

**INSERT ON NOTICE FOR REVIEW PETITIONING FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
REINSTATEMENT OF CASE ON APPEAL AND NOTICE
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

In the Supreme Court
APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Doyet A Early, Court of Common Pleas Judge
Order 20 November 2007 and
Appellant Court Review of Case 2015-000787

RECEIVED
OCT 09 2015
SC Court of Appeals

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III, Appellant.

v.

Charleston County School District, et al.....Respondent,

Wesley E. Smith, Pro Se
465 N, Nassau Street
Charleston, SC 29403
(804)244-7807

Attorney for Appellant
Daniel Francis Blanchard, III, Esquire
151 Meeting Street 4th Floor
Charleston, SC 29403
(843) 737-6550
Attorney for Respondent

**MR. WESLEY EDWARD SMITH III INSERT SECT 24 Article I and Due PROCESS
CLAUSE TO ALSO SUPPORT DISSENTING STATE LOWER COURT ORDER AND
THE REVIEW OF THE APPELLATE COURT LETTER DENYING TO
ACKNOWLEDGE PETITION FOR REINSTATEMENT PURSUANT AUTHORITY OF
APPELLATE COURT RULE 242 BELIEVED AND ERROR ACCORDING TO THE
LAW**

QUESTION PRESENTED

How can the Court of Appeals unsubstantiated over sighted dismissal the Honorable Doyet A. Early expressly written order from the Court of Common Pleas considered finalized (submission of a remittitur), when the Court of Common Pleas has not allowed the showing of the parties followed the commencement practices which properly commences the Court activity, and when such proceedings has not adhered to section 3 of the States Constitutions that privileges and immunities; due process; equal protection of laws, and of which privileges and immunities of citizens of this State under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws, for this adjudicating purpose not be perceived as an error of law, supported by the order that states the recordings by decree on frivolous matters identified a violation according to S. C. Code 15-36-10 et seg?

"INSERT" "This request to insert on page 5 para of 2 of submission sent on October 5, 2015, "that the order of the Honorable Doyet A. Early dated 20 November 2007, if allowed to the premature expressly written order is allowed to stand as a reliable source of court validity, such action would be in direct conflict with the States Constitution Article I Sect 3" in regards of denying due process prior to the taken of life, liberty and or property." My job was taken prior to have proper and adequate due process from which the Charleston County School District still owes me a great sum of money, but third party entity have encroached upon my rights to enter the Courts, which prevents me form being paid as required by the State laws.

The States Constitution is another reliable supporting source of information I rely on, used to support my objectionable dissenting the Courts premature order(s) that were in declared frivolous in violation of S C Code 15-36-10 et seg". The Due process Clause, section 24 Article 3 which state in relevant parts (SEE enclosure 6)

"SECTION 3. Privileges and immunities; due process; equal protection of laws. The privileges and immunities of citizens of this State and of the United States under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws.


Any person that tends to erode or anything that attempts to change the rule of law is a violation of law no matter what side it tends to rest upon .

Based on this information, I believe that due process is the legal shield which requirement umbrellas a protected employment activities under the State laws and all State officials and personnel must respect all legal rights that are owed to a person. Due process balances the power of law of the land and protects the individual person from it. When a

government harms a person without following the exact course of the law, this constitutes a due process violation, which offends the rule of law. " (Supporting law argument for Article I Sect 3 Enclosure 6 Attached)

October 7, 2015

Respectfully Submitted



Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III

ENCLOSURE

(6)

Case: Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III

v

Charleston County School District et al,

Appeals case No 2015-000787

Preamble

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

We, the people of the State of South Carolina, in Convention assembled, grateful to God for our liberties, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the preservation and perpetuation of the same.

ARTICLE I. DECLARATION OF RIGHTS

SECTION 1. Political power in people.

All political power is vested in and derived from the people only, therefore, they have the right at all times to modify their form of government. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

SECTION 2. Religious freedom; freedom of speech; right of assembly and petition.

The General Assembly shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the government or any department thereof for a redress of grievances. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

SECTION 3. Privileges and immunities; due process; equal protection of laws.

The privileges and immunities of citizens of this State and of the United States under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Supreme Court
APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Doyet A Early, Court of Common Pleas Judge
Order 20 November 2007 and
Appellant Court Review of Case 2015-000787

RECEIVED

OCT 09 2015

SC Court of Appeals


Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III, Appellant.
v.

Charleston County School District et al, Respondent,

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Wesley Edward Smith III, certify that on October 7, 2015, submits an insertion to petition for reinstatement a response in opposition to State Court of appeal letter dated 24 September 2015. was sent by First Class Mail via United States Mail and on all parties listed below in this action to the following.

TO: Attorney for Appellant
Daniel F, Blanchard, III Esquire
151 Meeting Street 4th Floor
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
(843) 577-6726
Attorney for Respondent


Mr. Wesley E. Smith, III
465 N. Nassau Street
Charleston, SC 29403
(804) 2447807
Attorney for Appellant, Pro Se

Wesley E. Smith III
465 North Nassau Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
(804) 244-7807

October 7, 2015

RECEIVED

OCT 09 2015

SC Court of Appeals

CLERK
Honorable Daniel Shearouse
South Carolina Supreme Court
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29401

RE: Mr. Wesley Edward Smith, III Appellant v Charleston County School District et al
Respondents IN REF: Case Appellant Court Case Trial Number 2015-00787

Enclosed for your immediate attention is the request to insert on page 5 para 3 of submission sent on October 5, 2015, "that the order of the Honorable Doyet A. Early dated 20 November 2007, if allowed to the premature expressly written order is allowed to stand as a reliable source of court validity, such action would be in direct conflict with the States Constitution Article I Sect 24" in regards of denying due process prior to the taken of life, liberty and or property." My job was taken prior to have proper and adequate due process from which the Charleston County School District still owes me a great sum of money, but third party entity have encroached upon my rights to enter the Courts, which prevents me form being paid as required by the State laws.

The States Constitution is another reliable supporting source of information I rely on, used to support my objectionable dissenting the Courts premature order(s) that were in declared frivolous in violation of S C Code 15-36-10 et seg".

I did/do not consent to the waiver, use of my precious time and services have anyone to use me as practice on my legal or personal rights, nor did I authorized involuntary servitude. All other rights are preserved and reserved at this time.


Mr. Wesley E. Smith, III


Copy To: CLERK Honorable Jenny A. Kitchens
CLERK Honorable Julie J. Armstrong
Mr. Daniel Frank Blanchard, III ESQ
FILE

Mr. Wesley E. Smith III
465 North Nassau Street
Charleston. S, C 29403

CHARLESTON, SC 29403



1000



29201

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
NORTH CHARLESTON, SC
29406
OCT 07 15
AMOUNT

\$0.71

R2303S103011-03

RECEIVED

OCT 09 2015

SC Court of Appeals

Honorable Jenny A. Kitchens
South Carolina Court of Appeals
~~1015 Sumter Street~~ 1220 SQUAKE ST.
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

2920183769

