

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Raymond E. Chestnut, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2015-000891

Lower Court Case No. 2012CP2601814

ORDER

By order dated November 24, 2015, this matter was dismissed. When no petition for rehearing was received within the time specified by Rule 221 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), the remittitur was properly sent to the circuit court on December 10, 2015.

Petitioner has filed a *pro se* petition for rehearing in this matter. This petition for rehearing is dated December 22, 2015, and was received by this Court on December 29, 2015.

The petition for rehearing is dismissed for three reasons. First, since petitioner is represented by counsel in this case, this *pro se* petition is improper. *Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010); *Jones v. State*, 348 S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 379 S.E.2d 907 (1989).

Second, the petition for rehearing is untimely under Rule 221, SCACR.

Finally, the sending of the remittitur ended appellate jurisdiction over this case, and no further motions or petitions can be considered in this matter. *Wise v. S.C.*

Dept. of Corr., 372 S.C. 173, 642 S.E.2d 551 (2007).

Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is dismissed.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "C. J. Kinard".

C.J.

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina
January 26, 2016

cc: Tristan Michael Shaffer, Esquire
Joshua L. Thomas, Esquire / *Jessica Kinard*
Mr. Raymond Edward Chestnut