

SCC10 - Mrs. Lavelle Cantel Durant Esq.

1330 Lady St. Suite 401

Columbia, S.C. 29201-3332

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2015

SC Court of Appeals

1 Sept. 24, 2015

RE: STATE VS. MAJID AKA Moseley C/N: 2014-00019

"MOTION OF DISMISSAL"

DEAR MRS. DURANT ESQ:

MAAM, I received your respondents brief "LAST NIGHT 9/23/15". IT IS
APPR. 5 1/2 MONTHS LATE, IN VIOLATION OF [Rule 208 INITIAL BRIEF (2)(4)]; & THEREBY
[Rule 260] - DISMISSAL - OR - ANY OTHER - DISMISSAL - MOTION - MUST BE ADMINISTERED ASAP.
- "THE SAME TIME LIMIT APPLIES TO ANY REPLY" CALIF. JUSTICE TOOL [HAMMER VS. HAMMER 2015
WH. 5179187 S.C. 2015 Appellate C/N: 2015-000945 submit 9/14/15] Decided 9/14/15;
- "HOLDING WHEN A STATUTE REQUIRES THE FILING OF A DOCUMENT, IT IS CONSIDERED FILED
WHEN DELIVERED TO & RECEIVED BY THE PROPER OFFICER." [GARV. V. STATE, 347 S.C. 627, 557
S.E. 2d 662 (2001)]. Certificate of Service 9/16/15] SIGN. [WILLIAM EDGAR SALTER III,
SENIOR ASST. ATTY. GENERAL S.C. BAR NO. 4806] Received 9/23/15] Appellant's Brief
[APRIL 1, 2015]; MY "COMPLAINT," 9/15/15], see also [GREAT GAMES, INC. VS. S.C. DEPT.
OF REVENUE, 339 S.C. 79, 529 S.E. 2d 6 (2000)] "HOLDING THE FAILURE OF A PARTY TO COMPLY
WITH THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PERFECTING AN APPEAL DIVESTS THE CIRCUIT OF
COMPLAINT APPELLATE JURISDICTION." THAT MEANS THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS CANNOT
EVEN ENTERTAIN THEIR FRIVOLOUS BRIEF! I INSTRUCT YOU TO ADMINISTER
- MOTION TO DISMISS, - ASAP MAAM AS WELL AS MY "COMPLAINT," WITH 'CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE',
9/15/15]. IT IS YOUR DUTY AS MY "APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL," AND I AM
PROTECTED BY [ADA 42 U.S.C. § 19812] - THERE IS NO HYBRID MOTIONS! "A DEFENDANT
HAS A RIGHT TO PURSUE A DIRECT APPEAL, EVEN IF FRIVOLOUS, WHICH COUNSEL
MUST ASSURE AS "[AN ACTIVE ADVOCATE ON BEHALF OF HIS CLIENT]" [FRAZIER VS.
S.C. 430 F.3d 696, 705 (4TH CIR. 2005)] QUOTING [ANDERS V. CAL. 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967)]

II.

IF YOU FAIL TO ADMINISTER MOTION AND REPLY BRIEF THEN I SHALL IMMEDIATELY

- ADMINISTER: ① COMPLAINT WITH CHIEF APPELLATE DEFENDER MR. ROBERT H. DIRKX;
- ② COMPLAINT WITH OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL; ③ S.C. Rule 265 SUBST. OF FACTS.
- ④ VIA MOTION TO APPELLATE COURT.

THIS IS VERY STRESSFUL & MY CURRENT STATE OF BEING IS NOT SAFE DUE TO "REPRISALS" BY SCDC STAFF, NIGHTMARES, INADEQUATE MENTAL HEALTH CARE & MEDICAL CARE. AND FURTHER DAMAGES RATHER MENTALLY, EMOTIONALLY, PHYSICALLY ETC. FOR FAILURE TO ADMINISTER DISMISSAL DOCUMENTS WITH BE ADMITTANCE OF LIABILITY. MAY GOD BLESS!

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED;

Shahid Majid

SHAHID MAJID AKA ARTHUR MUSEL #192398

WCT. P.O. BOX 205 - R111 B#132

RECEIVED

Ridgeville, S.C. 29478

SEP 28 2015

SC Court of Appeals

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I ATTEST ON BEHALF DATE COPY OF LETTER TO ATTY. DURANTESA TO DO DISMISSAL MOTION HAS BEEN MAILED TO: [① Lanelle C. DURANTESA; ② SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS CLERK MRS. JENNY A. KITCHINGS]. I ASK HONORABLE CLERK KITCHINGS TO PLEASE MAIL TO ME A CHECKED, DOCKET COPY VALIDATING PROOF OF SERVICE AT BELOW ADDRESS.

ON THIS, 25th DAY OF, Sept, 2015

Shahid Majid

SHAHID MAJID #192398 WCT. P.O. BOX 205 - R111 - B#132 Ridgeville, S.C. 29478

SHANID MASON aka ARTHUR Moseley #1991398

WCT- P.O. BOX 205- RHU #132

Ridgeville, SC 29472



RECEIVED

\$ 000.485
4 SEP 25 2015
ZIP CODE 29472

SEP 28 2015

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

SEP 25 2015

MAIL ROOM
USBCI


SOUTH CAROLINA COURT of Appeals

CLERK TENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS

P.O. Box 11629, Columbia, S.C 29211

FOR LEGAL USE ONLY

2521181625



THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS HAS NOT
INSPECTED OR CENSORED THIS ITEM; THEREFORE,
THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY
FOR ITS CONTENTS.

LIEBER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
S.C. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS