

The State of South Carolina
In The Court of Appeals

Appeal From The Administrative Law Court

Administrative Law Judge - Deborah Brooks Dunden
Case no ¹³ALT-04-0772 AP

Charles R Carter 246054

Appellant

VS

South Carolina Department of Corrections - Respondent

Reply Brief To Respondent's
Final Brief

Charles Ray Carter 246054
Ridgeland Ct SA 28
P.O. Box 2039
Ridgeland SC 29936

Dec. 10 - 2014

RECEIVED

DEC 15 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Table of Contents

Page 1

Table of Authorities Page ONE

Cases = HILL VS U.S. Ex Rel - Wamphen - 298 U.S. 460

Tant US South Carolina Department of Corrections

759-SE 2d 398-2014

Reply and citation of Authority

Page Two

Conclusion

Page Three

Certificate of Service

Page Four

Certificate of Compliance

Page Five

Reply and Citation of Authorities

Pursuant To Tant vs South Carolina Department of Corrections
May 28-2014-759-se2d-398-when The department of Corrections decides
its original Recommendation of a sentence is erroneous it must afford
The inmate Formal of The Amended Sentence and Advise Him of His
opportunity To Be Heard Through The grievance procedure. The department is
usually Confined To The Face of The Sentencing sheet in determining length
of Sentence unless There is ambiguity in The Sentencing sheet. The Fundamental
Requirements of Due process include Notice and an opportunity To Be Heard in
a meaningful way. The length of an inmates incarceration implicates a
constitutional liberty interest of Due process purposes. Ambiguity or
Doubts Relative To a sentence should Be Resolved in Favor of The accused.
In Respondent's Final Brief on Page 9-line 15-it is admitted By The
Respondent That They changed Appellants COR Code and Recalculated His
Sentence But cannot show where due process was afforded To Appellant
Pursuant To Hill vs U.S. Ex Rel-Wampler P. 460-The only sentence known To
law are The Sentence or Judgment entered upon Records of The Courts and
if entry is inaccurate There is Remedy By Motion To Correct it But Judgment
imports verity when collaterally assailed. Warrant of Commitment
departing in matter of Substance From Judgment Back of it is Void.
If Judgment or Sentence does not make detention lawful, no
mitimus will avail To make detention lawful. Provision in
Commitment inserted By The Clerk But not included in Sentence
Pronounced By The Judge is Void. Appellants Original
sentencing sheet was Filled out and Signed By The Judge There
fore The Modification And Recalculation By SCDC is Void.

Conclusion

Therefore The Respondent Has admitted in its Final Brief That SCDC Did Modify and Recalculate Appellant's Sentence without affording him due Process of Law and Has also Admitted That There was no ambiguity in the Sentencing Sheet and That a New copy of a Sentencing Sheet was indeed Filed By The York County Clerk of Court. Appellant's Original Sentence expired on 10-7-2013 and He Should Be Released immediately. Therefore Appellant Respectfully Request That This Court Reverse The ALC's decision and Order That He Be Released immediately.

Respectfully Submitted
Charles Ray Cartwright
P.O. Box 2039
Ridgeland SC 29936

Daniel J Crooks
Staff Attorney
South Carolina Department of
Corrections
P.O. Box 21787
Columbia SC 29221.

The State of South Carolina

Page 4

In The Court of Appeals

Appeal From The Administrative Law Court

Administrative Law Judge - DeDonat Brooks Purden

Case # 2013-ALJ-04-0772-AP

Appellate Case No - 2014-001060

Charles R Carter

Appellant

South Carolina Department of Corrections

Respondent

Certificate of Service

I Here By certify That I have served the Respondent with a copy of a Reply Brief To Respondent's Final Brief By Depositing a copy of it in the U.S. Mail Postage Prepaid on 12-10-2014 addressed To Respondent's as follows:

Daniel O. Crooks III
Staff Attorney
South Carolina Department of
Corrections
P.O. Box 21787
Columbia SC 29221

Charles R Carter 246054
P.O. Box 2039
Ridgeland SC 29936

RECEIVED

DEC 15 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Page 5

Certificate of Compliance

The undersigned hereby certifies that this
Reply Brief complies with Rule 211 B SC Act and
The Supreme Court's Order of August 13-2007.

Charles R. Carter 246054
P.O. Box 2039
Ridgeland SC 29936

Daniel J. Crooks III
Staff Attorney
South Carolina Department of
Corrections
P.O. Box 21787
Columbia SC 29221

RECEIVED

DEC 15 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Dec 10-2014