

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Benjamin J. Jackson, III, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-210107

---

Appeal From Berkeley County  
J. C. Nicholson, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2015-UP-389  
Submitted June 1, 2015 – Filed July 29, 2015

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender LaNelle Cantey DuRant, of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant  
Attorney General Mary Williams Leddon, both of  
Columbia, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. McConnell*, 290 S.C. 278, 280, 350 S.E.2d 179, 180 (1986) ("The admission or exclusion of evidence is largely within the sound discretion of the trial court."); Rule 401, SCRE ("Relevant evidence' means evidence having

any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence."); Rule 402, SCRE ("Evidence which is not relevant is not admissible."); Rule 403, SCRE ("Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice . . .").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**SHORT, LOCKEMY, and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.