

DOUGLAS H. WESTBROOK  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
23 BROAD STREET  
CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29401  
TELEPHONE: (843) 853-9600  
FAX: (843) 577-2241

October 28, 2015

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk  
The South Carolina Court of Appeals  
P.O. Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

Re: Fred Gatewood v. SCDC  
Appellate Case No. 2014-001199

Dear Ms. Kitchings:


Pursuant to SCACR 208(b)(7), a party must advise the Clerk of pertinent and significant authorities coming to the party's attention after initial briefs have been filed. In compliance with the rule, please be advised of the following cases: Adkins, et al. v. SCDC 360 S.C. 413, 602 S.E. 2d 51, notes 5 and 9 (S.C., 2004) (Regarding deductions from inmate's wages under S.C. Code §24-3-40); Future Group II v. Nationsbank 478 S.E. 2d 45, 51 (S.C., 1996) (Regarding pre-judgment interest); Layman v. State 658 S.E. 2d 320 (S.C., 2008) (Regarding attorney fees).

These cases came to my attention since initial briefs have been filed, as pertinent to issue 2 (pp. 9-15) of inmates' brief (Adkins); and issue 8 (pp. 31-33) (Future Group II; Layman).

Adkins is pertinent to applicability of §24-3-40 as the statutory basis for wage deductions (issue 2). Future Group II is pertinent to recovery of pre-judgment interest (issue 8). Layman is pertinent to recovery of attorney fees (issue 8).

Finally, Adkins is cited and discussed briefly in inmates' brief, p. 3; and in SCDC's brief, pp. 10, 18. Future Group II and Layman are cited and discussed in SCDC's brief, p. 29. Nevertheless, this letter under SCACR 208(b)(7) is being sent out of an abundance of caution.

Sincerely,

  
Douglas H. Westbrook

DHW/

cc: Lake E. Summers, Esq.