



RECEIVED
FEB 01 2016
SC Court of Appeals

ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services



ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 1, 2016

HAND-DELIVERED


The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: State v. Ernest Maurice Allen – Appellate Case No. 2014-000165

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

The above-referenced criminal appeal is set for oral argument on February 2, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom II during the upcoming term of Court. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, the State seeks to provide the Court with supplemental citations prior to oral argument based on the belief the citations may be relevant and helpful to the Court in addressing the issues raised in the above-referenced criminal appeal. The State believes the following citation is relevant to the directed verdict issue: State v. Nathari, 303 S.C. 188, 399 S.E.2d 597 (1990). Likewise, the State believes the following citations are relevant to the evidentiary issue: State v. McGowan, 347 S.C. 618, 557 S.E.2d 657 (2001); State v. Council, 335 S.C. 1, 515 S.E.2d 508 (1999); State v. Thompson, 352 S.C. 552, 575 S.E.2d 77 (Ct. App. 2003); and Underhill v. State, 428 N.E.2d 759 (Ind. 1981).

Sincerely,


Mark R. Farthing
Assistant Attorney General
Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: T. Jarrett Bouchette, Esquire (By Mail, Email, and Fax)
Robert M. Dudek, Esquire
Victim Services