

William Gregg @ 274507
BRCI Moultrie A - 1084
4460 Broadriver Road
Columbia S.C. 29210

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2015

S.C. SUPREME COURT

August 21, 2015

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearous
Clerk, Supreme Court of South Carolina
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, South Carolina, 29211

RE: State of South Carolina
Case No. 2008 CP 43-2479.

V. William Gregg

Dear Mr. Shearous.

Enclose for your filing is a Notice of Appeal in the above case. Also enclosed are the following: see attached

- 1) Proof of service of the Notice of appeal on the Respondent(s).
- 2) A copy of the order(s) which is signed by presiding judge J. Cordell Maddox jr. to be challenged on appeal.
- 3) A copy of letter(s) to Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Cordell Maddox jr.
- 4) This Appeal is being filed with the Supreme court because... Denied Applicant's PCR Application.

August 21, 2015

s/ William Gregg
William Gregg @ 274507
BRCI Moultrie A 1084
4460 Broadriver Road
Columbia, S.C., 29210

The State of South Carolina
IN the Supreme Court

RECEIVED

Appeal from Sumter County
Court of Common Pleas
J. Cordell Maddox jr. Circuit
Court judge

AUG 25 2015

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Case No. 2008-CP-43-2479

State of South Carolina — — — Respondent,
v.
William Gregg # 274507 — — — Appellant

Notice of Appeal

William Gregg # 274507 appeals the order
[Judgment] of the Honorable J. Cordell
Maddox jr. dated May 13 2015. Presiding
judge which Denied applicants Post conviction
Relief application.

s/ William Gregg
William Gregg # 274507
BRCI Moultrie A-1084
4460 Broadriver Road
Columbia, S.C, 29210

State of South Carolina
In the Supreme Court

RECEIVED

Appeal from Sumter County
Court of Common Pleas
J. Coldell Maddox Jr. Circuit Court

AUG 25 2015

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Case No. 2008-CP-43-2479

State of South Carolina _____ Respondent,
v.
William Gillego @ 274507 _____ Appellant,

Proof of Service

I, William Gillego, certify that I have served
The Notice of Appeal to the parties listed
below by depositing a copy of it in the
United States Mail, postage prepaid, clearly
addressed on August 21, 2015

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearous
Supreme Court of South Carolina
Clerk,
P.O. box 11330
Columbia S.C. 29211

Clerk of Court

The Honorable James C. Campbell
Sumter County Judicial Center
215 N. Walnut St. Rm. 303
Sumter, S.C. 29150-4974

Daniel Goulet
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. box 11549
Columbia, S.C., 29211

William Gillego
William Gillego @ 274507
BICE. moulinie # 1084
4460 Broad River Road
Columbia, S.C., 29210

on the 21st day of
August, 2015

Susan H. Dye

My Commission Expires
March 5, 2018

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF SUMTER
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
 CASE NUMBER 2008CP4302479

William Gregg

2015 MAY 18 10:18 AM
 South Carolina State of

CLERK OF COURT
 SUMTER COUNTY, S.C.

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: Clerk of Court

Attorney for: Plaintiff Defendant
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);
 Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other: _____
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j) SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other: _____
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other: _____

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order: (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk: **See attached Order.**

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**

Circuit Court Judge

2131
 Judge Code

5/18/2015
 Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on , and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on , to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

William 274507 Gregg
John Stephen Keffer 23 West Calhoun St. Sumter, SC
29150
Kenneth R. Young Jr. 23 W. Calhoun St. Sumter, SC 29150

Megan Harrigan Jameson PO Box 11549 Columbia, SC
29211

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

James C. Campbell

Court Reporter

James C. Campbell - Clerk of Court

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.



State of South Carolina
The Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit

J. CORDELL MADDOX, JR.
JUDGE

RECORDED
2015 MAY 18 AM 10:23
JAMES C. CAMPBELL
CLERK OF COURT
SUMTER COUNTY, S.C.
100 SOUTH MAIN STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 8002
ANDERSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29622
TELEPHONE: (864) 260-4636
FAX: (864) 260-6348
E-MAIL: cmaddoxj@scjd.state.sc.us

May 13, 2015

The Honorable James C. Campbell
Sumter County Judicial Center
215 N Harvin St Rm 303
Sumter, SC 29150-4974

Re: 2008-CP-43-2479/William Gregg #274501 v State

Dear Honorable Campbell:

Please find enclosed an order for the above referenced case that has been signed by Judge Maddox.

I would greatly appreciate it if you would file this in your office and provide certified copies to the attorney(s) of record.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. With kindest regards,

Sincerely,

Cindy Hicks
Cindy Hicks

Administrative Assistant
Judge J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.

/ch
enclosure



RECORDED
2015 MAY 18 AM 10:23
JAMES C. GIBBELL
CLERK OF COURT
SUMTER COUNTY, S.C.

ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 6, 2015

The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
Presiding Judge, Third Judicial Circuit
Post Office Box 8002
Anderson, South Carolina 29622

Re: William Gregg, 274501 v. State of South Carolina
2008-CP-43-2479

Dear Judge Maddox:

Enclosed please find the original proposed **Order of Dismissal** in the above-captioned case. If this Order meets your approval, please sign and return to me in the enclosed envelope, and I will forward to the Sumter County Clerk of Court to be filed and served.

Sincerely,

Daniel Gourley
Assistant Attorney General

DG/cc
Enclosure(s)

cc: John Stephen Keffer, Esquire

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF SUMTER)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

RECORDED THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

2015 MAY 13 10 12 AM CASE NO. 12008-CP-43-2479

WILLIAM GREGG, #274507

Plaintiff)

**MOTION AND ORDER INFORMATION
FORM AND COVERSHEET**

vs.)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

Defendant.)

Plaintiff's Attorney: John Stephen Keffer. Bar No. _____ Address: 23 West Calhoun Street Sumter, SC 29150t Phone: _____ Fax _____ E-mail: _____ Other: _____	Defendant's Attorney: Daniel Gourley. Bar No. _____ Address: PO Box 11549 Columbia, SC 29211 Phone: _____ Fax _____ E-mail: _____ Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> MOTION HEARING REQUESTED (attach written motion and complete SECTIONS I and III) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FORM MOTION, NO HEARING REQUESTED (complete SECTIONS II and III) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROPOSED ORDER/CONSENT ORDER (complete SECTIONS II and III)	
SECTION I: Hearing Information	
Nature of Motion: _____ Estimated Time Needed: _____ Court Reporter Needed: <input type="checkbox"/> YES / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	
SECTION II: Motion/Order Type	
<input type="checkbox"/> Written motion attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Form Motion/Order I hereby move for relief or action by the court as set forth in the attached proposed order.	
Signature of Attorney for <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant	
May 6, 2015 Date submitted	
SECTION III: Motion Fee	
<input type="checkbox"/> PAID - AMOUNT: \$ _____ <input type="checkbox"/> EXEMPT: (check reason)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rule to Show Cause in Child or Spousal Support <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Abuse or Abuse and Neglect <input type="checkbox"/> Indigent Status <input type="checkbox"/> State Agency v. Indigent Party <input type="checkbox"/> Sexually Violent Predator Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Post-Conviction Relief <input type="checkbox"/> Motion for Stay in Bankruptcy <input type="checkbox"/> Motion for Publication <input type="checkbox"/> Motion for Execution (Rule 69, SCRCPP) <input type="checkbox"/> Proposed order submitted at request of the court; or, reduced to writing from motion made in open court per judge's instructions Name of Court Reporter: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
JUDGE'S SECTION	
<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Fee to be paid upon filing of the attached order. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	JUDGE CODE _____ Date: _____
CLERK'S VERIFICATION	
Collected by: _____ Date Filed: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> MOTION FEE COLLECTED: \$ _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CONTESTED - AMOUNT DUE: \$ _____	

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF SUMTER

William Gregg, #274507,

Applicant,

v.

State of South Carolina,

Respondent.

RECORDED
) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2019 MAY 15 10:24 AM FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
)
) JAMES L. SPICER Case No. 2008-CP-43-2479
) CLERK OF COURT
) SUMTER COUNTY, S.C.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This matter comes before the Court by way of a post-conviction relief (PCR) application filed on October 27, 2008. Respondent made its return on May 6, 2009. An evidentiary hearing in to the matter was convened on December 17, 2015, at the Sumter County Courthouse. Applicant was present at the hearing and was represented by John S. Keffer, Esquire. Respondent was represented by Assistant Attorney General Daniel Gourley of the South Carolina Attorney General's Office.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The records before this Court indicate that Applicant is incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections pursuant to orders of commitment of the Sumter County Clerk of Court. Applicant was indicted at the October 2007 term of the Sumter County Grand Jury for (1) Murder, (2) Possession of a Firearm During Crime of Violence, and (3) Possession with Intent to Distribute Heroin (2007-GS-43-0796). Christopher Hart, Esquire, represented him. Applicant proceeded to a jury trial before the Honorable R. Ferrell Cothran, Jr., on July 28-30, 2008. Applicant was found guilty of Murder and Possession of a Firearm During Crime of

Violence.¹ Applicant was sentenced to thirty-five (35) years imprisonment for Murder and a concurrent term of five (5) years imprisonment for Possession of a Firearm During Crime of Violence. Applicant did not appeal his conviction and sentence.

ALLEGATIONS

In his current Application, Applicant alleges that he is being held in custody unlawfully for the following reasons:

1. Ineffective assistance of counsel.
 - a. Failed to investigate case.
2. Denial of due process and fair trial.
3. Prosecutorial misconduct.

This Court notes Applicant failed to present sufficient testimony and arguments in support of his claims of a due process violation and prosecutorial misconduct.

SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY PRESENTED

At the evidentiary hearing, Applicant testified on his own behalf. The State presented testimony from Chris Hart, Esquire (hereinafter "Trial Counsel"). This Court also had before it a copy of the trial transcript, the Sumter County Clerk of Court records, Applicant's South Carolina Department of Correction records, appellate records, the PCR application, and return.

During the evidentiary hearing, Applicant testified that he was sentenced for murder in 2008. Applicant stated Chris Hart was his trial attorney. Applicant stated he met with Trial Counsel approximately three to five times prior to his trial. Applicant recalled reviewing discovery with Trial Counsel. Applicant recalled discussing various defenses with Trial Counsel.

¹ As a result of a pre-trial motion by the defense, the charge of Possession with Intent to Distribute Heroin was not tried during this trial.

Applicant stated that he felt he received ineffective assistance of counsel because Trial Counsel failed to properly investigate his case. Applicant stated Trial Counsel represented him eighteen months and only saw him about three to five times. Applicant stated that there were various witnesses alleging that he committed this crime. Applicant stated the area was known to be dark. Applicant further stated Trial Counsel failed to investigate the medical evidence in his case.

Applicant stated Kendrick Miller identified him as the shooter. Applicant recalled Trial Counsel requesting a Neil v. Biggers hearing to determine the identification. Applicant stated that he did not know who Kendrick Miller was prior to trial. Applicant stated he did not know how Kendrick Miller could identify him as the shooter when Applicant did not know him.

Applicant stated Trial Counsel should have moved to dismiss the indictment on a double jeopardy grounds. Specifically, Applicant stated he was indicted for murder, possession of a firearm, and possession with intent to distribute heroin. Applicant recalled Trial Counsel made a motion to sever the charges. Applicant stated the appearance of charges were prejudicial. Applicant cited to the Blockburger test. Applicant stated Trial Counsel failed to move to suppress illegally seized evidence. Specifically, Applicant stated the bullet taken from the wall was introduced into evidence.

Following Applicant's testimony, Trial Counsel was called to testify by the State. Trial Counsel stated that he had been practicing law for fourteen years and was retained to represent Applicant. Trial Counsel stated he met with Applicant seven to ten times prior to his trial. Trial Counsel stated he filed Brady and Rule 5 motions. Trial Counsel stated he reviewed the discovery material with Applicant prior to trial. Trial Counsel stated he hired a private investigator to help him investigate the case. Trial Counsel stated the investigator took pictures

of the scene and interviewed Kendrick Miller. Trial Counsel stated Kendrick Miller was not an important witness because he only heard shots and did not see anything take place.

Trial Counsel stated Applicant was very personable and intelligent person. Trial Counsel stated he first met with Applicant and got the true story. Trial Counsel stated Applicant was very concerned about extradition and wanted to challenge his extradition. Trial Counsel stated he discussed Applicant's version of facts. Specifically, Trial Counsel stated Applicant was traveling to New York from time to time. Trial Counsel stated he had a girlfriend that lived in North Carolina and a wife that lived in Sumter, South Carolina. Trial Counsel stated Applicant's wife's brother was Kevin Franklin (victim). Trial Counsel stated Applicant was known to be in the drug trade and his house was burglarized. Trial Counsel stated it was alleged that Victim was the person who burglarized Applicant's house.

Trial Counsel characterized the evidence against Applicant as "overwhelming." Specifically, Trial Counsel stated Darrell Harriott was a key eye witness who positively identified Applicant as the shooter. Trial Counsel stated he continually asked Applicant how Darrell Harriott knew Applicant, but Applicant failed to explain their connection. Trial Counsel stated Darrell Harriott's had a daughter with Applicant's wife. Trial Counsel stated Applicant would drive Darrell Harriott's daughter around in his vehicle and Darrell Harriott was able to identify the vehicle and Applicant. Trial Counsel further stated Darrell Harriott knew Applicant as "bubba," Applicant's nickname.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This Court has had the opportunity to review the record in its entirety and has heard the testimony at the post-conviction relief hearing. This Court has further had the opportunity to observe the witnesses presented at the hearing, closely pass upon their credibility, and weigh

their testimony accordingly. Specifically, this Court finds Trial Counsel's testimony credible and Applicant's testimony not credible. Set forth below are the relevant findings of facts and conclusions of law as required pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §17-27-80 (1985).

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

In a post-conviction relief action, the applicant has the burden of proving the allegations in the application. Rule 71.1(e), SCRPC; Butler v. State, 286 S.C. 441, 334 S.E.2d 813 (1985). Where ineffective assistance of counsel is alleged as a ground for relief, the Applicant must prove that "counsel's conduct so undermined the proper functioning of the adversarial process that the trial cannot be relied upon as having produced a just result." Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, (1984); Butler, 286 S.C. 441, 334 S.E.2d 813 (1985).

The proper measure of performance is whether the attorney provided representation within the range of competence required in criminal cases. Courts presume that counsel rendered adequate assistance and made all significant decisions in the exercise of reasonable professional judgment. Butler, 286 S.C. 441, 334 S.E.2d 813 (1985). The applicant must overcome this presumption to receive relief. Cherry v. State, 300 S.C. 115, 386 S.E.2d 624 (1989).

Courts use a two-pronged test in evaluating allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel. First, the Applicant must prove that counsel's performance was deficient. Under this prong, attorney performance is measured by its "reasonableness under professional norms." Cherry, 300 S.C. at 117, 385 S.E.2d at 625 (citing Strickland). Second, counsel's deficient performance must have prejudiced the applicant such that "there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's unprofessional errors, the result of the proceeding would have been different." Cherry, 300 S.C. at 117-18, 386 S.E.2d at 625.

Ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to investigate.

This Court finds Applicant's allegation that he received ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to investigate his case is meritless. "[C]riminal defense attorneys have a duty to undertake a reasonable investigation, which at a minimum includes interviewing potential witnesses and making an independent investigation of the facts and circumstances of the case." Walker v. State, 397 S.C. 226, 235, 723 S.E.2d 610, 615 (Ct. App. 2012). Failure to conduct an independent investigation does not constitute ineffective assistance of counsel when the allegation is supported only by mere speculation as to result. Porter v. State, 368 S.C. 378, 385-86, 629 S.E.2d 353, 357 (2006) (citing Moorehead v. State, 329 S.C. 329, 334, 496 S.E.2d 415, 417 (1998)). In any ineffectiveness case, a particular decision not to investigate must be directly assessed for reasonableness in all the circumstances, applying a heavy measure of deference to counsel's judgments." Wiggins v. Smith, 539 U.S. 510, 521-22 (2003).

In the instant case, Trial Counsel testified he met with Applicant seven to ten times prior to his trial. Trial Counsel stated he filed Brady and Rule 5 motions. Trial Counsel stated he reviewed the discovery material with Applicant prior to trial. Trial Counsel stated he hired a private investigator to help him investigate the case. Trial Counsel stated the investigator took pictures of the scene and interviewed Kendrick Miller. Trial Counsel stated Kendrick Miller was not an important witness because he only heard shots and did not see anything take place. Based on the foregoing, this Court finds Trial Counsel's actions were reasonable in the circumstances and did not fall below professional norms of reasonableness. Cherry, 300 S.C. at 117, 385 S.E.2d at 625 (citing Strickland).

Additionally, this Court finds Applicant can show no prejudice as he failed to present any evidence or witnesses in support of his argument that Trial Counsel failed to investigate his case.

See Skcen v. State, 325 S.C. 210, 481 S.E.2d 129 (1997) (holding applicant not entitled to relief where no evidence presented at PCR hearing to show how additional preparation would have had any possible effect on the result at trial). Furthermore, this Court agrees with Trial Counsel's assertion that there was clear evidence of overwhelming guilt. Where there is overwhelming evidence of guilt, a trial counsel's deficient representation will not be prejudicial. See Franklin v. Catoe, 346 S.C. 563, 570 n. 3, 552 S.E.2d 718, 722 n. 3 (2001) (finding overwhelming evidence of guilt negated any claim that counsel's deficient performance could have reasonably affected the result of defendant's trial). Based off of the foregoing, this Court finds this allegation should be denied and dismissed.

ALL OTHER ALLEGATIONS

As to any and all allegations that were raised in the application or at the hearing in this matter and not specifically addressed in this Order, this Court finds the Applicant failed to present any testimony, argument, or evidence at the hearing regarding such allegations. Accordingly, this Court finds the Applicant has abandoned any such allegations.

CONCLUSION

Based on all the foregoing, this Court finds and concludes that the Applicant has not established any constitutional violations or deprivations that would require this court to grant his application. Therefore, this application for post-conviction relief must be denied and dismissed with prejudice.

This Court notes that Applicant must file and serve a notice of appeal within thirty days from the receipt by counsel of written notice of entry of judgment to secure the appropriate appellate review. See Rule 203, SCACR. Pursuant to Austin v. State, 305 S.C. 453 (1991), an Applicant has a right to an appellate counsel's assistance in seeking review of the denial of post-

conviction relief. Rule 71.1(g), SCRPC, provides that if the applicant wishes to seek appellate review, post-conviction relief counsel must serve and file a Notice of Appeal on the Applicant's behalf. Applicant is directed to South Carolina Appellate Court Rule 243 for appropriate procedures for appeal.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Application for Post-Conviction Relief must be denied and dismissed with prejudice; and
2. The Applicant must be remanded to the custody of the Respondent.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED this 13 day of May, 2015.



J. CORDELL MADDOX, JR.
Presiding Judge
Third Judicial Circuit

Anderson, South Carolina



State of South Carolina

The Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit

J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
Judge

Post Office Box 8002
Anderson, SC 29622
Phone: (864) 260-4636
Fax: (864) 260-6348
cmaddoxj@sccourts.org


August 13, 2015

William Gregg @ 274507
BRCI MLt-A-1084
4460 Broadriver Road
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Mr. Gregg,

Our office received your letter requesting a copy of Judge Maddox's ruling on the 59e motion filed on June 8, 2015. I am sorry, but our office is not in possession of any such document. However, the Clerk's office will have a copy. I recommend either you go through the Clerk's office yourself and request a copy or have your attorney do so.

Sincerely yours,



Law Clerk to the Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.

William Gillego © 274507
BroadRiver Coril Inst. Mt. # 1084
4460 BroadRiver Road
Columbia, South Carolina, 29210

August 6, 2015

Honorable Judge Presiding Case No. 2008 CP 432479
J. Cordell Maddox jr.

Dear Sir,

Petitioner, William Gillego, in the above captioned case matter filed 59(e) Motion and served all parties involved in the above case matter. Petitioner's Attorney: John Stephan Keller counsel in this matter represents him.

Petitioner is writing and requesting a copy of the Honorable J. Cordell Maddox jr Ruling on his 59(e) Motion filed on the 8TH day of June 2015, -- please forward a copy of your ruling to the above address.

sworn to and subscribed before me

This 6 day of August 2015

[Signature]

Notary for South Carolina


6/10/2018

Commission Expires

Respectfully
Submitted,

William Gillego © 274507

William Gallagher @ 214501
sketch moultrie A 1084
4460 Broadriver Road
Columbia S.C. 29210



UNITED STATES
SINCE 1776
FIRST CLASS
\$01.145
02 1M
0008003534
AUG21 2015
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29210

RECEIVED

AUG 2 1 2015

BRC:
MAILROOM

The Honorable David E. Shearrows
Supreme Court of South Carolina
Clerk.
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

2921131330

LEGAL MAIL