



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 4, 2012

RECEIVED

SEP 4 2012

VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk, South Carolina Supreme Court
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

S.C. Supreme Court

Re: State v. Ryan Hercheck
Appellate Case Tracking No. 2011-195567

Dear Mr. Shearouse:

The State received a copy of the moving parties' Reply to the Return Opposing Motion to Consolidate. The letter acknowledges it was sent after this Court denied the request to consolidate and asks the Reply be regarded as a Motion for Reconsideration. The State asserts the Reply does not provide any basis to alter this Court's decision regarding consolidation. Further, the State notes consideration of the Reply as a Motion for Reconsideration would be improper because the motion is not allowed under Rule 221(c) or Rule 240(i), SCACR.

The State will provide a more formal response if the Court finds one is necessary. The State appreciates this Court's prompt consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

William M. Blich, Jr.
Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Bar No. 15608

cc: The Honorable Douglas A. Barfield, Jr.
Joseph M. McCulloch, Jr. Esquire
Michael L. Brown, Esquire
Desa Ballard, Esquire
Victim Services