

Rishawn Reader #316370
Perry C.I.
430 Oaklawn Rd
Pelzer, S.C. 29669

December 8, 2015

RECEIVED

DEC 14 2015

The Supreme Court of South Carolina
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, S.C. 29201

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Dear Brenda F. Shealy,

There is a miscarriage of justice, deception of court, misconduct by government officials in my legal proceedings. My appellate counsel David Alexander is being deficient in raising my meritorious issues on appeal that I properly preserve for the record. The lower courts public officials presented false evidence to jury in my trial this constitutes reversible error, appropriate relief is a new trial. DeLeon v. State, 2015 WL 5970189 (quoting Simpson v. State 401 S.E. 2d 152 (Where appellate counsel fails to raise a meritorious issue on appeal that constitutes reversible error, appropriate relief is a new trial).

Appellate counsel David Alexander is being intentionally deficient in bar my meritorious issues of exculpatory evidence, and impeachment evidence, as well as confrontation clause violation by failing to present the non-testifying alibi codefendant who is available in a joint trial on the stand but instead uses an out-of-court inadmissible statement into evidence of the only witness state have when he could of been impeach. There is third party guilt as well as Brady violation appellate counsel fail to raise helping hide misconduct, miscarriage of justice, deception of court, denial of a fair trial that I suffered in the lower courts. Mr. Alexander trying to keep this out of the eyes of the higher court so that I cant get my wrongful and unlawful conviction vacated.

I need a new counsel this is not the guarantee of due process of law, equal protection of law, and effective assistance of counsel guaranteed by the Federal and state constitution. I request for a new competent appellate counsel because this is a conflict of interest where Mr. Alexander is not applying his professional norm, experience, nor law to the facts of my case. I am not trying to represent my prose, instead I want a new lawyer.

The law firmly established that the 14th amendment to the Constitution of the United States cannot tolerate a state criminal conviction obtained by knowing use of false evidence or improper manipulation of material evidence. U.S. v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 677, 105 S.Ct. 3375. The term "false evidence" includes the introduction of specific misleading evidence important to the prosecution's case in chief or the nondisclosure of specific evidence valuable to the accused's defense. Donnelly v. DeChristoforo, 416 U.S. 637, 94 S.Ct. 1868.

Mr. Alexander is trying to bar the meritorious issues I preserve for appellate review to get reviewed and ruled on by the higher courts. He is helping deceive the court, hide miscarriage of justice, and misconduct by government officials. I need help I am an innocent man that has been frame help.

Respectfully submitted,
Rishawn Reader

These are my meritorious relevant issues I preserve for the record that Mr. Alexander is intentionally failing to raise to the higher courts:

Claims-

Ineffective assistance of counsel:

1. trial counsel failure to object to allege non-testifying codefendant's out-of-court statements through investigator's testimonies;
2. trial counsel failure to confront and cross-examine Ofc. Heather Forrester
3. trial counsel failure to conduct an independent investigation
4. trial counsel failure to interview alibi witnesses
5. trial counsel failure to present allege codefendant identification of shooter
6. trial counsel failure to motion for severance
7. trial counsel failure to impeach SLED investigator Jla Simmons testimony on gunshot residue test results
8. trial counsel objecting and redacting statements on affidavit of the photo identification by Dwight Jeter identifying Mr. Cathcart as shooter
9. trial counsel failure to present Crimestoppers lead of the shooter
10. trial counsel requesting self-defense charge
11. trial counsel failure to present applicant and allege codefendant Gunshot Residue Analysis Information forms
12. trial counsel failure to motion to suppress applicant's gunshot residue test results pursuant to S.C. Rules of Evidence Rule 403
13. trial counsel failure to present Edward Robinson confession of the shooter
14. trial counsel failure to motion to quash indictment before jury was sworn
15. trial counsel failure to inform to testify
16. trial counsel failure to object to Solicitor's Closing Argument

Due Process of Law:

1. State withheld deal between State and allege codefendant
2. Brady violation

Also where I've stated my alibi whereabouts the lower court erase every section to hide evidence of alibi from the higher courts. They also erase in trial transcript sent to higher courts of the place of the crime by victims state to deceive the court, they are two different places. They have shame me and trying to hide their tracks. HELP ME!!

Respectfully submitted,
Rishawn Peedee