



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
www.sccourts.org

January 13, 2016

The Honorable Connie Reel-Shearin  
180 N Irby St MSC-E Rm B11  
Florence SC 29501-3456

## REMITTITUR

Re: Scient Partners v. Pinnacle  
Lower Court Case No. 2011CP2100941  
Appellate Case No. 2013-001764

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen, Deputy*

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Jon Rene Josey, Esquire  
Edward Andrew Love, Esquire  
Robert Thomas King, Esquire

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

Scient Partners, LLC, Appellant,

v

Pinnacle Network Solutions, Inc. and Cliff Smith,  
Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2013-001764

---

Appeal From Florence County  
R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2015-UP-560  
Submitted October 1, 2015 – Filed December 23, 2015

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Jon René Josey, of Turner Padget Graham & Laney, PA,  
of Florence, and Carlyle Richardson Cromer, of Turner  
Padget Graham & Laney, PA, of Myrtle Beach, for  
Appellant.

Robert Thomas King and Edward Andrew Love, both of  
King, Love & Hupfer, LLC, of Florence, for  
Respondents.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *Wilder Corp. v. Wilke*, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998) ("It is axiomatic that an issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court] to be preserved for appellate review."); *Graves v. Horry-Georgetown Tech. Coll.*, 391 S.C. 1, 10, 704 S.E.2d 350, 355 (Ct. App. 2010) ("[A] party may not present one argument to the trial court and another on appeal.").<sup>1</sup>

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>2</sup>

**FEW, C.J., and KONDUROS and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Even if we found the issue preserved, we would affirm. *See Hackworth v. Greywood at Hammett, LLC*, 385 S.C. 110, 118, 682 S.E.2d 871, 876 (Ct. App. 2009) ("[W]hen a party wishes to assert multiple causes of action, including civil conspiracy, it must allege acts in furtherance of the conspiracy and special damages that are separate and independent of the other acts and damages that underlie the other causes of action within the same complaint.").

<sup>2</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.