

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

David Longstreet and Karen Longstreet,
Individually and as the Personal
Representatives of the Estate of Emma
Longstreet and as the Natural Guardians
of the minor children, Noah Longstreet,
Levi Longstreet, and Micah Longstreet,
and Kenneth Sinchak,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Rabit, LLC d/b/a The Loose Cockaboose
and Kelly Whitlock

Defendants.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE NO. 2014-CP-40-1886

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
RABIT, LLC d/b/a THE LOOSE
COCKABOOSE'S MOTION FOR
JUDGMENT NOTWITHSTANDING
THE VERDICT

RECEIVED

JAN 07 2015

SC Court of Appeals

This case came before the Court on November 17, 2015, on the Motion for Judgment Notwithstanding the Verdict by Rabit, LLC d/b/a The Loose Cockaboose ("Defendant"). Counsel for both parties were present at the hearing. Plaintiffs opposed the motion.

A trial court must deny a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict if the evidence yields more than one reasonable inference or its inference is in doubt. *RFT Mgmt. Co. v. Tinsley & Adams L.L.P.*, 399 S.C. 322, 331-32, 732 Ss.E.2d 166, 171 (2012). Additionally, a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict may be granted only if no reasonable jury could have reached the challenged verdict. *Id.*

Having heard the arguments of counsel and considered the parties' pleadings, memoranda of law, and related documentation, this Court determines that the evidence presented yields more

67

1 of 2

SCANNED

Therefore, Defendant Rabbit LLC d/b/a The Loose Cockaboose's Motion for Judgment
Notwithstanding the Verdict is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


G. Thomas Cooper, Jr., South Carolina
December 9, 2015


G. Thomas Cooper, Jr.
Presiding Judge