



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211
1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080
FAX: (803) 734-1499
www.sccourts.org

November 4, 2015

Rodney Duane Davis, Esquire
4000 Faber Place Drive
Suite 300
Charleston SC 29405

Re: Quentin Jenkins v. State
Appellate Case No. 2015-002181
Lower Court Case No. 2012CP1007980

Dear Counsel:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at www.sccourts.org/courtreg. Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02. Please

note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will not review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

The order on appeal indicates that the sole issue in this post-conviction relief (PCR) case was whether petitioner was entitled to a belated appeal. The PCR judge determined, apparently based in part on a concession by the State, that petitioner was entitled to a belated direct appeal under *State v. White*, 263 S.C. 110, 108 S.E.2d 35 (1974).

The records reflect that petitioner has already had a direct appeal from the underlying criminal convictions, and that the South Carolina Court of Appeals dismissed that appeal after conducting a review pursuant to *Anders v. California*. *State v. Quentin R. Jenkins*, Op. No. 2010-UP-00147 (S.C. Ct. App. filed February 24, 2010) (Appellate Case No. 2008-084766).¹ Therefore, it is unclear how *White v. State* has any relevance to this matter.

Accordingly, I ask that you please explain the legal basis on which a second direct appeal is being sought in this matter. This explanation should be provided within ten (10) days of the date of this letter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "D. E. S.", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

CLERK

cc: James Rutledge Johnson, Esquire

¹ This opinion is available at www.sccourts.org/opinions/displayUnPubOpinion.cfm?caseNo=2010-UP-147.