seal.

Notary's Signature William D. Buck

Commission Expires _____ My Commission Expires July 24, 2022



 **Dorothy Sistrunk**

423 Bayne Street • Orangeburg, SC 29115 • Ph: 803-268-0716 • Fx: 803-534-6727

July 24, 2015

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME

**THE ISSUE:
NUMBERING THE RECORD ON APPEAL & INDICES**

The Honorable Jenny Abbot Kitchings & V. Claire Allen
Clerk of Court & Deputy Clerk of Court; Respectively,
South Carolina Court of Appeals
POB 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED
JUL 24 2015
SC Court of Appeals

RE: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Dorothy Sistrunk
Civil Action Case #2008-CP-38-1024
Appellate Case #2014-001683

Ms. Kitchings and/or Ms. V. Claire Allen,

Enclosed is \$25.00 for my Motion to Extend Time to Print the Record on Appeal and Proof of Service. The Record on Appeal was Notary Certified on July 17, 2015. However, an issue arose relative to numbering the Record on Appeal and the Indices. Rule 210(c), SCACR clearly states in pertinent parts; **"Each page of the Record on Appeal shall be numbered consecutively beginning with the index."** There is no indication in the Rule how this is to be done. Columbia's printing companies that have experience are reluctant to print my Record on Appeal in its original form or revised form. The issues are numbering the volumes, the number of pages in the indices (50), and the detailed explanation and description of exhibits in the indices. *{See Attached Examples in the Attached Exhibits & Numbering ROA (New)}*

Therefore, I must find a printing company that will print the Record, either in its original form with numbered Volumes and Indices or in its revised form with lower case Roman Numerals for the Indices. Thank you.

/s/ Dorothy Sistrunk
Dorothy Sistrunk

CC:

Attorney Michael Anzelmo
SC Bar No. 72933
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 799-2000

Dorothy Sistrunk
423 Bayne Street
Orangeburg, South Carolina 29115

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211