




have erroneously calculated his sentence, sentence-related credits, or custody status; and (2) cases in which an inmate has received punishment in a major disciplinary hearing as a result of a serious rule violation. *Id.* at 382; 527 S.E.2d at 757. "The only way for the [ALC] to obtain subject matter jurisdiction over [an inmate's] claim is if it implicates a state-created liberty interest." *Sullivan*, 355 S.C. at 443, 586 S.E.2d at 127. Thus, in *Slezak v. S.C. Dep't of Corr.*, 361 S.C. 327, 331, 605 S.E.2d 506, 508 (2004), cert. denied, 544 U.S. 1033, 125 S.Ct. 2266, 161 L.E.2d 1060 (2005), our Supreme Court explained that while the ALC has jurisdiction over properly filed inmate grievance appeals, summary dismissal is appropriate "where the inmate's grievance does not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest." See also *Skipper v. S.C. Dep't of Corr.*, 370 S.C. 267, 633 S.E.2d 910 (Ct. App. 2006) (finding dismissal of inmate's appeal appropriate because his grievance did not implicate a state-created liberty interest). Because the Appellant has not otherwise alleged a deprivation of a state-created liberty or property interest in this matter, the Court finds that summary dismissal is appropriate.

Accordingly,


**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this appeal is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.**  
**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Phillip Lenski  
Administrative Law Judge

July 17, 2015  
Columbia, South Carolina

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that the undersigned has this date served this order in the above entitled action upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy by first class mail in the United States Mail, postage paid, or by the emergency mail service addressed to the parties or their attorney(s).

This 17th day of July, 2015  
by:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judicial Law Clerk