

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

APEX AUTMOTIVE.LLC DBA PIEDMONT CHRYSLER JEEP DODGE RESPONDENT NOV 02 2015

THIS IS FOR THE CLERK OF COURT ONLY

SC Court of Appeals

I EARL NASH IS ASKING JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS CLERK OF COURT TO GIVE ONE OF THESE PAPER TO ONE EACH OF THESE PEOPLE THAT HAS SIGN THEIR NAME ON TO THIS ORDER BECAUSE I CAN NOT READ THEIR SIGNEING ON THIS PAPERWORK .

WHEN THIS CASE WAS FILE THE ATTORNEY AND JUDGE HAD SO MANY DAYS TO ANSWER AND I NEVER RECEIVER NOTHING FROM THEM AND WHEN I ASK THE COURT IF THEY HAD ANSWER MY COMPLAINT I WAS TOLD THAT THEY WAS NOT GOING TO ANSWER ME ON THAT SO I THEN ASK IF THE COURT HAD RECEIVED ANY THING FROM THE ATTORNEY OR JUDGE AND AGAIN I WAS TOLD TTHE COURT WAS NOT GOING TO GIVE ME NOTHIN TO ME ABOUT THIS CASE .

I CAN NOT ANSWER ANY THING THAT THE ATTORNEY NOR JUDGE HAS FILE TO ANSWER MY FILEING WHEN I NEVER RECEIVED NOTHINH FROM THEM OR THE COURT SO HOW CAN I ANSWER ANY THIS WHEN I NEVER GOT NOTHING TO ANSWER TO SO THE COURT LEAVE ME NO CHOISE BUT TO FILE THIS AGAINST THESE PEOPLE ,AND THIS IS A FEDERAL CRIME ALSO.

REGARDS

EARL NASH

Earl Nash
10/28/2015

I HAVE FILE CHARGES AGAINST THESE PEOPLE FOR JUDICIARY DUTYIN THIS PAPERWORK

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

APEX AUTOMOTIVE . LC.DBA PIEDMONT CHRYSLER JEEP DODGE RESPONDENT

NOV 02 2015

SC Court of Appeals

I EARL NASH HAD BROUGHT CHARGES AGAINST YOU YOUR NAME WILL BE IN THE COPY THAT WILL BE SENT ALONG WITH THESE PAPERWORK BECAUSE I CAN'T READ WHAT YOUR NAME IS THE CHARGES ARE JUDICIARY DUTY FOR WHAT YOU HAVE DONE IN MY CASE

REGARDS

EARL NASH

Earl Nash

10/28/2015

The South Carolina Court of Appeals RECEIVED

NOV 02 2015
SC Court of Appeals

Apex Automotive, LLC dba Piedmont Chrysler Jeep
Dodge, Respondent,

v.

Earl Jamison Nash and Murna Lee Nash, Defendants,

Of Whom Earl Jamison Nash is the Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-000976

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion to reinstate his appeal, which was dismissed due to Appellant's failure to serve and file his initial brief in compliance with Rules 208 and 209 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. On January 8, 2015, this court ordered Appellant to serve and file an initial brief and designation of matter that comply with Rules 208 and 209, SCACR, and explained that the motion to reinstate would be considered upon the expiration of twenty days.

To date, Appellant has not served and filed his initial brief and designation of matter. Accordingly, we construe Appellant's motion to reinstate as a petition to rehear the dismissal of this appeal and deny the petition.¹

Thomas C. Hoff
_____ J.

Stephen P. McDonald
_____ J.

James W. Curren
_____ A.J.

¹ Because we deny Appellant's petition for rehearing, we need not address his requests set forth in his correspondence filed January 29, 2015.

FILED
2/10/15
WARD


Carl Jamison
209 Cannon Rd.
Anderson, S.C. 29625



7006 0810 0004 6820 4448



US POSTAGE
\$07.89⁰⁰
First-Class



Mailed From 29621
10/29/2015
032A 0061826496



South Carolina Court of Appeals
Jenny Abbott Kitchings Clerk
Post Office Box 11629
Columbee, South Carolina 29211

RECEIVED

NOV 02 2015

SC Court of Appeals

10/31