



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211  
1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

October 7, 2015

Mr. George M. Adams, #181283  
Perry Correctional Institution  
430 Oaklawn Road  
Pelzer SC 29669

Re: George M. Adams v. State  
Appellate Case No. 2015-001394

Dear Mr. Adams:

This responds to your *pro se* motion for reinstatement or reconsideration dated September 30, 2015. This motion has been construed as a petition for rehearing. No action will be taken on this petition for three reasons.

First, you are represented by counsel in this matter. Therefore, this *pro se* filing is improper and cannot be accepted for filing. *Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010); *Jones v. State*, 348 S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 379 S.E.2d 907 (1989).

Second, this matter was dismissed by order dated September 3, 2015. Therefore, any petition for rehearing had to be actually received by this Court by September 18, 2015. Therefore, the petition for rehearing is untimely under Rule 221 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Third, when no timely petition for rehearing was received by this Court, the remittitur was sent to the circuit court on September 21, 2015. The sending of the remittitur ended appellate jurisdiction over this case. *Wise v. S.C. Dept. of Corr.*, 372 S.C. 173, 642 S.E.2d 551 (2007).

Accordingly, no action will be taken on your *pro se* petition for rehearing by this Court.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'C' followed by a series of loops and a long horizontal line extending to the right.

CLERK

cc: Tommy Arthur Thomas, Esquire  
James Clayton Mitchell, III, Esquire