

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,


v.


Falonzo Rakeem Rahmon Dash, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-002478

ORDER

This appeal was dismissed due to Appellant's failure to timely serve the notice of appeal as required by Rule 203(b)(2) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Appellant has now filed a motion to reinstate this appeal, which we construe as a petition to rehear the dismissal of this appeal. After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. See Rule 263(b), SCACR ("The time prescribed by these Rules for performing any act except the time for serving the notice of appeal under Rules 203 and 243 may be extended . . ."); *Mears v. Mears*, 287 S.C. 168, 337 S.E.2d 206 (1985) (explaining the notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement, and the appellate courts have no authority to extend the time in which the notice of intent to appeal must be served). Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.


_____ C.J.


_____ J.


_____ A.J.

FILED
2/5/16

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Melisa White Gay, Esquire

Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire

Matthew Randall Ozment, Esquire

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire